

1 **Immunological Patterns from Four Melioidosis Cases: Constant and Variable Protein**

2 **Antigens**

3

4 Jinhee Yi<sup>1</sup>

5 Kelsey Herring<sup>1</sup>

6 Timothy C. Sanchez<sup>2</sup>

7 Srinivas Iyer<sup>2</sup>

8 Joshua K. Stone<sup>1</sup>

9 Judy Lee<sup>1</sup>

10 Mark Mayo<sup>3</sup>

11 Bart J. Currie<sup>3</sup>

12 Apichai Tuanyok<sup>1,4</sup>

13 Paul Keim<sup>1\*</sup>

14

15 <sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ, USA, 86011

16 <sup>2</sup>Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, USA, 87545

17 <sup>3</sup>Menzies School of Health Research and Infectious Diseases Department, Royal Darwin

18 Hospital, Darwin, Northern Territory

19 <sup>4</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases and Pathology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA,

20 32608

21 \*Corresponding Author

22 E-mail address: Paul.Keim@nau.edu

23

## 24 **Abstract**

25 *Burkholderia pseudomallei* is the causative agent of the melioidosis and is endemic to  
26 Southeast Asia and northern Australia. There is no available vaccine and accurate diagnosis is  
27 difficult, time-consuming and labor intensive. Early diagnosis is an important part of successful  
28 treatment and current serological tests are inadequate and based upon multiple antigens.  
29 Identifying specific immunogenic proteins which are highly seroreactive may yield potential  
30 diagnostic targets for detecting antibodies and antigens specific to melioidosis. We have used 2D  
31 gel electrophoresis and Western blotting analysis to analyze protein antigenicity of whole cell  
32 lysates extracted from four *B. pseudomallei* strains and the sera from the specific infected  
33 humans. We found a total of 135 immunogenic proteins, 62 of which we were able to identify to  
34 a specific gene by mass-spectrometry. Results from the Western blotting of each strain's proteins  
35 and the corresponding patient serum reveal between 30 – 40% serum x strain specific  
36 immunogenic proteins. In most cases, these differences exist despite the fact that the genes  
37 encoding these proteins were present among all four *B. pseudomallei* strains. Eight particular  
38 proteins were immunogenic in all four strain x serum combinations and could represent novel  
39 diagnostic and vaccine subunit targets.

40

## 41 **Introduction**

42 *Burkholderia pseudomallei* is a Gram-negative environmental saprophyte and is also the  
43 causative agent of melioidosis, a potentially deadly disease endemic to Southeast Asia and  
44 northern Australia [1]. It is now being recognized in more diverse global regions such as South  
45 America, the Caribbean and Africa [1-3]. Melioidosis can manifest in numerous clinical forms,

46 most commonly as pneumonia, but also a spectrum from localized cutaneous disease without  
47 sepsis to rapidly progressive fatal septicemia [1, 4]. Risk factors have been identified which  
48 contribute to the likelihood of developing melioidosis. Diabetes mellitus, hazardous alcohol use,  
49 renal disease, chronic pulmonary disease, immunosuppressive therapy and thalassemia are all  
50 associated with an increased risk for melioidosis. The mechanism by which these risk factors  
51 impact the disease is not entirely clear, but having any of them can result in more severe disease  
52 with higher mortality [1, 5].

53 First-line treatment for melioidosis utilizes  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics such as ceftazidime, but  
54 *B. pseudomallei* can develop resistance to these antibiotics during the course of acute infection  
55 and eradication phases [6, 7]. This acquired resistance may be linked to efflux pumps, enzymatic  
56 inactivation, and alteration of drug targets and decreased permeability [8].

57 *B. pseudomallei* is a resilient bacterium that can tolerate hostile conditions by producing  
58 and secreting proteases, lipases, catalases, peroxidase and siderophores. In addition, it can evade  
59 host immune responses and is able to survive within phagocytic cells [9]. *B. pseudomallei*  
60 produces virulence effectors that can be transferred into host cells through different machineries.  
61 For instances, the type III and VI secretion systems have been identified as allowing the bacteria  
62 to survive intracellularly, escape autophagy and spread within the host [10-12].

63 Surface proteins of this organism have received attention as the majority of protein  
64 antigens that are recognized by host response antibodies [13]. Such surface antigens are critical  
65 targets of humoral immune response and have the potential to be developed for immunological  
66 diagnosis and therapies [14]. However, to facilitate the development of better diagnostics,  
67 comprehensive information of these antigens is needed. Identifying such proteins in *B.*  
68 *pseudomallei* can form the basis for all these purposes. In this study we determined the

69 immunogenic protein profiles in four *B. pseudomallei* strains which were isolated from four  
70 different human melioidosis cases using 2D gel electrophoresis, Western blot hybridization, and  
71 mass-spectrometry. The immunogenic protein profiles for all four strains were determined using  
72 matched sera from the infected patients.

73

## 74 **Materials and Methods**

75

### 76 **Ethics Statement**

77 Our study examined two primary *B. pseudomallei* isolates (MSHR) obtained from 556  
78 melioidosis patient group from the Darwin Prospective Melioidosis Study, which commenced at  
79 Royal Darwin Hospital, Northern Territory, Australia in October 1989. Ethics approval for this  
80 study was obtained through the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Northern Territory  
81 Department of Health and Menzies School of Health Research, approval number HREC 02/38  
82 (Clinical and Epidemiological Features of Melioidosis) with written informed consent obtained  
83 from patients. All patient data were de-identified prior to analysis. The two Arizona USA  
84 patients' specimens (PB) were collected for clinical diagnostic purposes and deidentified prior to  
85 transfer to NAU. As such, they are exempt from USA IRB regulations.

86

87

### 88 **Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions**

89 Four human clinical *B. pseudomallei* strains MSHR1079, MSHR1328, PB08298010, and  
90 PB1007001 were used in this study. Three strains were isolated from blood culture - negative  
91 localized infection (e.g., skin, lungs), while the other one was from a blood culture positive case.  
92 Details of these strains, sera and clinical information are shown in Table 1. We used Luria-

93 Bertani (LB) agar to grow the bacterial strains at 37°C for 48 hours subjected to protein  
94 extraction.  
95

96 Table 1. Summary of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* strains and human patient serum used in this study.

Strain/ LPS genotype	Country of origin	Sera and Clinical information
MSHR1079/ serotype B	Australia	This was a 50 year old patient who was sick for 6 weeks before the diagnosis of blood culture-negative skin infection by culture of <i>B. pseudomallei</i> from the regional lymph node. Clinical manifestation was an arm abscess with lymph node involvement. IHA titer was very high on admission (1:5120). The serum was collected from when the treatment was started, i.e., at the time of initial diagnosis. The patient was treated initially with intravenous ceftazidime plus oral trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole and made a full recovery (Tuanyok et al.[15]).
MSHR1328/ serotype A	Australia	This was a 29 year old diabetic who was sick for 2 weeks with mild symptoms before the diagnosis of blood culture-negative pneumonia. Only sputum was culture positive. IHA titre was very high on admission (>1:5120). The serum was collected from when treatment was started, i.e., at the time of initial diagnosis. The patient was treated initially with ceftazidime and made a full recovery (Tuanyok et al. [15]).
PB08298010/ serotype A	Arizona, USA	This was a 32 year old man who was sick for 6 weeks with a history of type II diabetes, hypertension, and obesity. Synovial fluid, blood and sputum were culture positive. Clinical manifestations were severe right knee pain and febrile upon hospital admission but the patient's hospital course was complicated by respiratory failure that required intubation and ventilation, acute renal failure, pneumothorax and pneumoperitoneum, anemia and hypotension. Antibiotics treatment included with meropenem, moxifloxacin, vancomycin, ceftazidime, gentamicin, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. All cultures were negative 2 weeks before discharged from hospital. The serum was collected 7 weeks after discharged from hospital. Source of exposure is unknown (Stewart et al. [16]).
PB1007001/ serotype A	Arizona, USA	This was a 49 year old woman who was sick for 8 weeks with a leg skin abscess and a swollen regional lymph node. Skin abscess fluid was culture positive for <i>B. pseudomallei</i> . Before diagnosis treatment included clindamycin, imipenem, vancomycin and metronidazole. The patient was treated with intravenous ceftazidime and oral trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. The time of serum collection is unknown. The epidemiologic investigation revealed that the patient had traveled to Costa Rica three months before the patient developed symptoms, suggesting infection acquired overseas.

97

## 98 **Protein Extraction**

99 For each protein preparation, bacterial colonies were suspended in sterile 1X PBS, pH  
100 7.3, until a turbidity of 1.5 at OD<sub>600</sub> was obtained. The bacterial cells were pelleted by  
101 centrifugation at 16,000 x g for 3 minutes. The supernatant was removed and the cell pellet was  
102 resuspended in 500 µL of lysis buffer (0.5% Triton X-100; 50 mM potassium phosphate, pH7.8;  
103 400 mM NaCl; 100 mM KCl; and 10 mM imidazole). The solution was subjected to 3 freeze &  
104 thaw cycles alternately in liquid nitrogen and a 42°C dry bath to lyse the cells. The sample was  
105 then centrifuged at 18,000 x g for 15 minutes at 4°C to separate the soluble (liquid) from the  
106 insoluble (pellet) proteins

107 The quantity of each protein sample was determined using the Bradford assay with slight  
108 modifications [17]. Bovine serum albumin (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) was used as a  
109 standard protein. Prior to protein quantification, the sample was rinsed and equilibrated in Tris-  
110 HCl buffer.

111

## 112 **Two-dimensional electrophoresis (2DE)**

113 First dimensional isoelectric focusing (IEF) and second dimensional SDS-PAGE  
114 were performed as previously described [18-20]. The IEF was conducted in the pH 4-7 range  
115 after exploring a wider range (pH 3-10) that provided little additional resolution. Most proteins  
116 were found to have a pI value between 4.5 and 6.5 and a molecular weight of 20 to 100 kDa.

117 Each protein sample was treated with trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and acetone for purification. A  
118 total of 100 µg of each protein sample was tested on each 2DE. The pellet was resuspended in  
119 160 µL of a resuspension buffer.

120 The IEF was performed using 2D Electrophoresis ZOOM® IPGRunner System  
121 (LifeTech, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Briefly, a 7cm IPG strip, pH 4–7, was loaded with 160 µL of  
122 the protein sample, and then operated at 6,000 Vhr. The IPG strip was treated with the reduction  
123 buffer (130 mM DTT, 5 M urea, and 0.8 M thiourea).for 20 minutes and with an alkylation  
124 buffer (130 mM iodoacetamide, 0.002% bromophenol blue, 5 M urea, and 0.8 M thiourea).  
125 Proteins immobilized on the IPG strips were further separated using SDS-PAGE with a 4-20%  
126 Tris-glycine gradient gel (ZOOM® Novex gel, LifeTech). Electrophoresis was performed at 110  
127 V for 90 min, and the gel was then visualized by silver staining according to Shevchenko's  
128 method [21]. Gel image was digitalized by UVP gel documentation system and analyzed using  
129 the VisionWork LS (UVP, Upland, CA, USA).

130

## 131 **Western blotting analysis**

132 A duplicated non-silver stained 2D gel was blotted transferred to a nitrocellulose  
133 membrane in a dry transfer system (iBlot® Dry Blotting system, LifeTech) for 10 minutes at  
134 25mA. The blotted membrane was blocked with 2.5% skim milk in 1X PBS for 1 hour. The  
135 membrane was incubated with the patient serum solution (1:1,000 v/v in 2.5% skim milk) for 1  
136 hour and then washed in 1X PBS three times. The membrane was incubated with a 1:1,000  
137 dilution of HRP-conjugated goat anti-human IgG secondary antibody (Promega, Madison, WI,  
138 USA) in 2.5% skim milk followed by an additional three washes in PBS. Then, the immunogenic  
139 protein spots were developed colorimetrically using 3,3'-Diaminobenzidine solution.

140

## 141 **In-Gel Trypsin Digest**

142 The immunogenic protein spots on the Western blot membrane were matched with 2D  
143 silver stained gel image using 2D analysis software (Melanie v. 7.0.6, Genebio, Geneva, CH).



144 The matched immunogenic spots were excised from the gels and destained with 0.02% sodium  
145 thiosulphate and 0.5% potassium ferricyanide based on the method of Shevchenko *et al.*, (1996).  
146 Excised gel pieces were washed and dried with 50% acetonitrile and then reduced and alkylated  
147 in 10 mM DTT and 100 mM iodoacetamide. Proteins were digested in-gel overnight with 12.5  
148 ng/mL trypsin (Promega Inc., USA) made up in digestion buffer (50 mM ammonium  
149 bicarbonate; 5 mM calcium chloride) at 37°C. The cleaved peptides were extracted using  
150 extraction buffer made up of 5% formic acid and 50% acetonitrile. The extraction of digested  
151 peptide was facilitated by vortexing for 30 minutes and sonication for 20 minutes. The extracts  
152 were placed in new tubes and dried completely [21, 22].

153

## 154 **Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Time-of-Flight Mass**

### 155 **Spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS)**

156 The dried peptide samples were reconstituted in 4 $\mu$ L of 0.1% Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)  
157 in water. Samples were mixed 3:1 ratio (matrix:sample) with 5 mg/mL of Ultrapure  $\alpha$ -cyano-4-  
158 hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA) matrix (Protea, Morgantown, West Virginia). Prior to sample  
159 analysis, the mass spectrometer was externally calibrated with a TOF/TOF Calibration peptide  
160 mixture of des-Arg-Bradykinin (1.0 pmol/ $\mu$ L), Angiotensin I (2.0 pmol/ $\mu$ L), Glu-Fibrinopeptide B  
161 (1.3 pmol/ $\mu$ L), and adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH), (1-17 clip-2.0 pmol/ $\mu$ L), (18-39 clip-  
162 1.5pmol/ $\mu$ L), (7-38 clip-3.0 pmol/ $\mu$ L). The 4800 Plus MALDI TOF/TOF Analyzer (ABSciex,  
163 Foster City, CA, USA) was used in positive reflector mode. Data were collected in an automated  
164 Batch mode utilizing random sampling over the entire sample spot. The mass spectrometer is  
165 equipped with a 200-Hz frequency Nd:YAG laser, operating at a wavelength of 355 nm. Twenty-  
166 five sub-spectra for each of 25 randomized positions within the spot (625 spectra/spot) were

167 collected in MS-TOF mode and presented as one main spectrum. MS/MS, fragmentation mode,  
168 50 sub-spectra for each of 13 randomized positions within the spot (650 spectra/spot). Fixed  
169 laser intensity in MS-TOF reflector positive mode, 3600 (arbitrary units), final detector voltage  
170 set at 1.883 KV. MS/MS-TOF/TOF, Fragmentation mode, fixed laser intensity set at 4500  
171 (Arbitrary units), final detector voltage set at 2.080 KV. Spectral Mass range 850-4000 m/z,  
172 focus mass 2250 m/z. The MS/MS data were analyzed using the Paragon Algorithm [23] of  
173 ProteinPilot Software version 4.0 with settings: *Sample type*: Identification; *Cys Alkylation*:  
174 Iodoacetamide; *Digestion*: Trypsin; *Instrument*: 4800; *Species*: (no filter applied); *Special*  
175 *factors*: Gel-based ID; *Search Effort*: Thorough; *ID focus*: none applied; *Database*: Specific  
176 strain-translated genome database; and the *Detected Protein Threshold*: (10% confidence).  
177 Peptide mass and fragmentation spectra were searched against a protein database which was  
178 generated from each strain by whole genome sequencing and RAST annotation [24].

179

## 180 **Bioinformatic analysis of immunogenic proteins**

181 Identification of immunogenic proteins was accomplished by determining peptide  
182 sequences with MS/MS and mapping them to known sequences generated by RAST and NCBI's  
183 databases. After identifying antigenic proteins, the observed pI and molecular weight values  
184 were compared to the predicted values of each to assess the proper identification of proteins.  
185 Predictions of cellular location and function (including signal peptides) were utilized to  
186 determine if any identified outer membrane or surface proteins were putative virulence factors.  
187 FIGfam classification was used to categorize and determine the functional group of each  
188 immunogenic protein [25]. The majority of immunogenic proteins were proteins known or  
189 predicted to be involved in various metabolisms (see Table 2).

190

## 191 **Protein Identification**

192

193 For proteomic information on identified immunogenic proteins, several web-based tools

194 were used. Cellular location of identified proteins was predicted using the program “PSORTdb”

195 version 2.0 [26]. The presence of signal peptides was inferred using “SignalP” version 4.0 [27].

196 This program was used to hypothesize N-terminal secretory signal peptides of the identified

197 immunogenic proteins. Proteins with a SignalP D-score  $> 0.57$  were considered to be potentially

198 translocated. Protein identity was analyzed using BLASTP against our RAST-generated

199 database. Theoretical molecular weight and pI values were calculated using Compute I/Mw tool

200 on ExPASy website ([http://web.expasy.org/compute\\_pi/](http://web.expasy.org/compute_pi/)).

201

## 202 **Results**

### 203 **Experimental design and serum selection**

204 Because of the great genomic diversity among *B. pseudomallei* strains [28], we used

205 matched sets of human sera and protein extracts of isolates from these same melioidosis patient

206 (Table 1). This was done to insure that at least the genes for antigenic proteins are present with

207 the potential to express and to be detected by the host. In one preliminary experiment, we did

208 examine the antigenicity of proteins expressed *in vitro* from a single strain (PB08298010) against

209 sera from its source patient but also two others (PB08298010 and MSHR1328). We found that

210 the two heterologous cross-reaction Western blots detected fewer immunogenic proteins than its

211 source patient’s serum (data not presented). This is consistent with differential strain gene

212 contents, but it should also be noted that each melioidosis case is unique with a different host,  
213 infection time sequences and complex pathologies that could alter antigen-humoral responses.

214

215

## 216 **Immunogenic protein profiles**

217 A total of 135 protein spots were confirmed to be immunogenic across all four  
218 melioidosis cases (Fig 1). The cross reactivity of these 135 proteins was distributed in a complex  
219 manner across the four infections (Fig 2). PB1007001 contained a greater proportion of strain X  
220 patient specific antigenic proteins (52%) than the other three strains (30 – 44%). The two  
221 Arizona cases had a higher number of total immunogenic proteins than the two Australian strains  
222 with the presence of seven common immunogenic proteins in all four infections.

223 Fifty unique proteins were identified using MS and ascribed to specific genes (Table 2).  
224 The 10 immunogenic proteins shared by all four melioidosis cases included the chaperone  
225 GroEL, elongation factor Tu (EF-Tu), enolase, alkyl hydroperoxide reductase protein C, NADH  
226 dehydrogenase, cell division protein FtsA, sigma-54 dependent DNA-binding response regulator  
227 and LysR-family transcriptional regulator (LTTR). GroEL and EF-Tu have each isoform  
228 commonly detected in all the four strains.

229

230

## 231 **Comparison of identified common immunogenic proteins**

232 The eight common immunogenic proteins were BLASTed against the protein sequences  
233 of four human commensal bacteria and two genetically related strains of *Burkholderia mallei* and  
234 of *B. thailandensis* (Table 3). This sequence comparison was to evaluate the potential of the

235 common immunogenic proteins to be used as a diagnostic biomarker or vaccine target candidate.  
236 For protein sequence BLAST, the sequence of *Staphylococcus aureus* NCTC8325, *Clostridium*  
237 *perfringens* F262, *Lactobacillus acidophilus* ATCC 4796, *Escherichia coli* MG1655,  
238 *Burkholderia mallei* ATCC 23344, and *B. thailandensis* E264 were used. The BLAST results  
239 showed there were low similarities with the four selected commensal bacteria. Most similarities  
240 were less than 50% and even the highest similarity of GroEL and EF-Tu showed only 76% and  
241 80%, respectively, with the commensal bacteria. There are likely unique epitopes in the *B.*  
242 *pseudomallei* proteins that would not be confounded by infections by these or related bacteria.  
243 As would be expected, the sequence similarity of *B. mallei* and *B. thailandensis* was higher with  
244 an almost 100% similarity. However, Ndh and AtoC proteins of non-pathogenic *B. thailandensis*  
245 E264 showed a relatively lower similarity than *B. mallei* ATCC23344 as evidenced by 93% and  
246 94% respectively. This illustrates the complications for diagnostics in distinguish infections by  
247 closely related bacteria.

248  
249 **Figure 1: 2D silver stained map of immunogenic proteins of four *Burkholderia pseudomallei***  
250 **strains.** Colored circles highlight all immunogenic proteins identified. Proteins identified in at  
251 least two strains as immunogenic are colored in yellow and in only one strain as follows:  
252 MSHR1079 (blue), MSHR1328 (orange), PB08298010 (purple), and PB1007001 (green).  
253

254 **Figure 2: Comparison of immunogenic proteins identified from four *Burkholderia***  
255 ***pseudomallei* strains.** Number is the total detected immunogenic protein spots for each strain  
256 and numbers in parentheses are the number of identified immunogenic protein spots for each  
257 strain by mass spectrometry. Colored circles correspond to each strain as follows: MSHR1079  
258 (blue), MSHR1328 (orange), PB08298010 (purple), and PB1007001 (green). Note: This 2DE  
259 image was generated from *B. pseudomallei* strain PB0829010.

260 Table 2. Immunoreactive proteins identified from four *Burkholderia pseudomallei* strains

Spot number <sup>a</sup>	Functional Category (RAST classification) / Protein	Gi #	MSHR 1079	MSHR 1328	PB08	PB10	Classification (KEGG) <sup>b</sup>	Locus Tag
<b>Amino acids and Derivatives</b>								
96	2-isopropylmalate synthase (EC 2.3.3.13) <sup>c</sup>	gi 53718837	+			+	K01649	BPSL1201
77	Arginine deiminase (EC 3.5.3.6)	gi 53719357			+		K01478	BPSL1743
58	Argininosuccinate synthase (EC 6.3.4.5)	gi 53719335			+		K01940	BPSL1721
30	Branched-chain alpha-keto acid dehydrogenase, E1 component, beta subunit (EC 1.2.4.4)	gi 53723290		+	+		K00167	BPSS2272
25	Electron transfer flavoprotein, alpha subunit	gi 53720108		+		+	K03522	BPSL2499
59	Isovaleryl-CoA dehydrogenase (EC 1.3.99.10)	gi 53722471				+	K00253	BPSS1448
45	Phenylacetate-CoA oxygenase/reductase, PaaK subunit	gi 53720842		+	+		K02613	BPSL3234
75	Seryl-tRNA synthetase (EC 6.1.1.11)	gi 53720210			+		K01875	BPSL2600
<b>Carbohydrate</b>								
118	Dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase of pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (EC 1.8.1.4)	gi 53719909		+	+	+	K00382	BPSL2299
74	Dihydrolipoamide succinyltransferase component (E2) (EC 2.3.1.61)	gi 126452386			+		K00658	BPSL1908
47	Enolase (EC 4.2.1.11)	gi 53719880	+	+	+	+	K01689	BPSL2270
18	Methylisocitrate lyase (EC 4.1.3.30)	gi 53721241	+				K03417	BPSS0206
78	Phosphate acetyltransferase (EC 2.3.1.8)	gi 53722976	+	+			K00625	BPSS1955
70	phosphoglucomutase/phosphomannomutase family protein	gi 53720276			+		K15778	BPSL2666
127	Succinate dehydrogenase flavoprotein subunit (EC 1.3.99.1)	gi 53722739	+				K00239	BPSS1718
95	Sucrose-6-phosphate hydrolase (EC 3.2.1.26)	gi 53721578	+				K01212	BPSS0542
<b>Cell division and cell cycle</b>								
39	Cell division protein FtsA	gi 53720631	+	+	+	+	K03590	BPSL3021
83/92	Cell division trigger factor (EC 5.2.1.8)	gi 53719038	+		+	+	K03545	BPSL1402
<b>DNA metabolism</b>								
42	RecA Protein	gi 53718415		+	+		K03553	BPSL0776

<b>Membrane Transport</b>							
63	Autotransporter adhesin	gi 53720315	+	+		/	BPSL2705
81	Carboxypeptidase C (Cathepsin A)	gi 53720325				+	BPSL2715
35	lipoprotein, VacJ family	gi 53720754	+			K04754	BPSL3147
<b>Metabolism of aromatic compound</b>							
122/13	Aldehyde dehydrogenase (EC 1.2.1.3), PaaZ	gi 53720650	+		+	+	BPSL3041
<b>Motility and chemotaxis</b>							
28	Flagellar biosynthesis protein FlcC	gi 162210084				+	BPSL3319
<b>Protein metabolism</b>							
57	ATP-dependent Clp protease ATP-binding subunit ClpX	gi 53719040			+	+	BPSL1404
129	Chaperone protein DnaK	gi 53720436	+			+	BPSL2827
112	Chaperone protein HtpG	gi 53718723		+	+	+	BPSL1087
84/85/93 /100/102	Heat shock protein 60 family chaperone GroEL	gi 53720307	+	+	+	+	BPSL2697
65	TldE/PmbA protein, part of proposed TldE/TldD proteolytic complex (PMID 12029038)	gi 53720087				+	BPSL2478
49/50/54 /55	Translation elongation factor Tu	gi 53720823	+	+	+	+	BPSL3215
133	Translation initiation factor 2	gi 126455003				+	BPSL1918
<b>Regulation and Cell signaling</b>							
24	LysR-family transcriptional regulator	gi 685701990	+	+	+	+	BPSL0841
61	Probable transcription regulator protein of MDR efflux pump cluster	gi 53718371				+	BPSL0731
82	Sigma-54 dependent DNA-binding response regulator	gi 403079328	+	+	+	+	BPSL2475
<b>RNA metabolism</b>							
36	DNA-directed RNA polymerase alpha subunit (EC 2.7.7.6)	gi 53720795			+		BPSL3187

<b>Respiration</b>										
76	ATP synthase alpha chain (EC 3.6.3.14)	gi 53721005	+					K02111	BPSL3398	
71/72	ATP synthase beta chain (EC 3.6.3.14)	gi 53721003				+	+	K02112	BPSL3396	
46	NADH dehydrogenase (EC 1.6.99.3)	gi 53722790	+	+	+	+		K03885	BPSS1769	
<b>Sulfur metabolism</b>										
4	Thiol peroxidase, Tpx-type (EC 1.11.1.15)	gi 53720595			+	+	+	K11065	BPSL2987	
<b>Stress response</b>										
5/6/7	Alkyl hydroperoxide reductase protein C (EC 1.6.4.-)	gi 53719707	+	+	+	+		K03386	BPSL2096	
12	Alkyl hydroperoxide reductase subunit C-like protein	gi 53720358				+	+	/	BPSL2748	
9	Granule-associated protein	gi 53719908			+				BPSL2298	
64	Glutathione reductase (EC 1.8.1.7)	gi 53717938					+	K00383	BPSL0297	
2	Universal stress protein family	gi 53720836	+					/	BPSS0032	
<b>Virulence, disease, and defense</b>										
87/88	SSU ribosomal protein S1p	gi 53720125					+	K02945	BPSL2515	
<b>Others</b>										
113	Large exoproteins involved in heme utilization or adhesion	gi 53721238						+	/	BPSS0203
81	Response regulator containing CheY-like receiver	gi 217424183					+		/	BPSS2249
21	Hypothetical protein	gi 53721449			+				/	BPSS0411
124	1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate synthase (EC 2.2.1.7)	gi 53722783						+	K01662	BPSS1762

261 <sup>a</sup> Immunogenic protein position corresponding to spot on gel (Figure 1).

262 <sup>b</sup> KEGG stands for Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.

263 <sup>c</sup> EC (Enzyme Commission number) means a numerical classification for enzymes according to their catalysis reaction.

264



265 Table 3. Percent identity of immunogenic proteins of *B. pseudomallei* compared to four commensal bacteria and *Burkholderia mallei*  
 266 and *Burkholderia thailandensis* E264.

Species	% Identity of <i>Burkholderia pseudomallei</i> antigenic proteins relative to other bacteria							
	GroEL	EF-Tu	Eno	AhpC	Ndh	FtsA	AtoC	LTTR
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> NCTC8325 (taxid:93061)	58%	77%	64%	28%	25%	NS	NS	21%
<i>Clostridium perfringens</i> F262 (taxid:883064)	60%	75%	65%	32%	NS *	27%	41%	NS
<i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> ATCC 4796 (taxid:525306)	58%	26%	51%	33%	24%	26%	NS	NS
<i>Escherichia coli</i> MG1655 (taxid:511145)	76%	80%	66%	33%	45%	47%	46%	30%
<i>Burkholderia mallei</i> ATCC 23344 (taxid:243160)	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	99%	99%
<i>Burkholderia thailandensis</i> E264 (taxid:271848)	99%	100%	99%	100%	93%	99%	94%	99%

267 \* NS: No Significant Similarity

268 (A) Heat shock protein 60 family chaperone, GroEL (B) Translation elongation factor Tu, EF-Tu (C) Enolase, Eno (D) Alkyl hydroperoxide reductase  
 269 subunit C, AhpC (E) NADH dehydrogenase, Ndh (F) Cell division protein, FtsA (G) Sigma-54 dependent DNA binding response regulator, AtoC (H)  
 270 LysR family transcriptional regulator, LTTR.

## Discussion

### Immunogenic protein profiles

Our findings demonstrate common and unique immunogenic proteins across the four *B. pseudomallei* strains from different melioidosis cases. Given the different clinical presentations and time courses of infection and disease for each serum collection, it is to be expected that the primary immunogenic proteins would vary across the matched four strain sera [29]. It has been postulated that variation in immunogenic proteins can be related to different stages of illness and the different clinical presentation's related to particular virulence pathways.

We identified 50 immunogenic proteins from 135 total immunogenic protein spots by MS. Most proteins identified in this study had not been previously described as immunogenic in *B. pseudomallei*, but six had been identified as immunogenic outer membrane proteins by Harding *et al.* [30] and seven proteins detected in this study were found by Felgner *et al.* [14]. These proteins are GroEL, AtpD, AtpA, DnaK, EF-Tu, AphC, FliC, and sucrose-6-phosphate hydrolase. Most previous studies have used pooled sera and then investigated whole cell lysate samples, fractionated proteins, or preselected immunogenic recombinant protein candidates [14, 31-33]. We detected fewer immunogenic proteins when compared to other studies. Our use of whole cell lysates may be a limiting factor that prevents us from detecting the immunogenicity of low concentration proteins. However it also allows us to determine the relative protein abundance and identify highly immunogenic proteins. Analyzing each strain with only one serum time-point from each patient may restrict our ability to detect additional immunogenic proteins as the patterns may change as the disease progresses. There are eight common immunogenic proteins observed across all four strain x serum combinations.

### Chaperone GroEL

Of the identified immunogenic proteins, heat-shock proteins (hsps) were detected with great intensity and seroreactivity. Woo *et al.* [31] showed that sera from melioidosis patients showed a stronger antigen-antibody response to heat-shock protein 60 family chaperone GroEL than sera from patients infected with *Burkholderia cepacia* complex strains. Amemiya *et al.* [32] also found that GroEL was far more immunoreactive than DnaK. From this, it is not surprising that GroEL is a dominant immunogenic protein. Varga and colleagues [34] also found that the antibodies against GroEL increased almost 5 fold after infection [34]. *B. pseudomallei* has two copies of GroEL (BPSL2697 and BPSS0477) with 83% sequence identity. Only GroEL1 (BPSL2697) was detected in this study.

As a Hsp, GroEL is known to be involved in assisting protein folding *in vivo*. This folding process is subjected to interference from elevated temperatures, changing pH, and oxidative stress. Given the high conservation of hsps, particularly among related bacteria, it is possible that the host immune system is “primed” to target common epitopes of hsps, particularly GroEL, explaining its high seroreactivity with melioidosis. This idea is consistent with the work of Yamaguchi *et al.* [35], who found an epitope on *Helicobacter pylori* GroEL that was shared with numerous other species.

### *Alkyl Hydroperoxide Reductase Protein C*

Free radicals and oxidative stress play important roles in the innate immune response of the host. Phagocytic cells are able to kill invading bacteria through a pathway reliant on reactive oxygen and nitrogen intermediates [36]. Two of these roles are to inhibit proteases via S-nitrosylation of the cysteine residues in the activation site and nicking of DNA to induce apoptosis [36-39]. In this study, AhpC (Alkyl hydroperoxide reductase protein C), a predicted

oxidative stress response protein was detected in all four strains. As AhpC was highly expressed, it is possible that the expression of this protein is up-regulated during the early stages of infection and phagocytosis when oxidative stress response is at its greatest in the host [36, 40]. If this postulate is correct, then AhpC may represent an attractive target for early immunological diagnosis of melioidosis.

### *Enolase*

The main function of enolase is a part of the glycolysis pathway, but it has also been described as a “moonlighting” protein, detected on the cell surface and involved in plasminogen binding. This additional function allows the bacteria to penetrate the extracellular matrix and cell membrane, facilitating the spread of bacteria into tissue. Enolases do not have traditional sorting signals and it is unknown how the proteins are transferred to the cell surface [41-43].

The amino acid sequence of enolase in *B. pseudomallei* (BPSL2270) is rich in alanine, glycine, and leucine (11%, 10.8%, and 10.1%, respectively). Lysine is recognized as an important amino acid in the active site of the C-terminus and comprises 4.7% of the sequence in BPSL2270. A hydrophobic domain and posttranslational acylation or phosphorylation are known to play a role in membrane association [41].

Folden *et al.* [44] found that *Borrelia burgdorferi* enolase was exposed on the cell surface using microscopy and immunoassays and acted as a receptor for plasminogen. They also examined the conversion of plasminogen to plasmin and immunoblotted enolases of recombinant and cell lysates. These enolases proven to be strongly seropositive are consistent with our results.

### *Elongation Factor Tu*

Translational elongation factor Tu (EF-Tu) contributes to the lengthening of the peptide chain in protein synthesis [45, 46], but has additionally been reported as an adhesin, necessary for cell invasion in several pathogenic bacteria. Nieve *et al.* [47] identified AhpC, DnaK, and EF-Tu as highly immunogenic proteins of the closely related *Burkholderia thailandensis*. They found that intranasal immunization using a recombinant EF-Tu was able to generate an IgG antibody that recognized the native EF-Tu, representing a potential vaccine target for *B. pseudomallei*.

Granto *et al.* [48] identified EF-Tu in *Lactobacillus johnsonii* NCC533 (La1) as immunogenic surface molecules which mediated attachment to intestinal epithelial cells and mucins. Kolberg *et al.* [49] also found EF-Tu to be surface exposed in multiple bacteria using flow cytometry. It appears that EF-Tu is highly immunogenic in multiple species and plays a critical role for pathogens in invasion of host cells.

#### *LysR family transcriptional regulator*

LTTRs are one of the most abundant types of transcriptional regulators known in prokaryotes [50]. These proteins regulate diverse genes involved in virulence, metabolism, and motility. Rainbow *et al.* (2002) report that the proteins of the LysR family possess a potential 'helix-turn-helix' DNA-binding motif that is conserved in their N-terminal section.

#### *Sigma-54 dependent DNA binding response regulator*

AtoC are regulators responsive to a variety of environmental signals. Chemical and metabolic changes modulate the expression or the activity of regulatory proteins for determining the level of expression of sigma54-dependent genes and hence the diverse bacterial functions

that they encode. Sigma-54 proteins are widespread among bacteria and required for diverse functions such as motility, phage shock response, and nitrogen assimilation (Reitzer, 2003)

#### *Immunogenic variable proteins*

Most of the immunogenic proteins are specific to serum and strain. For examples, AtpD, AtpA, DnaK, flagellum (FliC), and sucrose-6-phosphate hydrolase are common immunogenic proteins identified previously by Felgner et al. [14], but our study has shown that they are detected in not all cases. It is postulated that the variation in detected immunogenic proteins can be caused by different stages of illness and also that the different clinical presentation reflects different pathways of virulent protein involvement [29].

Gene expression studies have shown that ATP synthases such as AtpA and AtpD can be down-regulated. In host-pathogen interactions, the bacteria in the intracellular state adjust nutrient availability for survival, resulting in down regulation of energy metabolism such as ATP synthase and NADH dehydrogenase [51]. Tuanyok *et al.* [52] found that iron stress results in modulation of expression of ATP synthase subunit epsilon. But this research found strong reactivity for ATP. It is postulated that the proteins are required for surviving and thriving in the host.

FliC protein is believed to play roles of motility of the bacterium to disseminate from localized sites, such as skin and lung, to other organs via bacteremic spread. This ability of *B. pseudomallei* may be important also for intracellular survival and in the pathogenicity of both acute and chronic infection [53]. Chua et al. [54] constructed isogenic deletion mutant with flagellum gene (fliC) and challenged mice with the mutant; all animals infected with this mutant remained healthy. The patient whose serum linked to *B. pseudomallei* PB1007001 presented with a skin abscess and associated swollen regional lymph node. This illness followed a presumptive

inoculating skin cut on the leg. From this patient serum, FliC was detected as an immunogenic protein.

DnaK is a chaperone protein having conserved domain like GroEL, which remains unchanged level at different media and surrounding environment and keep the transcript level even in different strains [55]. That means this chaperone protein had the same transcript level across all the four strains at this research. At this research, two sera showed reactivity to DnaK. This protein was also identified as being immunoreactive with melioidosis case sera by others [14, 30]. Being detected by only two isolates out of four may reflect different pathway of infection or progress of infection.

## **Comparison of identified common immunogenic protein sequence**

We blasted our commonly detected immunogenic protein sequences with those of selected commensal bacteria, *B. mallei* and *B. thailandensis* strains to investigate structural similarities. Most of our common immunogenic proteins are chaperonins, which have highly conserved structure. Those conserved structures have high similarity with commensal bacteria and other genetically similar species. This could hinder these proteins from being used as a specific biomarkers for diagnosis or as vaccine target. Vaccine candidates should be differentiated between beneficial and harmful microbes.

The sequence comparison of the common proteins showed that the similarities were lower with commensal bacteria. These are of greatest concern as they can colonize healthy humans and are distributed widely. The lower similarities imply that the identified 8 proteins might be useful as vaccine candidates and would not cause an immune reaction to commensal microbes. The common proteins of *B. pseudomallei* matched or were very high similarity to *B.*

*mallei* and *B. thailandensis*. However, Ndh and AtoC of *B. pseudomallei* showed the slightly lower similarity with potential as a diagnosis biomarker.

Translating our proteome data to other proteome studies of *B. pseudomallei* recovered from different clinical presentations and to serial sera responses over the time course of infections of individual patients will help further unravel the pathogenesis of infection with *B. pseudomallei*.

## Conclusion

We identified proteins common to all four strains that are strongly immunogenic with all sera used. Perhaps not coincidentally, these proteins are also highly expressed as visualized with 2DE and silver staining. This suggests that this group of eight known proteins have a potential for use as diagnostic targets or vaccine subunits. Further studies of more patient-*B. pseudomallei* isolate matches are required to assess the universality of these protein responses and to also determine how the progression of disease affects the expression of *B. pseudomallei* proteins and the resulting host antibody production.

## Acknowledgement.

This work was supported by the United States Department of Homeland Security (<https://www.dhs.gov/>) grant no. HSHQDC-10-C-00135 and Defense Threat Reduction Agency (<http://www.dtra.mil/>) grant no. HDTRA1-12-C-0022. We thank our laboratory colleagues at the Royal Darwin Hospital for their support and expertise in diagnosis of melioidosis and



identification of *B. pseudomallei*, and Vanessa Theobald and Glenda Harrington at the Menzies School of Health Research for laboratory assistance with this study.

## Reference

1. Cheng AC, Currie BJ. Melioidosis: Epidemiology, pathophysiology, and management. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2005;18(2):383-416. doi: 10.1128/cmr.18.2.383-416.2005. PubMed PMID: ISI:000228543300006.
2. Limmathurotsakul D, Golding N, Dance DA, Messina JP, Pigott DM, Moyes CL, et al. Predicted global distribution of and burden of melioidosis. *Nature microbiology.* 2016;1(1). Epub 2016/02/16. doi: 10.1038/nmicrobiol.2015.8. PubMed PMID: 26877885; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4746747.
3. Currie B, Dance D, Cheng A. The global distribution of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and melioidosis: an update. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 2008;102(Suppl 1):S1 - S4. Epub 2009/01/16. doi: 10.1016/S0035-9203(08)70002-6. PubMed PMID: 19121666.
4. Wiersinga WJ, Currie BJ, Peacock SJ. Melioidosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367(11):1035-44.
5. Limmathurotsakul D, Chaowagul W, Chierakul W, Stepniewska K, Maharjan B, Wuthiekanun V, et al. Risk factors for recurrent melioidosis in northeast Thailand. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2006;43(8):979-86. doi: 10.1086/507632. PubMed PMID: WOS:000240666200005.
6. Sarovich DS, Price EP, Limmathurotsakul D, Cook JM, Von Schulze AT, Wolken SR, et al. Development of ceftazidime resistance in an acute *Burkholderia pseudomallei* infection. *Infect Drug Resist.* 2012;5:129-32.
7. Chantratita N, Rhol DA, Sim B, Wuthiekanun V, Limmathurotsakul D, Amornchai P, et al. Antimicrobial resistance to ceftazidime involving loss of penicillin-binding protein 3 in *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.* 2011;108(41):17165-70. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1111020108.
8. Schweizer HP. Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in *Burkholderia pseudomallei*: implications for treatment of melioidosis. *Future Microbiol.* 2012;7(12):1389-99. doi: 10.2217/fmb.12.116. PubMed PMID: WOS:000312474400011.
9. White NJ. Melioidosis. *The Lancet.* 2003;361(9370):1715-22. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(03)13374-0.
10. Burtnick MN, Brett PJ, Harding SV, Ngugi SA, Ribot WJ, Chantratita N, et al. The Cluster 1 Type VI Secretion System Is a Major Virulence Determinant in *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *Infect Immun.* 2011;79(4):1512-25. doi: 10.1128/iai.01218-10. PubMed PMID: WOS:000288532300013.
11. Galan JE, Collmer A. Type III secretion machines: Bacterial devices for protein delivery into host cells. *Science.* 1999;284(5418):1322-8. doi: 10.1126/science.284.5418.1322. PubMed PMID: WOS:000080430600044.
12. Stevens MP, Wood MW, Taylor LA, Monaghan P, Hawes P, Jones PW, et al. An Inv/Mxi-Spa-like type III protein secretion system in *Burkholderia pseudomallei* modulates intracellular behaviour of the pathogen. *Mol Microbiol.* 2002;46(3):649-59. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2002.03190.x.
13. Wolfe DN, Florence W, Paula B. Current biodefense vaccine programs and challenges. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics.* 2013;9(7):1591-7.
14. Felgner PL, Kayala MA, Vigil A, Burk C, Nakajima-Sasaki R, Pablo J, et al. A *Burkholderia pseudomallei* protein microarray reveals serodiagnostic and cross-reactive

- antigens. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009;106(32):13499-504. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0812080106. PubMed PMID: ISI:000268877300064.
15. Tuanyok A, Stone JK, Mayo M, Kaestli M, Gruendike J, Georgia S, et al. The genetic and molecular basis of O-antigenic diversity in *Burkholderia pseudomallei* lipopolysaccharide. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*. 2012;6(1):e1453. Epub 2012/01/12. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0001453. PubMed PMID: 22235357; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3250505.
16. Stewart T, Engelthaler DM, Blaney DD, Tuanyok A, Wangsness E, Smith TL, et al. Epidemiology and investigation of melioidosis, Southern Arizona. *Emerging infectious diseases*. 2011;17(7):1286-8. Epub 2011/07/19. doi: 10.3201/eid1707.100661. PubMed PMID: 21762589; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3381374.
17. Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical Biochemistry*. 1976;72(1-2):248-54.
18. Ofarrell PH. HIGH-RESOLUTION 2-DIMENSIONAL ELECTROPHORESIS OF PROTEINS. *J Biol Chem*. 1975;250(10):4007-21. PubMed PMID: ISI:A1975AD05500064.
19. Rabilloud T, Adessi C, Giraudel A, Lunardi J. Improvement of the solubilization of proteins in two-dimensional electrophoresis with immobilized pH gradients. *Electrophoresis*. 1997;18(3-4):307-16. doi: 10.1002/elps.1150180303. PubMed PMID: WOS:A1997WW35800002.
20. Gorg A, Weiss W, Dunn MJ. Current two-dimensional electrophoresis technology for proteomics. *Proteomics*. 2004;4(12):3665-85. PubMed PMID: ISI:000225801200001.
21. Shevchenko A, Wilm M, Vorm O, Mann M. Mass Spectrometric Sequencing of Proteins from Silver-Stained Polyacrylamide Gels. *Analytical Chemistry*. 1996;68(5):850-8. doi: 10.1021/ac950914h.
22. Shevchenko A, Tomas H, Havlis J, Olsen JV, Mann M. In-gel digestion for mass spectrometric characterization of proteins and proteomes. *Nat Protocols*. 2007;1(6):2856-60.
23. Shilov IV, Seymour SL, Patel AA, Loboda A, Tang WH, Keating SP, et al. The paragon algorithm, a next generation search engine that uses sequence temperature values and feature probabilities to identify peptides from tandem mass spectra. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. 2007;6(9):1638-55. doi: 10.1074/mcp.T600050-MCP200. PubMed PMID: WOS:000249237200014.
24. Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, et al. The RAST server: Rapid annotations using subsystems technology. *BMC Genomics*. 2008;9. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-9-75. PubMed PMID: WOS:000253988600002.
25. Meyer F, Overbeek R, Rodriguez A. FIGfams: yet another set of protein families. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2009;37(20):6643-54. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp698. PubMed PMID: WOS:000271819900001.
26. Yu NY, Laird MR, Spencer C, Brinkman FSL. PSORTdb-an expanded, auto-updated, user-friendly protein subcellular localization database for Bacteria and Archaea. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2011;39:D241-D4. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkq1093. PubMed PMID: ISI:000285831700041.
27. Petersen TN, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H. SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal peptides from transmembrane regions. *Nat Methods*. 2011;8(10):785-6. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1701. PubMed PMID: WOS:000295358000004.

28. Pearson T, Giffard P, Beckstrom-Sternberg S, Auerbach R, Hornstra H, Tuanyok A, et al. Phylogeographic reconstruction of a bacterial species with high levels of lateral gene transfer. *BMC biology*. 2009;7(1):78.
29. Sarovich DS, Price EP, Webb JR, Ward LM, Voutsinos MY, Tuanyok A, et al. Variable Virulence Factors in *Burkholderia pseudomallei* (Meliodosis) Associated with Human Disease. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(3). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0091682. PubMed PMID: WOS:000332842400118.
30. Harding SV, Sarkar-Tyson M, Smither SJ, Atkins TP, Oyston PCF, Brown KA, et al. The identification of surface proteins of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *Vaccine*. 2007;25(14):2664-72. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2006.12.006. PubMed PMID: ISI:000245732700016.
31. Woo PCY, Leung PKL, Wong SSY, Ho P-L, Yuen K-Y. groEL Encodes a Highly Antigenic Protein in *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*. 2001;8(4):832-6. doi: 10.1128/cdli.8.4.832-836.2001.
32. Amemiya K, Meyers JL, DeShazer D, Riggins RN, Halasohoris S, England M, et al. Detection of the host immune response to *Burkholderia mallei* heat-shock proteins GroEL and DnaK in a glanders patient and infected mice. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2007;59(2):137-47. doi: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2007.04.017.
33. Suwannasaen D, Mahawantung J, Chaowagul W, Limmathurotsakul D, Felgner PL, Davies H, et al. Human Immune Responses to *Burkholderia pseudomallei* Characterized by Protein Microarray Analysis. *J Infect Dis*. 2011;203(7):1002-11. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiq142. PubMed PMID: ISI:000288553800016.
34. Varga JJ, Vigil A, DeShazer D, Waag DM, Felgner P, Goldberg JB. Distinct human antibody response to the biological warfare agent *Burkholderia mallei*. *Virulence*. 2012;3(6):510-4. doi: 10.4161/viru.22056. PubMed PMID: WOS:000312000300007.
35. Yamaguchi H, Osaki T, Taguchi H, Hanawa T, Yamamoto T, Kamiya S. Production and characterisation of monoclonal antibodies to heat-shock protein 60 of *Helicobacter pylori*. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*. 1997;46(10):819-24. PubMed PMID: WOS:A1997YA85800003.
36. Bogdan C, Rollinghoff M, Diefenbach A. Reactive oxygen and reactive nitrogen intermediates in innate and specific immunity. *Curr Opin Immunol*. 2000;12(1):64-76. doi: 10.1016/s0952-7915(99)00052-7. PubMed PMID: WOS:000085306900009.
37. Stamler JS. S-nitrosothiols and the bioregulatory actions of nitrogen oxides through reactions with thiol groups. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol*. 1995;196:19-36. PubMed PMID: MEDLINE:7634823.
38. Keefer LK, Wink DA. DNA damage and nitric oxide. *Advances in experimental medicine and biology*. 1996;387:177-85. PubMed PMID: MEDLINE:8794210.
39. Loprasert S, Sallabhan R, Whangsuk W, Mongkolsuk S. Compensatory increase in *ahpC* gene expression and its role in protecting *Burkholderia pseudomallei* against reactive nitrogen intermediates. *Arch Microbiol*. 2003;180(6):498-502. doi: 10.1007/s00203-003-0621-9. PubMed PMID: WOS:000187013900015.
40. Adler NRL, Govan B, Cullinane M, Harper M, Adler B, Boyce JD. The molecular and cellular basis of pathogenesis in melioidosis: how does *Burkholderia pseudomallei* cause disease? *Fems Microbiol Rev*. 2009;33(6):1079-99. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2009.00189.x. PubMed PMID: ISI:000270397600004.
41. Pancholi V. Multifunctional alpha-enolase: its role in diseases. *Cell Mol Life Sci*. 2001;58(7):902-20. doi: 10.1007/pl00000910. PubMed PMID: WOS:000170010400005.

42. Lahteenmaki K, Edelman S, Korhonen TK. Bacterial metastasis: the host plasminogen system in bacterial invasion. *Trends Microbiol.* 2005;13(2):79-85. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2004.12.003. PubMed PMID: WOS:000227298600009.
43. Henderson B, Martin A. Bacterial Virulence in the Moonlight: Multitasking Bacterial Moonlighting Proteins Are Virulence Determinants in Infectious Disease. *Infect Immun.* 2011;79(9):3476-91. doi: 10.1128/iai.00179-11. PubMed PMID: WOS:000293891000001.
44. Floden AM, Watt JA, Brissette CA. *Borrelia burgdorferi* Enolase Is a Surface-Exposed Plasminogen Binding Protein. *PLoS One.* 2011;6(11). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0027502. PubMed PMID: WOS:000297349700053.
45. Hendrick JP, Hartl FU. MOLECULAR CHAPERONE FUNCTIONS OF HEAT-SHOCK PROTEINS. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 1993;62:349-84. doi: 10.1146/annurev.bi.62.070193.002025. PubMed PMID: WOS:A1993LK68700013.
46. Andersen GR, Nissen P, Nyborg J. Elongation factors in protein biosynthesis. *Trends BiochemSci.* 2003;28(8):434-41. doi: 10.1016/s0968-0004(03)00162-2.
47. Nieves W, Heang J, Asakrah S, Bentrup KHZ, Roy CJ, Morici LA. Immunospecific Responses to Bacterial Elongation Factor Tu during *Burkholderia* Infection and Immunization. *PLoS One.* 2010;5(12):12. doi: e14361  
10.1371/journal.pone.0014361. PubMed PMID: ISI:000285572900004.
48. Granato D, Bergonzelli GE, Pridmore RD, Marvin L, Rouvet M, Corthesy-Theulaz IE. Cell surface-associated elongation factor Tu mediates the attachment of *Lactobacillus johnsonii* NCC533 (La1) to human intestinal cells and mucins. *Infect Immun.* 2004;72(4):2160-9. doi: 10.1128/iai.72.4.2160-2169.2004. PubMed PMID: WOS:000220481600037.
49. Kolberg J, Hammerschmidt S, Frank R, Jonak J, Sanderova H, Aase A. The surface-associated elongation factor Tu is concealed for antibody binding on viable pneumococci and meningococci. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol.* 2008;53(2):222-30. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-695X.2008.00419.x. PubMed PMID: WOS:000256717800010.
50. Schell MA. MOLECULAR-BIOLOGY OF THE LYSR FAMILY OF TRANSCRIPTIONAL REGULATORS. *Annu Rev Microbiol.* 1993;47:597-626. doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.47.1.597. PubMed PMID: WOS:A1993MA27600021.
51. Chieng S, Carreto L, Nathan S. *Burkholderia pseudomallei* transcriptional adaptation in macrophages. *BMC Genomics.* 2012;13(328):1471-2164.
52. Festa F, Steel J, Bian XF, Labaer J. High-throughput cloning and expression library creation for functional proteomics. *Proteomics.* 2013;13(9):1381-99. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201200456. PubMed PMID: WOS:000318049800002.
53. Kespichayawattana W, Rattanachetkul S, Wanun T, Utaisincharoen P, Sirisinha S. *Burkholderia pseudomallei* induces cell fusion and actin-associated membrane protrusion: a possible mechanism for cell-to-cell spreading. *Infect Immun.* 2000;68(9):5377-84. doi: 10.1128/iai.68.9.5377-5384.2000. PubMed PMID: WOS:000088870200073.
54. Chua KL, Chan YY, Gan YH. Flagella are virulence determinants of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *Infect Immun.* 2003;71(4):1622-9. doi: 10.1128/iai.71.4.1622-1629.2003. PubMed PMID: WOS:000181926200002.
55. Burtnick MN, Brett PJ. *Burkholderia mallei* and *Burkholderia pseudomallei* Cluster 1 Type VI Secretion System Gene Expression Is Negatively Regulated by Iron and Zinc. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(10):11. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0076767. PubMed PMID: WOS:000325819400081.



## FIGURES AND TABLES

**Figure 1:** 2D silver stained map of immunogenic proteins of four *Burkholderia pseudomallei* strains. Colored circles highlight all immunogenic proteins identified. Proteins identified in at least two strains as immunogenic are colored in yellow and in only one strain as follows: MSHR1079 (blue), MSHR1328 (orange), PB08298010 (purple), and PB1007001 (green).

**Figure 2:** Comparison of immunogenic proteins identified from four *Burkholderia pseudomallei* strains. Number is the total detected immunogenic protein spots for each strain and numbers in parentheses are the number of identified immunogenic protein spots for each strain by mass spectrometry. Colored circles correspond to each strain as follows: MSHR1079 (blue), MSHR1328 (orange), PB08298010 (purple), and PB1007001 (green). Note: This 2DE image was generated from *B. pseudomallei* strain PB0829010.

**Table 1:** Summary of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* strains and human patient serum used in this study.

**Table 2:** Immunogenic proteins identified from four *Burkholderia pseudomallei* strains

<sup>a</sup> Immunogenic protein position corresponding to spot on gel (Figure 1).

<sup>b</sup> KEGG stands for Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.

<sup>c</sup> EC (Enzyme Commission number) means a numerical classification for enzymes according to their catalysis reaction.

**Table 3:** Percent identity of immunogenic proteins of *B. pseudomallei* compared to four commensal bacteria and *Burkholderia mallei* and *Burkholderia thailandensis* E264.

\* NS: No Significant Similarity

(A) Heat shock protein 60 family chaperone, GroEL (B) Translation elongation factor Tu, EF-Tu (C) Enolase, Eno (D) Alkyl hydroperoxide reductase subunit C, AhpC (E) NADH dehydrogenase, Ndh (F) Cell division protein, FtsA (G) Sigma-54 dependent DNA binding response regulator, AtoC (H) LysR family transcriptional regulator, LTTR.





