1. Title:
On the correlation of CTCF binding and c-myc amplification in human prostate cancer cell
lines
Authors:
Tobias T. Fleischmann <sup>1,2</sup> and Günter Assum <sup>2</sup> ,
1: Current address: MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Francis Crick Ave., Cambridge Biomedical
Campus, Cambridge CB2 0QH, UK.
2: Institute of Human Genetics, University of Ulm, Ulm, Germany
Corresponding author: Tobias T. Fleischmann, tobiasf@mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk

2.Abstract:

2

Background: One of the hallmarks of prostate cancer development is the amplification of C-

MYC copy numbers. It is not yet clear what the driving force behind the activation of C-MYC

expression in prostate cancer is. CTCF, which is known for its role as chromatin organiser, is

thought to be involved in the activation of C-MYC expression. The affinity of CTCF to its

binding site on the DNA is negatively affected by methylation of CpG islands, including its

binding site within the promoter sequences of C-MYC. DNA hypermethylation is often found

in cancer cells and could therefore be responsible for reduced binding of CTCF at the C-MYC

promoter, which can in turn lead to enhanced expression.

Results: Here, the copy number of C-MYC of five different prostate cancer cell lines has been

determined by FISH, and the state of methylation of the binding site of CTCF upstream of C-

MYC was investigated. The C-MYC locus was shown to be amplified to various degrees in all

cell lines, reflecting the copy number of actual tumors very well, but methylation was found

to remain unchanged compared to healthy cells in all examined cell lines. Hence, no

correlation between C-MYC-copy number and CTCF binding possibilities could be established.

Conclusions: The enhanced expression of C-MYC in prostate cancer cell lines is not affected

by CTCF, whose binding presumably still persists. C-MYC activation in prostate cancer must

therefore be caused by a disparate mechanism, which also deviates from other well known

mechanisms that are seen in Burkitt-Lymphoma, Leukemia and breast cancer.

3.Keywords:

C-MYC, CTCF, prostate cancer, DNA-Methylation

4.Background:

*C-MYC* is a very well studied gene, which has been characterised in a plethora of studies during the last decades. *MYC*-gene families are spread all over the vertebrate taxa (1,2,3,4). The human *C-MYC* gene can be transcribed from 4 different alternative promoters, P0, P1, P2 and P3. The prevalent gene product of the *C-MYC* gene is MYC2 (MYC), which is a 439 aa nuclear localised phosphoprotein (5). In its active state, MYC2 is found to be incorporated in a heterodimer together with MAX which shares the same bHLHLZ-Domain as MYC, what allows binding to E-Box-sequences (6,7,8). Another important interaction partner of MYC is BRCA1, which can be downregulated by MYC (9). Furthermore, MYC is involved in the synthesis of certain miRNAs, that are involved either in cancerogenesis (miR-17) or are part of the p53 network (miR-37a) (10,11).

On the genetic level cancer is characterised by widespread DNA damage and deregulation of signal transduction pathways. The key genes involved in carcinogenesis are oncogenes and tumor-suppressorgenes, to which *C-MYC* belongs as a proto oncogen. *C-MYC* appears to play a special role in prostate carcinoma, which is the second most important cause for deadly ending cancer diseases in men in the western hemisphere (12). In prostate cancer amplification and overexpression of *C-MYC* is detectable during almost all stages of tumor development. For example in intraepithelial neoplasies, the predecessors of the actual carcinoma, more than 50% of all tissue samples show an additional chromosome 8, which contains *C-MYC*. In primary prostate carcinoma, anomalies in the *C-MYC* copy number are also found in ~50% of the tumors, with additional chromosomes 8 as well as translocations of parts of chromosome 8, that include *C-MYC*. In 70% of metastatic carcinoma tissue additional copies are detected with even higher numbers, causing the presence of more than 3 times more *C-MYC* copies than in healthy tissue (13,14). It has been established in tumor

samples and also in cell lines, that the copy number is directly proportional to C-MYC protein

levels (14,15).

C-MYC displays a wide range of regulatory mechanisms by which its expression can be

controlled, including a G-Quadruplex structure that is located between the two promoters PO

and P1 and is able to block mRNA-synthesis (16,17,18,19). Another important mode of

regulation is of epigenetic nature. This includes phenomena like histone modifications,

genomic imprinting, div. chromatin remodeling processes and DNA methylation (20). A

common mark, found in tumors, is hypermethylation of stretches of DNA, especially of the

promoters of tumorsuppressorgenes, which renders them inactive (21,22). It is evident, that

misguided DNA methylation correlates positively with cancerogenesis (23). Misguided

methylation can also affect CTCF (ccctc-binding factor), which is an important factor,

responsible for the topology and organisation of the whole chromatin structure. CTCF is a

727 aa protein with 11 zink finger domains. It has ~14000 binding sites in the human

genome. One of them is located upstream of C-MYC, which also has led to its discovery

(24,25,26). Via binding to its target sequences, CTCF is able to activate or repress gene

expression by changing chromatin topology. This can bridge long distances and bring

enhancers close to genes which normally would not be influenced by them. As the binding of

CTCF is methylation dependent, it also takes part in methylation-dependent isolation of eu-

from heterochromatin (27,28).

In healthy GO cells CTCF is constitutively bound upstream of C-MYC (29). A possible mode of

interaction of CTCF with C-MYC would be as a genetic isolator, analogous to its role at the

Igf2/H19 locus, where CTCF binds between the promoter and an upstream enhancer

element, only in case the CTCF binding site is not methylated and subsequently stops the

enhancer from further influencing gene expression (30). This would basically point to a role

of CTCF as a repressor of C-MYC expression. Another possibility would be that CTCF

maintains a barrier and stops euchromatin from spreading into heterochromatin.

It is not known which role CTCF plays in the activation of C-MYC that occurs during malignant

transformation of cells. It might be possible that upon misregulated changes of methylation

in CTCF binding sites of the C-MYC promoter CTCF loses its affinity for this particular binding

site which in turn leads to enhanced expression of C-MYC. As prostate cancer cells usually

own more than the usual number of C-MYC genes it can also be the case, that different

copies of C-MYC display different states of methylation at their corresponding CTCF binding

site.

6

The goal of this work was to determine whether there is any correlation between C-MYC copy

numbers in prostate carcinoma cells lines and an altered methylation status of the CTCF

binding site in proximity of C-MYC.

5.Results and Discussion:

Results:

Analysis of *C-MYC* copy number in 5 prostate cancer cell lines:

The properties of the proto-oncogen C-MYC, which promote proliferation, have long been known to the research community. Deregulated expression of C-MYC in concert with other factors can lead to cancer. In most of the prostate carcinomas *C-MYC* is detectable in abnormally high copy numbers. Often a simple additional copy of the chromosome 8, which contains *C-MYC*, is found. But in other cases even more copies can arise from translocation events resulting in additional fragments of chromosome 8 on other chromosomes and usually bearing an active copy of *C-MYC*. For the aim of the project it was necessary to

determine the genomic background of the cell lines that were used. Therefore all cell lines

used in this study have been subjected to a numerical analysis of overall chromosome

numbers per cell, numbers of chromosome 8, translocated fragments of chromosome 8 and

C-MYC copy number via FISH. On every normal pair of sisterchromatids two copies of C-MYC

are present and were counted as one signal, respectively copy, in the genomic context.

PC3

For the cell line PC3, derived from bone metastasis of prostate cancer, 29 metaphases were

analyzed. On the average the cells showed an overall chromosome number of 56.1 and an

average C-MYC copy number of 5.6. In 90% of the cases this is composed of 2 normal

chromosomes 8 plus 3 to 4 translocated copies of C-MYC, exemplified in Figure 1. In this

case of 56 chromosomes, one would expect 2.44 copies of a gene, that would normally be

present in two copies in an equally amplified genome, if there is no bias of any kind,

preferring certain genes. The grade of amplification of *C-MYC* was normalised to the amplified genome and is shown in the column "relative amplification of *C-MYC*" (Table 1). In the case of PC3 the relative amplification of *C-MYC* amounts to an average factor of 2.3.

Table 1

	Chromosome	Numbers	Numbers of	Numbers of	Relative amp-
	number	of C-MYC	chromosome	translocations	lification of <i>C</i> -
			8	including <i>C-MYC</i>	MYC
Group 1 (35 %)	56	5	2	3	2.05
Group 2 (55 %)	56	6	2	4	2.46
Group 3 (10 %)	57	5.5	1	3.5	2.22
Average	56.10	5.60	1.90	3.60	2.30

Table 1: PC3 shows a merely homogenous distribution of chromosome numbers and additional *C-MYC* copies.

The average number of chromosomes per cell is 56 and includes 5 to 6 copies of *C-MYC*, which corresponds to a relative amplification of the factor 2.3.

## 22RV1

With on average 49 chromosomes this cell line of primary prostate carcinoma tissue did not deviate very much from 46 of normal cells. But the average of 3 copies of *C-MYC* displays a overrepresentation of *C-MYC* which is mirrored in its relative amplification of 1.44 (Figure 2 and Table 2). Altogether 30 metaphases were taken into account.

Table 2

	Chromosome number	Numbers of <i>C-MYC</i>	Numbers of chromosome	Numbers of translocations	Relative amplification of <i>C</i> -	
			8	including <i>C-MYC</i>	MYC	
Group 1 (37 %)	49	3	3	-	1.41	
Group 2 (50 %)	48.5	3	2	1	1.42	
Group 3 (13 %)	49.5	3.5	2	1.5	1.63	
Average	48.82	3.06	2.37	0.7	1.44	

Table 2: 22RV1 shows only a very slight overall amplification of the genome but a significant increase of *C-MYC* copies by the factor of 1.44.

DU145

This cell line, originating from a brain metastasis of prostate cancer, showed the lowest variation of all analyzed lines. On average 60 chromosomes with 3 copies of chromosome 8 are present (Table 3 and Figure 3). 32 cells were subjected to analysis.

Table 3

	Chromosome number	Numbers of <i>C-MYC</i>	Numbers of chromosome	Numbers of translocations	Relative amplification of <i>C</i> -	
			8	including <i>C-MYC</i>	MYC	
Group 1 (94 %)	60	3	3	-	1.15	
Group 2 (6 %)	59.5	3.5	2.5	0.5	1.36	
Average	59.97	3.03	2.97	0.03	1.16	

Table 3: Most of the DU145 cells showed an additional chromosome 8 and very rarely two additional chromosomes 8. The overall amplification is not very high, reaching a factor of 1.16.

LNCap

This line, which originates from lymphnode-metastasis of prostate cancer, seems to shatter into 4 subclones mainly determined by increasing overall chromosome numbers (from ~40 to ~84) that show a consistent rise in *C-MYC* copy number as well. The average increase of C-MYC numbers is 1.24 and is solely caused by additional copies of chromosome 8 (Table 4 and Figure 4). 20 metaphases of this cell line were available and analysed.

Table 4

9

	Chromosome	Numbers	Numbers of	Numbers of	Relative
	number	of <i>C-MYC</i>	chromosome	translocations	amplification of <i>C</i> -
			8	including <i>C-MYC</i>	MYC
Group 1 (25 %)	41	2	2	-	1.12
Group 2 (25 %)	45	3	3	-	1.53
Group 3 (15 %)	63	4	4	-	1.46
Group 4 (35 %)	84	4	4	-	1.10
Average	60.35	3.25	3.25	-	1.24

Table 4: LNCap displays high diversity regarding its whole genome amplification status. Roughly half of the samples show low chromosome numbers of not higher than 45, whereas the rest almost duplicates the standard chromosome set up to 84. With rising overall amplification there is also a rising number of *C-MYC* copies.

## PNT1B

Similar to LNCap, this immortalised prostate epithelial cell line is made up of two subclones, that either contain a non-amplified genome or a duplicated chromosome set. The group containing the higher chromosome numbers also tends to have more *C-MYC* copies, mostly as additional copies of the chromosome 8 or in some cases in the form of translocations of chromosome 8 (Table 5 and Figure 5). 31 cells were analysed.

Table 5

	Chromosome	Numbers	Numbers of	Numbers of	Relative
	number	of C-MYC	chromosome	translocations	amplification of <i>C</i> -
			8	including <i>C-MYC</i>	MYC
Group 1 (26 %)	43	2	2	-	1.07
Group 2 (61%)	78	4	4	-	1.18
Group 3 (13 %)	77	5.5	4	1.5	1.64
Average	68.77	3.68	3.48	0.20	1.23

Table 5: PNT1B also shows an increased C-MYC number by the factor 1.23.

Summary FISH results

The cell line PC3 owns the highest relative copy number, apparent by the relative amplification of *C-MYC* by 2.3, more than the double amount of *C-MYC* copies that would be expected, if the amplification of the genome would occur randomly. The second strongest amplification, but much lower than in PC3, is represented by line 22RV1. The other three cell lines only display slight increases (Table 6). Students t-test was used to determine P-values.

Table 6

Cell line	PC3	22RV1	DU145	LNCaP	PNT1B
Average relative C-	2.30	1.44	1.16	1.24	1.23
MYC amplification					
P-value	P <	P <	P <	P <	P =
	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.013

Table 6: Summary of FISH analysis of all cell lines.

Bisulfite sequencing

The amplified *C-MYC* copies, present in most prostate carcinomas, that contribute to a high expression of *C-MYC* are thought to rather be caused by strong upregulation of single copies than low expression of multiple copies. There are mechanisms in the cell that could promote such a strong expression of for example one single copy, but which won't interfere with the activation of the rest of the copies. One of these putative mechanisms could be carried out by the activity of the genetic isolator CTCF, which is constitutively bound 2 kb upstream of *C-MYC* in healthy tissue. A loss of CTCF's binding to *C-MYC* could promote uncontrolled expression of *C-MYC*. The binding of CTCF is methylation dependent, therefore methylation of said sequence would in this case disable CTCF binding.

We wanted to test whether the phenomenon of methylated binding sites could be detected in any of the five cell lines. Therefore the methylation status of all CpG islands on a sequence of 300 bp in the area of interest was subjected to bisulfite treatment, subsequent PCR and sequencing. E.g. in a hypothetical cell line with 5 copies of *C-MYC*, two of them could still be under the control of *C-MYC*, whilst the other 3 copies might have methylated CpGs at the CTCF binding site, which could lead to overexpression via access to enhancer sequences. To be able to roughly cover such possible distributions a certain amount of clones is needed to be sequenced. DNA of all lines was bisulfite treated as described and PCR was performed. The products, with the possibility to bear the substituted and unsubstituted nucleotides (corresponding to unmethylated and methylated sequences) were cloned into plasmids and transformed into *E. coli.* 10 to 16 clones of each cell line were subsequently sequenced. No significant change in the methylation status compared to healthy tissue could be discovered. The CTCF binding site, respectively its surrounding region, was not methylated in any of the used cell lines.

Discussion:

In the first part of this study the copy number of the proto-oncogene *C-MYC* has been determined in prostate and prostate cancer derived cell lines. All cell lines, PC3, 22RV1, DU145, LNCap and PNT1B displayed increases in the number of *C-MYC* genes present in the cells. The increases are not very strong, ranging from a relative enrichment of factor 1.16 to 2.30. This is in contrast to the very high copy numbers found in mouse prostate carcinoma with copy numbers of around 50 (31), but is in line with previous studies performed on human tissues (14,15). In fact this result shows that the cell lines used in this study are reliable model systems for prostate cancers that realistically mirror the situation in genuine cancers regarding *C-MYC* copy numbers. This finding further strengthens the indication that 12

C-MYC activation is not driven by the simultaneous expression of multiple copies of C-MYC

but by a stronger process which is able to interfere with single loci.

One possible candidate for this kind of process is the chromatin-remodeler CTCF, which can

block or grant access to enhancers. The closest binding site of CTCF to C-MYC contains

several CpG dinucleotides, which can be targets of methylation. As the binding of CTCF

depends on the methylation status of these islands, said status was determined in this study.

The CTCF binding site of C-MYC was still unmethylated in all cell lines as it would also be

expected for quiescent cells in healthy tissue. Therefore the presence of CTCF on its binding

site in the proximity of C-MYC is very unlikely to have any effect on the activation of C-MYC

during malignant transformation of prostate cells. Changes in methylation patterns,

especially hypermethylation are often found in various types of cancer, but this locus in

particular remains spared of these changes.

13

6.Conclusions

14

We conclude that in human prostate cancer cells there is no correlation between relative/absolute *C-MYC* amplification and the methylation status of the CTCF binding site of *C-MYC*. Other mechanisms must be responsible for the rise in *C-MYC* expression.

Therefore *C-MYC* activation in prostate carcinoma appears to differ greatly in its way of activation from many other types of cancer, e.g. breast cancer and certain cell lines, e.g. HL60 und TD2, where *C-MYC* is found to be amplified to high numbers and is often present in the form of double minute chromosomes or homogeneously staining regions (32,33,34).

7.Methods:

Cell lines:

Five different cell lines have been used for the experiments: PC 3: bone metastasis, 22rv1:

primary prostate carcinoma, LNCaP: metastatic cells of lymph tissue of adenocarcinoma,

DU145: brain metastasis of adenocarcinoma, PNT1B: prostate epithelial cells immortalised

with SV40 large T Antigen.

Cell culture:

The five adherent cell lines have been cultivated separately in standard culture flasks at 37 °C

and 5 % CO<sub>2</sub> in 1x DMEM medium. The cells have been supplied with fresh DMEM medium

three times per week after washing with Hanks medium. After a certain cell density had

been achieved the medium was removed and the cells were treated with 3 mL of Trypsin-

EDTA solution for 5 minutes at 37 °C to detach them from the flaskwalls. Trypsin was

deactivated by dilution to 8.5 mL with 1x DMEM medium. The solution was centrifuged and

the pellet was resuspended in DMEM medium. The new cultures were inocculated with the

required amount of cells.

DNA-isolation from cells:

15

Adherent cells were detached from the flask walls and pelleted as described.

The cells were washed in 1x PBS buffer, pelleted and resuspended in 0.5 mL of 1x SE buffer

(75 mM NaCl, 25 mM EDTA, pH 8). 5µl of proteinase K and 25 µl of 20 % SDS were added to

lyse the cells at 37 °C over night. After digestion 170µl of saturated NaCl solution were added

and mixed properly. After a centrifugation step of 15 minutes at 4000 g the supernatant was

transfered to new reaction tubes and mixed with 1 mL of 100 % EtOH. The DNA pellet is

washed in 70 % ethanol, airdryed and resuspended in 0.5 mL H<sub>2</sub>O.

Analysis of CTCF binding site methylation status:

Bisulfite treatment of DNA:

DNA from the cell lines was treated according to the instructions of the EZ DNA Methylation

Kit/Zymo Research. Freiburg, Germany. After bisulfite treatment the CTCF binding site at the

C-MYC promotor was amplified by PCR using the following primers. Forward: 5'-

TGAAAGAATAACAAGGAGGTGGCTGGAAA-3', Reverse: 5'-CTCCTACCTCCAAACCTTTAC

CACAAACAC- 3', The 295 bp product contains the CTCF binding site. A control PCR for

successful bisulfite treatment was performed for all reactions. The size of the PCR product

was checked by electrophoresis in a 1.5 % agarose gel. Products of the correct size were cut

out of the gel and were extracted using the GFX PCR Purification Kit/GE Healthcare,

Buckinghamshire, UK. A small amount of the purified Fragment was again checked on an

agarose gel. Subsequently the PCR products were cloned into TOPO vectors using the TOPO

TA cloning kit after adding an Adenine overhang at the 3 $^{\prime}$  ends. (10  $\mu L$  of the purified and

resuspended PCRs product, 2 μL of 20 mM buffer, 0.5 μL Taq-Polymerase, 0.3 μL dATP 20

mM, 10 minutes at 72 °C). The vectors were cloned into competent E. coli cells. Clones

positive for the used selection markers (Ampicillin, X-gal) were selected for analysis via PCR

to distinguish between true and false positive clones. For each plate 24 clones were selected

and checked using the primers as before. Plasmid DNA was isolated using the plasmid prep

mini spin kit/Qiagen, Hilden, Germany.

16

FISH:

Slide preparation:

Culture flasks were treated with colcemide for 15 minutes at a concentration of 100 µg/mL.

The cells were spun down for 8 minutes at 1000 rpms and the pellet was resuspended

dropwise in 10 mL of 0,4 % (w/v) KCl-solution. After 30 minutes at 37 °C the nuclei were spun

down and the supernatant was discarded. Ice-cold fixativum (10 mL, -20 °C/ Methanol-acetic

acid: 3:1) was added dropwise and the nuclei were spun down again. This was repeated

three more times. For the preparation of the slides three drops were dropped on each slide

from a distance of 25 cm. The slides were dried and stored at 4 °C.

Probe preparation:

Nick-translation was used for the generation of Digoxygenin-11-dUTP labeled C-MYC specific

probes following standard protocols. BAC RZPD737H091027D6 was used as probe. The

expected fragment length was between 100 and 500 bp.

Hybridisation:

17

To free the slides of remaining RNA, they were treated with 150  $\mu L$  of RNase and were kept

for 1 hour at 37 °C. The slides were washed twice in 2x SSC buffer (300 mM NaCl, 30 mM Na-

Citrate) for 5 minutes each. To release remaining proteins from the DNA the slides were

treated with Pepsine solution (30 µg/mL) for 8 minutes at 37 °C. The Slides were washed 2x

for 5 minutes in 1x PBS-Buffer at RT and 1x for 5 minutes in 50 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> in 1x PBS. The

slides were fixed with 1 % formaldehyde for 15 minutes at RT. Afterwards the samples were

dehydrated by treatment with a series of solutions of increasing alcohol content for 3

minutes each, starting with 70 % EtOH, to 80 %, 90 % and 99 %. The slides then were dried

for 30 minutes at RT. To denature the DNA strands the slides were held into 70 % formamide

in 2x SSC for 30 seconds at 70 °C. The slides were rinsed with 2x SSC at 4 °C and are again

dehydrated with the alcohol series as before. The C-MYC probe, as well as the Whole

Chromosome Paint 8 (WCP8) were heated to 76 °C for 10 minutes in 50 % formamide

solution. 14 µL of each probe were put on each slide, sealed with a thin cover glass and

incubated at 37 °C for 2 days. Afterwards the slides were washed in several solutions: Rinse

2x in 2x SSC, then 3x for 10 minutes each at 45 °C in Formamide/4x SSC (1:1). They were

rinsed once with 2x SSC, and washed 1x in 2x SSC for 5 minutes and 2x for 5 minutes in 0.2x

SSC at 60 °C and stored until the next steps in 4x SSC.

Antibody treatment:

These steps should be performed in a low light environment. WCP8 is labeled with Biotin-14-

dATP and the C-MYC probes with Digoxygenin-11-dUTP. FITC-Avidin was added for the

binding to biotin, then Anti-Avidin and again FITC-Avidin. Anti-digoxigenin was bound to

Digoxigenin, then TEXAS-Red I and TEXAS-Red II. To avoid unspecific binding the slides were

treated with 5 % BSA-solution in 4x SSC with 1 % Tween20 for 30 minutes. At first FITC-Avidin

was used at 1:200 together with Anti-Digoxigenin at 1:250 in 1 % BSA-solution. 150 μL per

slide were incubated for 30 minutes at 37 °C. The slides were washed with 4x SSC and 1 %

Tween 20 for 5 minutes each 3x. Antiavidin at 1:100 and TEXAS-Red I at 1:25 was applied as

before, and washed. Finally FITC-Avidin at 1:200 and TEXAS-Red II at 1:25 were applied. DAPI

staining was done for all slides in the last step. After the slides were dried Anti-fade solution

was added.

18

8.Competing interests

No competing interests reported.

10. Author contributions:

T.T.F. has performed experiments, interpreted the data and written the paper. G.A. has designed the research and interpreted data.

## 11.References:

- 1. Van Beneden, R. J. et al.: Cellular myc (c-myc) in fish (rainbow trout): its relationship to other vertebrate myc genes and to the transforming genes of the MC29 family of viruses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 83 (11), 3698 (1986).
- 2. Taylor, M.V., et al.: **Xenopus myc proto-oncogene during development: expression as a stable maternal mRNA uncoupled from cell division**. *EMBO J.* **5** (13), 3563 (1986).
- 3. Vennstrom, B., Moscovici, C., Goodman, H. M., and Bishop, J. M.: **Molecular** cloning of the avian myelocytomatosis virus genome and recovery of infectious virus by transfection of chicken cells. *J Virol* **39** (2), 625 (1981).
- 4. Colby, W.W., Chen, E.Y., Smith, D.H., and Levinson, A.D.: Identification and nucleotide sequence of a human locus homologous to the v-myc oncogene of avian myelocytomatosis virus MC29. *Nature* 301 (5902), 722 (1983).
- 5. Abrams, H. D., Rohrschneider, L. R., and Eisenman, R. N.: **Nuclear location of the putative transforming protein of avian myelocytomatosis virus**. *Cell* **29** (2), 427 (1982).
- 6. Blackwood, E.M., and Eisenman, R.N.: Max: a helix-loop-helix zipper protein that forms a sequence-specific DNA-binding complex with Myc. *Science* 251 (4998), 1211 (1991).
- 7. Prendergast, G.C., Lawe, D., and Zifff, E.B.: Association of Myn, the murine homolog of Max, with C-MYC stimulates methylation-sensitive DNA binding and Ras cotransformation. *Cell* 65 (3), 395 (1991).

- 8. Henriksson, M. and Luscher, B.: **Proteins of the Myc network: essential** regulators of cell growth and differentiation. *Adv Cancer Res* **68** (109), (1996).
- 9. Wang, Q., Zhang, H., Kajino, K., and Greene, M.I.: **BRCA1 binds C-MYC and inhibits its transcriptional and transforming activity in cells**. *Oncogene* **17** (15), 1939 (1998).
- 10. O'Donnell, K. A. et al.: **c-Myc-regulated microRNAs modulate E2F1 expression**. *Nature* **435** (7043), 839 (2005).
- 11. Chang, T. C. et al.: Widespread microRNA repression by Myc contributes to tumorigenesis. *Nat Genet* **40** (1), 43 (2008).
- 12. The American Cancer Society, 2013.
- 13. Cher, M.L., et al.: Comparative genomic hybridization, allelic imbalance, and fluorescence in situ hybridization on chromosome 8 in prostate cancer. *Genes Chromosom Cancer* 11 (3), 153 (1994).
- 14. Jenkins, R. B., Qian, J., Lieber, M. M., and Bostwick, D. G.: **Detection of c-myc oncogene amplification and chromosomal anomalies in metastatic prostatic carcinoma by fluorescence in situ hybridization**. *Cancer Res* **57** (3), 524 (1997).
- 15. Savinainen, K. J. et al.: Expression and copy number analysis of TRPS1, EIF3S3 and MYC genes in breast and prostate cancer. *Br J Cancer* **90** (5), 1041 (2004).
- 16. Sakatsume, O., et al.: Binding of THZif-1, a MAZ-like Zinc Finger Protein to the Nuclease-hypersensitive Element in the Promoter Region of the C-MYC Protooncogene. *J.Biol. Chem.* **271** (49), 31322 (1996).
- 17. Belotserkovskii, B. P. et al.: A triplex-forming sequence from the human c-MYC promoter interferes with DNA transcription. *J Biol Chem* **282** (44), 32433 (2007).

- 18. Siebenlist, U., Hennighausen, L., Battey, J., and Leder, P.: Chromatin structure and protein binding in the putative regulatory region of the c-myc gene in Burkitt lymphoma. *Cell* **37** (2), 381 (1984).
- 19. Siddiqui-Jain, A., Grand, C. L., Bearss, D. J., and Hurley, L. H.: **Direct evidence** for a **G-quadruplex in a promoter region and its targeting with a small molecule** to repress c-MYC transcription. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99** (18), 11593 (2002).
- 20. Recillas-Targa, F., De La Rosa-Velazquez, I. A., Soto-Reyes, E., and Benitez-Bribiesca, L.: **Epigenetic boundaries of tumour suppressor gene promoters: the CTCF connection and its role in carcinogenesis**. *J Cell Mol Med* **10** (3), 554 (2006).
- 21. Esteller M.: Aberrant DNA methylation as a cancer-inducing mechanism.

  Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 45, 629, (2005a).
- 22. Esteller, M.: **Epigenetics provides a new generation of oncogenes and tumour-suppressor genes**. *Br J Cancer* **94** (2), 179 (2006).
- 23. Cheng, J. C. et al.: Continuous zebularine treatment effectively sustains demethylation in human bladder cancer cells. *Mol Cell Biol* **24** (3), 1270 (2004).
- 24. Lobanenkov, V.V.,et al.: A novel sequence-specific DNA binding protein which interacts with three regularly spaced direct repeats of the CCCTC-motif in the 5'-flanking sequence of the chicken C-MYC gene. *Oncogene* 5 (12), 1743 (1990)
- 25. Klug, A., and Schwabe, J.W.: **Protein motifs: Zinc fingers**. *FASEB J.* **9** 597 (1995).
- 26. Kim, T. H. et al.: Analysis of the vertebrate insulator protein CTCF-binding sites in the human genome. *Cell* **128** (6), 1231 (2007).
- 27. Filippova, G.N.: CTCF-binding sites flank CTG/CAG repeats and form a methylation-sensitive insulator at the DM1 locus. *Nat Genet* 28 (4), 335 (2001).

- 28. Ohlsson, R., Renkawitz, R., Lobanenkov, V.: CTCF is a uniquely versatile transcription regulator linked to epigenetics and disease. *Trends Genet* 17 (9), 520 (2001).
- 29. Filippova, G. N. et al.: An exceptionally conserved transcriptional repressor, CTCF, employs different combinations of zinc fingers to bind diverged promoter sequences of avian and mammalian c-myc oncogenes. *Mol Cell Biol* 16 (6), 2802 (1996).
- 30. Bell, A.C., West, A.G., Felsenfeld, G.: The protein CTCF is required for the enhancer blocking activity of vertebrate insulators. *Cell* **98** (3), 387 (1999).
- 31. Kastler, S.: On the impact of risk variants in the C-MYC gene region on prostate cancer development (2007).
- 32. Deming, S. L., Nass, S. J., Dickson, R. B., and Trock, B. J.: **C-myc amplification** in breast cancer: a meta-analysis of its occurrence and prognostic relevance. *Br J Cancer* **83** (12), 1688 (2000).
- 33. Dalla-Favera, R., et al.: **Human C-MYC onc gene is located on the region of chromosome 8 that is translocated in Burkitt lymphoma cells**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **79**, 7824 (1982).
- 34. Schreiner, B. et al.: Murine pancreatic tumor cell line TD2 bears the characteristic pattern of genetic changes with two independently amplified gene loci. *Oncogene* 22 (43), 6802 (2003).
- 35. Van Beneden, R. J. et al.: Cellular myc (c-myc) in fish (rainbow trout): its relationship to other vertebrate myc genes and to the transforming genes of the MC29 family of viruses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 83 (11), 3698 (1986).

## 12. Figure legends:

Figure 1: Example for cell line PC3. Blue: 56 DAPI stained chromosomes. Green: 2 Chromosomes 8 and 4 translocations of chromosome 8. Red: Individual red spots indicate one copy of *C-MYC* per sisterchromatid. Two spots on one chromosome have always been counted as one signal during all further analysis steps for all cell lines.

Figure 2: Example for 22RV1. Color code as in Fig.1. The additional copy of *C-MYC* in this case stems from a additional copy of chromosome 8.

Figure 3: Example for DU145. Color code as in fig.1. Three chromosomes 8 are visible, each containing two single C-MYC loci.

Figure 4: Example for LNCap. Color code as in Fig. 1. Example of LNCap cell with a duplicated chromosome set containing 4 chromosomes 8.

Figure 5: Example for PNT1B. Color code as in Fig. 1. *C-MYC* is slightly overrepresented in the form of additional copies of chromosome 8.









