Increased pericarp cell length underlies a major QTL for grain 1 weight in hexaploid wheat 2 3 4 Jemima Brinton, James Simmonds, Francesca Minter, Michelle Leverington-Waite, John Snape, Cristobal Uauy 5 John Innes Centre, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7UH, United Kingdom 6 7 Corresponding author: Cristobal Uauy 8 9 cristobal.uauy@jic.ac.uk 10 +44 (0)1603 45019 11 Word counts 12 13 Total: currently 6,317 Introduction: 868 14 15 Materials and methods: 1568 16 Results: 2567 17 Discussion: 1231 18 Acknowledgements: 83 19 Figures: 1-6 (all colour) 20 21 Tables: 1 22 Supporting Information: 8 Figures; 4 Tables; 1 File.

## Summary

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

- Crop yields must increase to address food insecurity. Grain weight, determined by grain length and width, is an important yield component, but our understanding of the underlying genes and mechanisms is limited.
- We used genetic mapping and near isogenic lines (NILs) to identify, validate and fine
  map a major quantitative trait loci (QTL) on wheat chromosome 5A associated with grain
  weight. Detailed phenotypic characterisation of developing and mature grains from the
  NILs was performed.
- We identified a stable and robust QTL associated with a 6.9 % increase in grain weight. The positive interval leads to 4.0 % longer grains, with differences first visible twelve days post fertilization. This grain length effect was fine-mapped to a 4.3 cM interval. The locus also has a pleiotropic effect on grain width (1.5 %) during late grain development that determines the relative magnitude of the grain weight increase. Positive NILs have increased maternal pericarp cell length, an effect which is independent of absolute grain length.
- These results provide direct genetic evidence that pericarp cell length affects final grain size and weight in polyploid wheat. We propose that combining genes which control distinct biological mechanisms, such as cell expansion and proliferation, will enhance crop yields.
- Key words: wheat, thousand grain weight, yield, grain size, grain length, cell size, pericarp, OTL

### Introduction

- By 2050, it is predicted that the human population will have exceeded nine billion people
- 46 (United Nations, 2015). This is driving an increased demand for food production which is
- 47 exacerbated by the use of crops for fuel and animal feed, and the pressures on agricultural
- 48 systems resulting from climate change. With land for agricultural expansion being limited,
- 49 increasing crop yields provides a sustainable route towards meeting this demand. However,
- 50 rates of yield increase have slowed in recent years and are currently insufficient to achieve the

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

estimated doubling in crop production that will be required by 2050 (Tilman et al., 2011; Ray et al., 2013). Projections show that increasing productivity on existing farmlands would increase the available food supply and lower prices, significantly reducing the number of people at risk of hunger globally (Rosegrant et al., 2013). With one in nine people currently living under food insecurity (FAO et al., 2015), it is urgent that we identify ways to increase crop yields. Final crop yield is a complex quantitative trait strongly influenced by interacting genetic and environmental factors. For cereal crops, seed/grain weight (measured as thousand grain weight (TGW)) is a major yield component and is more stably inherited than final yield itself (Kuchel et al., 2007). Grain weight is largely defined by the size of individual grains and the morphometric components of grain area, length and width. A number of genes controlling these traits have been cloned from major grain weight quantitative trait loci (QTL) in rice (Fan et al., 2006; Song et al., 2007; Weng et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2012). For example, GW2, a RING-type E3 ubiquitin ligase acts as a negative regulator of cell division and was identified as the gene underlying a major OTL for rice grain width and weight (Song et al., 2007). These studies, in addition to those in model species, have shown that seed size is controlled through diverse mechanisms and genetic pathways (reviewed in Xing & Zhang, 2010; Li & Li, 2015). In Arabidopsis, the AINTEGUMENTA (ANT) transcription factor increases seed size through increased cell proliferation (Mizukami & Fischer, 2000), whilst the APETELA2 (AP2) transcription factor regulates seed size by limiting cell expansion (Ohto et al., 2005). Other genes include those involved in phytohormone biosynthesis and signalling (Riefler et al., 2006; Schruff et al., 2006; Jiang et al., 2013) and G-protein signalling pathways (Huang et al., 2009). Interestingly, many of these genes have been shown to act maternally (reviewed in Li & Li, 2015) and it has been proposed that the seed coat/pericarp (a maternal tissue) sets an upper limit to the final size of the seed/grain (Adamski et al., 2009; Hasan et al., 2011; Xia et al., 2013). Despite these advances, our understanding of the control of grain size is more limited in important crop species such as wheat (Triticum aestivum). Wheat provides around 20% of the calories consumed by humans and more protein globally than all types of meat combined (FAO,

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

2017). Many QTL for grain weight and, more recently, individual grain size/shape components have been identified in wheat (Breseghello & Sorrells, 2007; Gegas et al., 2010; Simmonds et al., 2014; Farre et al., 2016; Kumar et al., 2016). However, no mechanistic insight has been provided for these QTL, few have been validated (Simmonds et al., 2014) and as yet, none have been cloned. A major challenge to validate and define the mechanisms governing grain weight QTL in polyploid wheat has been that their effects are often subtle compared with QTL identified in diploid species such as rice (Uauy, 2017). One explanation is that wheat has a more limited capacity for increasing grain size than rice. An alternative, and more likely, scenario is that the effect of variation in an individual gene is masked by functional redundancy from homoeologous gene copies (Borrill et al., 2015); bread wheat is a hexaploid species with three homoeologous genomes (A, B and D) that share between 96-98% sequence similarity across genes (Krasileva et al., 2013). In addition, the size (17 Gb) and highly repetitive nature of the wheat genome has meant that, until recently, the genomic resources available in wheat have been limited. However, in the last few years there has been a radical change in the wheat genomics landscape with resources now including complete genome sequences and high quality gene models (IWGSC RefSeg v1.0; IWGSC, 2014; Clavijo et al., 2017), transcriptomic databases (Pearce et al., 2015b; Borrill et al., 2016), high density single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) arrays (Wang et al., 2014; Winfield et al., 2016) and exome-sequenced mutant populations (Krasileva *et al.*, 2017). In this study, we identified a stable and robust QTL for grain weight in hexaploid wheat, which is driven by an increase in grain length. The QTL affects cell expansion in the grain and acts to increase the length of cells in the pericarp (maternal seed coat). We genetically mapped the effect to an interval on chromosome 5A, and used the latest wheat genome sequences and gene models to define the genes within the physical space. This detailed characterisation of the QTL provides direct genetic evidence that pericarp cell expansion affects final grain size, offering new insights into the mechanisms controlling grain weight in polyploid wheat.

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

Methods Plant material A doubled haploid (DH) mapping population was developed from the cross between two UK hexaploid winter wheat cultivars, 'Charger' and 'Badger'. The population was created using the wheat x maize technique from F<sub>1</sub> plants (Laurie & Bennett, 1988) and comprised 129 individuals, 92 of which were genotyped and used for evaluation. The 5A QTL was validated with the development of near isogenic lines (NILs). Two DH lines (CB53 and CB89) homozygous for the positive Badger loci across the complete linkage group were crossed to Charger and heterozygous F<sub>1</sub> plants were backcrossed to the Charger recurrent parent for four generations (BC<sub>4</sub>). Heterozygous plants were selected at each generation using markers Xgwm293 and Xgwm186. After BC<sub>2</sub> and BC<sub>4</sub>, heterozygotes were self-pollinated and NILs homozygous for the alternative alleles across the interval were extracted (BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> and BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub>). In total 10 BC<sub>2</sub> NILs were generated, six of which carried the Xgwm293 to Xgwm186 Badger positive interval. An additional twelve  $BC_4$  NILs were generated from the two DH lines (six Badger and six Charger interval). Two representative BC<sub>4</sub> NILs with alternative haplotypes were genotyped with the 90K iSelect array (Wang et al., 2014) to confirm the introgression and identify additional segregating genomic regions. Recombinant  $BC_4F_2$  plants between the flanking markers were also selected and self-pollinated for the development of homozygous  $BC_4F_3$  recombinant inbred lines (RILs). Screening 170 plants with flanking markers *Xgwm293* and *Xgwm186* yielded 60 recombinants within the interval, defining a genetic interval of 17.65 cM. Genetic map construction and QTL analysis The Charger x Badger genetic map was developed using simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers. From 650 SSR's tested, 239 from JIC/psp (Bryan et al., 1997; Stephenson et al., 1998), IPK Gatersleben/gwm/qdm (Roder et al., 1998; Pestsova et al., 2000), Wheat Microsatellite Consortium/wmc (http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/ggpages/SSR/WMC/), Beltsville Agricultural Research Station/barc (Song et al., 2005) and INRA/cfa/cfd (Guyomarc'h et al., 2002) collections were polymorphic between parental lines. Consensus maps (Somers et al., 2004) were used to select 212 SSR markers which maximised genome coverage with an approximate marker density

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

of one SSR every 20 cM. In addition, nine sequence-tagged microsatellite profiling (STMP) markers (Hayden & Sharp, 2001) were incorporated into the map. To increase marker density, 75 Kompetitive Allele Specific Primers (KASP) markers were utilised. Markers with assigned chromosome locations (Allen et al, 2011) were targeted to fill gaps in the genetic map. DNA extractions and genotyping procedures were performed as in Simmonds et al., (2014). Likewise, map construction, QTL detection and multi-trait multi-environment (MTME) analysis was conducted as in Simmonds et al. (2014). Significant QTL effects were detected above a 2.5 log-of-odds (LOD) threshold. SSR and KASP markers used in the QTL analyses were positioned with respect to the newly released Chinese Spring sequence through a BLAST search of 100 to 300 bp encompassing each SNP against the IWGSC RefSeg v1.0 (https://wheat-urgi.versailles.inra.fr/Seg-Repository/Assemblies). In most cases, the order on the reference sequence agreed with the genetic order in the Charger x Badger population. For discrepancies, we used the genetic position to order markers. In cases of no hits to the RefSeq v1.0 assembly, we inferred a physical position based on the two closest markers and the relative distance of all three markers based on their centiMorgan positions. Similarly, physical positions of all iSelect SNPs were obtained using BLAST to align the surrounding sequence (201 bp) to the RefSeq v1.0 assembly. TGACv1 gene models were positioned on RefSeqv1.0 with GMAP (Wu & Watanabe, 2005) using best hit position and 95% minimum similarity cut-off (David Swarbreck and Gemy Kaithakottil; Earlham Institute). Field evaluation and phenotyping The DH population was evaluated in the field in a randomised complete block design with three replications at five sites (Norwich and Sandringham, England; Balmonth, Scotland; Bohnshausen, Germany; and Froissy, France (Simmonds et al., 2014)). The experiments were grown for three years (2001–2003) at Norwich and Sandringham, and two years (2002–2003) at the other three sites. The field trials were sown in large-scale yield plots (1.1 $\square \times \square 6$  m) and treated with standard farm pesticide and fertiliser applications to reproduce commercial practise. All trials were sown

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

by grain number for comparable plant densities per plot (275 seeds\*m<sup>-2</sup>). Plots were measured for final plot yield after adjustment for plot size, and TGW was calculated by counting and weighing 100 seeds from each plot. The NILs were evaluated at Norwich in 2012 and 2013 (10 BC<sub>2</sub> NILs), 2014 (12 BC<sub>4</sub> NILs) and 2015 and 2016 (4 BC<sub>4</sub> NILs), while BC<sub>4</sub> RILs were analysed in 2014-2016. For both NIL and RIL experiments, a randomized complete block design was used with five replications. NILs were grown in large-scale yield plots  $(1.1 \square \times \square 6 \text{ m})$ , whereas RILs were grown in 1.1 x 1 m plots in 2014 and large-scale yield plots in 2015 and 2016. Final grain yield (adjusted by plot size and moisture content) was determined for NILs across the five years. Developmental traits were also measured for NILs in 2012-2016, although not all traits were measured in each year (Supporting Information Table S1). For all NILs (2012-2016) and RILs (2014-2016), grain morphometric measurements (grain width, length, area) and TGW were recorded on the MARVIN grain analyser (GTA Sensorik GmbH, Germany) using approximately 400 grains obtained from the harvested grain samples. For all NILs (2012-2016), ten representative spikes per field plot were also measured for spike yield components (spikelet number, number of viable spikelets, spike length, grain number per spike, spike yield and seeds per spikelet), TGW and grain morphometric parameters. The data from the ten representative spikes was consistent with the whole plot values. Grain developmental time courses The BC<sub>4</sub> NILs grown in 2014-2016 were used for the grain developmental time courses. Two Charger (5A-) and two Badger (5A+) NILs were used, and the same NILs were used in all three years. We tagged 65 ears per NIL across each of four blocks in the field at full ear emergence (peduncle just visible) to ensure sampling at the same developmental stage. Ten spikes per NIL, per block, were sampled at each of five (2014) or six (2015-2016) time points. 2014 time points included 4, 8, 12, 18 and 27 days post anthesis (dpa), 2015 time points included anthesis (0 dpa), 4, 7, 12, 19 and 26 dpa. 2016 time points included 0, 3, 8, 10, 15, 21 dpa. Ten grains were sampled from each spike from the outer florets (positions F1 and F2) of spikelets located in the middle of the spike. Grains were weighed to obtain fresh weight, assessed for morphometric

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

parameters (grain area, length and width) on the MARVIN grain analyser and then dried at 37 °C to constant weight (dry weight). For each block at each time point, a total of ~100 grains were sampled (10 spikes x 10 grains) per NIL. However, for the statistical analysis the average of each NIL within each block was used as the phenotypic value as the individual grains and spikes were considered as subsamples. Cell size measurements One representative 5A- and 5A+ BC<sub>4</sub> NIL was used for cell size measurements. We selected mature grains from three blocks of the 2015 harvest samples based on a variety of criteria. For each NIL, we selected nine grains of average grain length from the whole harvest sample from each block (groups 5A-/5A+ average). For the 5A- NIL, an additional nine grains were selected that had grain lengths equivalent to the average of the 5A+ NIL sample (5A- large). For the 5A+ NIL an additional nine grains were selected that had grain lengths equivalent to the average of the 5A- NIL sample (5A+ small). We also selected grains of average length from three blocks of the 2016 harvest (nine grains were selected from each block per genotype). Grains were stuck crease-down on to 12.5mm diameter aluminium specimen stubs using 12 mm adhesive carbon tabs (both Agar Scientific), sputter coated with gold using an Agar high resolution sputter coater and imaged using a Zeiss Supra 55 SEM. The surface (pericarp) of each grain was imaged in the top and bottom half of the grain, with images taken in at least three positions in each half. All images were taken at a magnification of 500x. Cell length was measured using the Fiji distribution of ImageJ (Schindelin et al., 2012) (Supporting Information Fig. S1). Cell number was estimated for each grain using average cell length/grain length. For the statistical analyses, we considered the average cell length of each individual grain as a subsample within the block. Statistical analysis DH lines homozygous across the genetic interval for the two major QTL, Qtgw-cb.2B (Xgwm259-Xstm119tgaq) and Qtqw-cb.5A (Xqwm443-XBS00000435) were classified by genotype. Using this classification, general linear model analyses of variance (ANOVA) were performed for TGW incorporating environment and year as factors for each individual QTL, and for lines with both increasing alleles compared with those with neither. Pearson's correlation coefficient was

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

calculated to assess the correlation between yield and TGW. All analyses performed on DH lines was carried out using Minitab v17.3.1 (Minitab Inc.). The NILs and RILs were evaluated using two-way ANOVAs, with the model including the interaction between environment and the 5A QTL. RIL groups were assigned as having a Charger or Badger like grain length phenotype using a post hoc Dunnett's test to compare with C- and B-control groups. Similarly, two-way ANOVAs, including genotype and block, were conducted for the developmental time courses and cell size measurements. Analyses were performed using GenStat 15<sup>th</sup> edition (VSN International) and R v3.2.5. Results A QTL on chromosome 5A is associated with increased grain weight A genetic map was developed for the Charger x Badger DH population comprising of 296 polymorphic molecular markers. Linkage analysis resulted in 32 linkage groups which were assigned to 21 chromosomes, covering a genetic distance of 1,296 cM. The only chromosome with no marker coverage was 6D. QTL analysis identified two regions with consistent variation for TGW, chromosomes 2B (Qtqwcb.2B) and 5A (Qtgw-cb.5A), based on the mean LOD score across environments (Fig. 1) and colocalization of significant QTL (Supporting Information Table S2). Qtgw-cb.2B was identified in 7 of the 12 site/year environments, providing a mean of 11% of the explained variation when significantly expressed and a mean additive effect of 1.26 g/1000 grains, with Charger providing the increasing allele. The peak LOD for the QTL was located at markers Xgwm148 and Xgwm120 depending on the environment. Qtgw-cb.5A was also significant at 7 of the 12 environments and accounted for 15.5% of the phenotypic variation with a mean additive effect of 1.6 g/1000 grains. The peak for Qtgw-cb.5A was defined by markers Xgwm293 (20.6 cM) and Xbarc180 (25.3 cM; Fig. 2a), with Badger providing the increasing allele. Analysis of DH lines homozygous across the wider QTL regions for both Qtgw-cb.2B (Xgwm259-Xstm119tgaa) and Otaw-cb.5A (Xawm443-XBS00000435) demonstrated that the increasing alleles of each individual OTL provided a significant 4.1% and 5.5% increase in TGW (P < 0.001),

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

respectively. DH lines containing both QTL (n=9) produced a 10% increase (P < 0.001) over lines with neither (n=10), suggesting Qtaw-cb.2B and Qtaw-cb.5A are additive when combined. There was a significant correlation (P < 0.001) between grain yield and TGW across all datasets, however significant QTL were only co-located for both traits in France 2003 (2B) and England-Norwich 2002/Scotland 2002(5A) (Supporting Information Table S3). This suggests that although TGW was an important component regulating yield in this DH population, it was also influenced by other yield components. As Qtgw-cb.5A had a larger mean additive effect and accounted for more of the phenotypic variation than Qtgw-cb.2B, we selected Qtgw-cb.5A for further analyses. Multi-Trait Multi-Environment (MTME) analysis defines Xgwm293 as the peak marker of Qtqw-cb.5A MTME analysis was conducted on chromosome 5A for both TGW and grain yield. For TGW, markers above the significance threshold (LOD > 2.5) ranged from Xgwm293 (20.6 cM) to XBS00015653 (33.7 cM) with the peak being at Xgwm293 (Fig. 2b,c). At least one of the markers within the identified region was significant at each of the twelve environments, with Badger always providing the beneficial alleles. For yield, MTME analysis identified a significant QTL in the Qtgw-cb.5A region, with the peak marker (Xgwm293) being the same as for TGW. Significant increases in the additive effect of Badger were observed in seven environments (Supporting Information Fig. S2), contrasting to only two in the previous single-environment analysis. It is worth noting that in two environments (England-Sandringham 2001 and 2003), the alternative parent Charger had a borderline significant effect on yield in the MTME analysis. Taken together, these results suggest that the Badger Qtgw-cb.5A interval is associated with a consistent effect on TGW across environments which often, but not always, translates into a yield benefit. Near isogenic lines (NILs) differing for Otaw-cb.5A show a 6.9% difference in TGW To independently validate and further investigate the effect of Qtgw-cb.5A (referred to hereafter as 5A QTL) on TGW, BC2 and BC4 NILs differing for the QTL region were developed using markers Xgwm293 and Xgwm186 and Charger as the recurrent parent. Pairs of BC4 NILs carrying the Charger (5A-) or Badger (5A+) segment were genotyped using the iSelect 90K SNP array (Wang et al, 2014) and found to be 97.2% similar, only showing variation in 221 markers across

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

the 5A QTL, compared with 7,973 SNPs between the parents (Fig. 1, inner tracks). These NILs therefore provide a valuable resource for specifically studying the effects of the 5A QTL in more depth. Across five years of replicated field trials 5A+ NILs showed an average increase in TGW of 6.92% (P < 0.001) ranging from 4.00 to 9.28% (Table 1), and significant in all years. The difference in TGW was associated with a yield increase of 1.28% in 5A+ NILs across all years, although this effect was not significant (P = 0.093). The effect varied across years with a significant yield increase of 2.17% (P = 0.046) in 2014 and non-significant effects of between 0.02 to 1.72% in the other four years. The positive effect of the QTL on yield was similarly subtle in the DH population as described previously. We measured the NILs for a series of spike yield component traits to determine possible pleiotropic effects associated with the 5A+ TGW effect. Within most years, there was no significant effect of the 5A+ allele on spike yield components such as spikelet number, seeds per spikelet or grain number per spike (Supporting Information Table S4). However, when all years were analysed together, we observed a significant reduction in grain number (-3.55%, P = 0.04) and seeds per spikelet (-3.37%, P = 0.015) associated with the 5A+ interval. This statistical significance was driven by a particularly strong negative effect in 2016 as grain number and seeds per spikelet were non-significant in the preceding four seasons (2012-15). Overall, however, the 5A+ interval is associated with a consistent small decrease in these spike yield components. Taking into account the 6.92% effect of the 5A+ QTL on TGW and the tendency for decreases in some spike yield components, the overall spike yield increased by 2.33% (P = 0.032) across the five years. However, similar to grain number and seeds per spikelet, the statistical significance is driven by a single year (2014) despite overall positive effects in another three years (2012, 2013, and 2015). We also measured tiller numbers and found a significant reduction of 4 tillers per m in the 5A + NILs across two years (P = 0.008) (Supporting Information Table S1). No effect was seen for spikelet number and additional phenology traits (Supporting Information Table S4). Taken together, these results suggest that the 5A+ interval has a consistent positive effect on

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

TGW and that the effects on yield are modulated by a series of smaller compensating negative effects on yield components such as grain number, seeds per spike and tiller number. The TGW increase in 5A+ NILs is primarily due to increased grain length TGW is determined by individual components including physical parameters such as grain length and width. To understand the relative contribution of these components to the increase in TGW, NILs were assessed for these grain morphometric parameters (length, width and area) using a 2D imaging system (Table 1). 5A+ NILs had significantly increased grain length (P < (0.001), width (P < (0.001)) and area (P < (0.001)) compared to 5A- NILs across all years with the exception of width in 2016. On average, the 5A+ QTL increased grain length by 4.04% (P < 0.001), ranging from 3.58 to 4.72% (P < 0.001 in all years). The effect on width was smaller, averaging 1.45% (P < 0.001; range 0.27 to 2.35%) and significant in four out of five years (Table 1). The effects on length and width combined to increase grain area by an average of 5.41% (P < 0.001), significant in all five years. These results were based on combine harvested grain samples and were also confirmed in ten representative single ear samples taken before harvest. TGW of the ten spikes correlated strongly with the whole plot samples (r = 0.84, P < 0.001) and showed a similar difference between NILs (6.00%, P < 0.001; Supporting Information Table S4). Across datasets, the effect of the 5A+ OTL on grain length was more than twice the size of the effect on grain width. This fact, together with the more consistent effect on grain length across years (Coefficient of variation length = 10.6%; width = 55.3%; TGW = 27.8%) suggests that the increase in grain length is the main factor driving the increase in grain area and TGW. We compared the distribution of grain length and width using data from individual seeds to determine whether the QTL affects all grains uniformly. Violin plots for length showed variation in distribution shape among years (Fig. 3). However, within years the 5A- and 5A+ grain length distributions were very similar in shape, suggesting that the QTL affects all grains uniformly and in a stable manner across the ear and within spikelets. In all years, the 5A+ grain length distributions were shifted higher than the 5A- NILs with an increase in longer grains and fewer shorter grains, in addition to the higher average grain length (Fig. 3). Grain width distributions were also very similar in shape within years, but had a less pronounced shift between NILs

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

(Supporting Information Fig. S3) consistent with the overall smaller effect of the 5A QTL on grain width. The 5A QTL region acts during grain development to increase grain length To determine when differences in grain morphometric parameters between NILs are first established, we conducted grain development time courses of two 5A- and two 5A+ BC<sub>4</sub> NILs. Grains were sampled in 2014, 2015 and 2016 from field plots at anthesis and at five further time points across grain development until the difference in grain size had been fully established. Data from 2015 is shown in Fig. 4 as a representative year (samples taken at anthesis (0 dpa), 4, 7, 12, 19 and 26 dpa). The first significant difference in grain length was observed at 12 dpa with 5A+ NILs having 1.5% longer grains than 5A- NILs (p =0.034). This effect increased to 4.4 % at 19 dpa (P < 0.001) and was maintained at 26 dpa (4.5 % increase, P < 0.001; Fig. 4a). No significant effects on grain width were observed until 26 dpa when 5A+ NILs increased grain width by 1.7 % (P = 0.015; Fig. 4b). Significant differences in grain area were detected at 19 dpa (5.7% increase; P < 0.001; data not shown) and this difference was maintained at the final timepoint 26 dpa (6.1 %, P < 0.001). By the final time point 5A+ NILs also had significantly heavier grains (3.7%, P = 0.01; Fig. 4c). These effects were all consistent with the grain size and weight differences observed in mature grains in 2015 (Table 1) and were also observed in 2014 and 2016 (Supporting Information Figs. S4,S5). The fact that the effects on width, area and weight are all after the first significant difference on grain length in all three years further supports grain length as the main factor driving the increase in grain weight. 5A+ NILs have increased pericarp cell length independent of absolute grain length We used scanning electron microscopy (SEM) to image pericarp cells and determine cell size of BC<sub>4</sub> 5A- and 5A+ grains. Mature grains from the 2015 field experiment were selected from a 5Aand 5A+ NIL pair based on their grain length and using a variety of criteria to allow for distinct comparisons (Fig. 5). First, we compared grains of average length from the 5A- and 5A+ NIL distributions (Fig. 5a). We found that average 5A+ grains had an 8.33 % significant increase in mean cell length (P = 0.049) compared to average 5A- grains and that this was reflected in a shift in the whole distribution of 5A+ cell lengths (Fig. 5a). Next, we compared cell lengths in

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

grains of the same size from 5A- and 5A+ NILs. We selected relatively long grains from the 5A-NIL distribution (Fig. 5b; orange) that had the same grain length as the average 5A+ grains. This comparison showed that 5A+ grains still had longer cells (9.53%, P = 0.015) regardless of the fact that the grain length of the two groups were the same (6.8 mm; Fig. 5b). We also made the opposite comparison by selecting relatively short grains from the 5A+ NIL distribution (Fig. 5c; green) and comparing them with average 5A- grains. Similar to before, the 5A+ grains had longer cells (8.61%), although this effect was borderline non-significant (P = 0.053; Fig. 5c). Finally, a comparison of long 5A- grains and short 5A+ grains again showed that cells were longer in 5A+ grains (9.81%, P = 0.011), even though the 5A+ grains used in this comparison were 7.65% shorter than the 5A- grains. Within genotype comparisons of cell length between grains of different lengths showed no significant differences in mean cell length (Supporting Information Fig. S6). The results were confirmed in 2016 where average 5A+ grains had a 24.6 % significant increase in mean cell length compared to average 5A- grains (P < 0.001; Supporting Information Fig. S7). These results indicate that the 5A+ region from Badger increases the length of pericarp cells independent of absolute grain length. Using grain length and mean cell length to calculate cell number, we determined that the average length grains of both 5A- and 5A+ had the same number of cells in 2015. However, in 2016, 5A- NILs had significantly more cells than 5A+ NILs (Fig. S8). The grain length QTL maps to a 75 Mb / 4.3 cM genetic interval We used a set of 60 homozygous RILs to map the grain length phenotype to a narrower genetic interval within the 5A QTL region (17.65 cM, 367 Mbp). KASP markers were developed for 25 additional SNPs between the two original QTL flanking markers (Xgwm293 and Xgwm186; Fig. 6a) based on data from the iSelect genotyping of BC<sub>4</sub> NILs and 820K Axiom Array genotyping of Charger and Badger (Winfield et al., 2016). Based on the genotype of these 25 markers, 49 of the RILs were assigned to eleven distinct recombination groups represented as graphical genotypes in Fig. 6a. Control RILs were selected based on having either the Charger (5A-) or Badger (5A+)

genotypes across the interval (C-control and B-control, respectively).

RILs were phenotyped for grain length in three field seasons and we found significant differences between RIL groups (P < 0.001). The overall average grain length of the B-control group was 4.06 % higher than the C-control group (P < 0.001; Fig. 6b), consistent with the differences in grain length observed between the NILs (Table 1). Each RIL group was classified based on Dunnett's tests to both control groups: for example, a RIL group was classified as Charger-like only if it was both significantly different to the B-control and non-significantly different to the C-control. Using this classification, we assigned unambiguously the eleven RIL groups to a parental type and genetically mapped the grain length phenotype between markers XBS00182017 and XBA00228977 (Fig. 6). This represents a genetic distance of 4.32 cM corresponding to a physical interval of 74.6 Mb in the Chinese Spring RefSeg v1.0 sequence. This 74.6 Mb interval contains 811 TGACv1 gene models (Clavijo et al., 2017) based on in silico mapping to the Chinese Spring reference (Supporting Information File S1). We analysed the expression profile of these genes on the wheat expVIP expression platform (Borrill et al, 2016) and found that 439 of these genes are expressed (>2 transcripts per million (tpm)) in at least one grain RNA-seg sample (n=147). The developmental time courses suggest that the 5A QTL acts at around 12 dpa and we found 405 of these transcripts expressed in grain samples taken at around this time (4-15 dpa, n = 59), with 298 genes expressed in the pericarp tissue (Pearce et al., 2015a).

### Discussion

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402 403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

In this study we identified a stable and robust QTL associated with a 6.9 % increase in grain weight. This increase is driven by longer grains associated with increased pericarp cell length. In wheat and barley pericarp cell division decreases shortly after fertilization (2 to 6 days; Drea *et al.*, 2005; Radchuk *et al.*, 2011) and cell expansion plays the predominant role in increasing pericarp size during grain development. Our results are consistent with a role of the 5A gene on pericarp cell expansion given that significant differences in grain size are only observed twelve days after fertilization, once cell expansion has begun. However, we cannot discard an overlapping late effect on cell division given the conflicting results in final pericarp cell number between years.

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

Overall, our results suggest that the gene underlying this locus regulates, either directly or indirectly, cell expansion in the pericarp (seed coat), a mechanism that is known to be a key determinant of grain/seed size in several species. Some genes, such as expansins and XTH (xyloglucan endotransqlucosylase/hydrolases), affect cell expansion directly by physically modifying or "loosening" the cell wall (reviewed in Cosgrove, 2005), and the expression of these enzymes has been associated with pericarp cell expansion in wheat and barley (Lizana et al., 2010; Radchuk et al., 2011; Munoz & Calderini, 2015). Other genes regulate pericarp/seed coat cell size indirectly, for example through the regulation of sugar metabolism and subsequent accumulation in the vacuole (Ohto et al., 2005) and endoreduplication (Chevalier et al., 2014). Our results provide direct genetic evidence that pericarp cell expansion affects final grain size and weight in polyploid wheat. The maternal control of grain/seed size has been well documented in rice and Arabidopsis (Li & Li, 2015), as well as in wheat through physiological and genetic studies (Hasan et al., 2011; Simmonds et al., 2016). This can affect cell proliferation and/or cell expansion of maternal tissues, such as the wheat pericarp, both pre- and post-fertilisation (Garcia et al., 2005; Adamski et al., 2009; Ma et al., 2016). For example, GW2 in rice and its orthologue in Arabidopsis (DA2) affect grain/seed size through suppression of cell proliferation (Song et al., 2007; Xia et al., 2013). Similarly in wheat, a knock-out mutant of the GW2 orthologue has larger carpels than wild-type plants suggesting that the gene acts on maternal tissue pre-fertilisation (Simmonds et al., 2016). The effect of the wheat GW2 gene on cell size and number has not been determined however. The direct assignment of the 5A effect to the maternal parent will require additional studies, including analysis of  $F_1$  hybrids from reciprocal crosses. These studies are not routinely performed in wheat given that the phenotypic variation between individual F<sub>1</sub> grains often surpasses the relatively subtle phenotypic effects of most grain size QTL (usually less than 5% in wheat). The identification of a robust effect on pericarp cell length in this study, which is independent of the individual grain size, opens up a new approach to explore these parent-oforigin effects in polyploid wheat.

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

It has been proposed, in multiple species, that the size of the pericarp/seed coat determines final grain size by restricting endosperm growth (Calderini et al., 1999; Adamski et al., 2009; Hasan et al., 2011). This is analogous to the way in which grain size in rice is limited by the size of the spikelet hull (Song et al., 2005). Both the length (Lizana et al., 2010; Hasan et al., 2011) and the width (Gegas et al., 2010; Simmonds et al., 2016) of the pericarp have been proposed as key determinants of final grain weight in wheat. Our results provide genetic evidence for the importance of the maternal pericarp tissue and show that length is the underlying component for the 5A locus. Across three years, the difference in grain length between NILs was the first grain size component difference to be established. Only after this, did we observe any differences in grain width, weight or grain filling rate. These differences in grain length were extremely consistent across years (despite average TGW values ranging from 39.8 to 50.3 g) compared to the more variable differences in grain width and weight. Based on these results we hypothesise that the 5A locus increases grain weight by a primary effect on grain length, which confers the potential for further enhancements by pleiotropic effects on grain width. The grain length effect is genetically controlled and stable across environments, whereas the pleiotropic effect on grain width occurs later in grain development and is more environmentally dependent and variable. The final magnitude of the 5A grain weight increase (ranging from 4.0 to 9.3 %) is thus determined by the extent to which the late stage pleiotropic effect on grain width is manifested and the potential exploited. This could explain why the grain width increase was significantly correlated with the increase in TGW (r = 0.98, p = 0.004) whilst grain length was not (r = 0.71, p = 0.18).By dissecting TGW to a more stable yield component (grain length) we were able to classify RILs in a qualitative/binary manner (i.e. "short" or "long" grains) which enabled the fine mapping of the 5A locus to a genetic distance of 4.3 cM. We identified roughly 400 genes in this interval that are expressed in the grain, several of which have annotations associated with genes implicated in the control of grain/seed size. Although it is premature to speculate on potential candidate genes, identification of the causal polymorphism will provide functional insight into the specific mechanism by which pericarp cell size and grain weight are controlled in polyploid wheat.

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

The consistent effect of the 5A locus on grain length and weight did not always translate into increased yield. In the original DH analysis, the 5A TGW effect co-located with final yield in seven of the twelve environments. This overall positive trend was also reflected in the NILs, although yield increases were only significant in 2014. We concluded that the effects on yield are modulated by a series of smaller negative effects on other yield components which could be due to additional genes within the broader 5A region. Alternatively, it could be that the full potential of the grain length effect will be realised only under certain environments or in combination with other genes. By understanding the biological mechanism by which the 5A locus achieves increased grain size, hypotheses can be generated to combine genes in an informed and targeted way. For example, we are combining the 5A grain length/pericarp cell expansion effect with the TaGW2 mutants which affect grain width (presumably through pericarp cell proliferation) to determine if they act in an additive or synergistic manner. Identifying the 5A gene will also allow the function of the homoeologous copies on chromosomes 5B and 5D to be determined. This is important since the effects of grain weight QTL in polyploid wheat are often very subtle compared to those in diploid species (Borrill et al., 2015; Uauy, 2017). Modulating the function of all three homoeologs simultaneously holds the potential to expand the range of phenotypic variation and achieve effects comparable to those in diploids e.g. NAM-B1 (Uauy et al., 2006; Avni et al., 2014; Liang et al., 2014). Ultimately, identifying the genes and alleles that control specific yield components and understanding how they interact amongst them and with the environment will allow breeders to manipulate and fine-tune wheat yield in novel ways. Acknowledgements This work was supported by the UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) grants BB/J003557/1 and BB/J004588/1. JB was supported by the UK Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board (AHDB) and the John Innes Foundation. We thank Ricardo Ramirez-Gonzalez for the BLAST of iSelect SNPs to the IWGSC RefSeq1.0, David Swarbreck and

Gemy Kaithakottil (Earlham Institute) for in silico mapping of TGACv1 gene models to the IWGSC

497

498 499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518519

520

521

522

523

524

RefSeq1.0, the JIC Field Trials Horticultural services for technical support in glasshouse and field experiments. Author contributions JB conducted the developmental time courses, fine-mapping, analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. JSi developed the germplasm used in this study, performed phenotypic assessments and QTL analyses, analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. FM conducted cell size measurements. MLW led the mapping of the Charger x Badger DH population. JSn coordinated and conceived the DH population field trials. CU conceived the experiments, analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. References Adamski NM, Anastasiou E, Eriksson S, O'Neill CM, Lenhard M. 2009. Local maternal control of seed size by KLUH/CYP78A5-dependent growth signaling. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106(47): 20115-20120. Avni R, Zhao RR, Pearce S, Jun Y, Uauy C, Tabbita F, Fahima T, Slade A, Dubcovsky J, Distelfeld A. 2014. Functional characterization of GPC-1 genes in hexaploid wheat. Planta 239(2): 313-324. Borrill P, Adamski N, Uauy C. 2015. Genomics as the key to unlocking the polyploid potential of wheat. New Phytologist 208(4): 1008-1022. Borrill P, Ramirez-Gonzalez R, Uauy C. 2016. expVIP: a Customizable RNA-seq Data Analysis and Visualization Platform. Plant physiology 170(4): 2172-2186. Breseghello F, Sorrells ME. 2007. QTL analysis of kernel size and shape in two hexaploid wheat mapping populations. Field Crops Research 101(2): 172-179. Bryan GJ, Collins AJ, Stephenson P, Orry A, Smith JB, Gale MD. 1997. Isolation and characterisation of microsatellites from hexaploid bread wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 94(5): 557-563. Calderini D, Abeledo L, Savin R, Slafer GA. 1999. Effect of temperature and carpel size during preanthesis on potential grain weight in wheat. The Journal of Agricultural Science 132(04): 453-459. Chevalier C, Bourdon M, Pirrello J, Cheniclet C, Gévaudant F, Frangne N. 2014. Endoreduplication and fruit growth in tomato: evidence in favour of the karyoplasmic ratio theory. Journal of Experimental Botany **65**(10): 2731-2746.

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

Clavijo BJ, Venturini L, Schudoma C, Garcia Accinelli G, Kaithakottil G, Wright J, Borrill P, Kettleborough G, Heavens D, Chapman H, et al. 2017. An improved assembly and annotation of the allohexaploid wheat genome identifies complete families of agronomic genes and provides genomic evidence for chromosomal translocations. Genome Research: in press. Cosgrove DJ. 2005. Growth of the plant cell wall. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 6(11): 850-861. Drea S, Leader DJ, Arnold BC, Shaw P, Dolan L, Doonan JH. 2005. Systematic spatial analysis of gene expression during wheat caryopsis development. The Plant cell 17(8): 2172-2185. Fan C, Xing Y, Mao H, Lu T, Han B, Xu C, Li X, Zhang Q. 2006. GS3, a major QTL for grain length and weight and minor QTL for grain width and thickness in rice, encodes a putative transmembrane protein. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 112(6): 1164-1171. FAO 2017. Online statistical database: Food balance. FAOSTAT. FAO, IFAD, WFP. 2015. The State of Food Insecurity in the World 2015. Meeting the 2015 international hunger targets: taking stock of uneven progress. Rome, FAO. Farre A, Sayers L, Leverington-Waite M, Goram R, Orford S, Wingen L, Mumford C, Griffiths S. 2016. Application of a library of near isogenic lines to understand context dependent expression of QTL for grain yield and adaptive traits in bread wheat. BMC Plant Biology 16. Garcia D, Gerald JNF, Berger F. 2005. Maternal control of integument cell elongation and zygotic control of endosperm growth are coordinated to determine seed size in Arabidopsis. The Plant cell **17**(1): 52-60. Gegas VC, Nazari A, Griffiths S, Simmonds J, Fish L, Orford S, Sayers L, Doonan JH, Snape JW. 2010. A genetic framework for grain size and shape variation in wheat. The Plant cell 22(4): 1046-1056. Guvomarc'h H. Sourdille P. Charmet G. Edwards KJ. Bernard M. 2002. Characterisation of polymorphic microsatellite markers from Aegilops tauschii and transferability to the D-genome of bread wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 104(6-7): 1164-1172. Hasan AK, Herrera J, Lizana C, Calderini DF. 2011. Carpel weight, grain length and stabilized grain water content are physiological drivers of grain weight determination of wheat. Field Crops Research **123**(3): 241-247. Hayden MJ, Sharp PJ. 2001. Sequence-tagged microsatellite profiling (STMP): a rapid technique for developing SSR markers. Nucleic acids research 29(8). Huang X, Qian Q, Liu Z, Sun H, He S, Luo D, Xia G, Chu C, Li J, Fu X. 2009. Natural variation at the DEP1 locus enhances grain yield in rice. Nature genetics 41(4): 494-497.

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584 585

586

**IWGSC. 2014.** A chromosome-based draft sequence of the hexaploid bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) genome. Science 345(6194): 1251788-1251788. Jiang W-B, Huang H-Y, Hu Y-W, Zhu S-W, Wang Z-Y, Lin W-H. 2013. Brassinosteroid regulates seed size and shape in Arabidopsis. Plant physiology 162(4): 1965-1977. Krasileva KV, Buffalo V, Bailey P, Pearce S, Ayling S, Tabbita F, Soria M, Wang S, Akhunov E, Uauy C, et al. 2013. Separating homeologs by phasing in the tetraploid wheat transcriptome. Genome Biology 14(6): R66-R66. Krasileva KV, Vasquez-Gross HA, Howell T, Bailey P, Paraiso F, Clissold L, Simmonds J, Ramirez-Gonzalez RH, Wang X, Borrill P, et al. 2017. Uncovering hidden variation in polyploid wheat. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 114(6): E913-E921. Kuchel H, Williams KJ, Langridge P, Eagles Ha, Jefferies SP. 2007. Genetic dissection of grain yield in bread wheat. I. QTL analysis. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 115(8): 1029-1041. Kumar A, Mantovani EE, Seetan R, Soltani A, Echeverry-Solarte M, Jain S, Simsek S, Doehlert D, Alamri MS, Elias EM, et al. 2016. Dissection of Genetic Factors underlying Wheat Kernel Shape and Size in an Elite x Nonadapted Cross using a High Density SNP Linkage Map. Plant Genome 9(1). Laurie DA, Bennett MD. 1988. The Production of Haploid Wheat Plants from Wheat X Maize Crosses. Theoretical and Applied Genetics **76**(3): 393-397. Li N, Li Y. 2015. Maternal control of seed size in plants. Journal of Experimental Botany 66(4): 1087-1097. Liang C, Wang Y, Zhu Y, Tang J, Hu B, Liu L, Ou S, Wu H, Sun X, Chu J, et al. 2014. OsNAP connects abscisic acid and leaf senescence by fine-tuning abscisic acid biosynthesis and directly targeting senescence-associated genes in rice. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111(27): 10013-10018. Lizana XC, Riegel R, Gomez LD, Herrera J, Isla A, McQueen-Mason SJ, Calderini DF. 2010. Expansins expression is associated with grain size dynamics in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Journal of Experimental Botany **61**(4): 1147-1157. Ma M, Zhao H, Li Z, Hu S, Song W, Liu X. 2016. TaCYP78A5 regulates seed size in wheat (Triticum aestivum). Journal of Experimental Botany 67(5): 1397-1410. Mizukami Y, Fischer RL. 2000. Plant organ size control: AINTEGUMENTA regulates growth and cell numbers during organogenesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 97(2): 942-947.

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604 605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615 616

617

Munoz M, Calderini DF. 2015. Volume, water content, epidermal cell area, and XTH5 expression in growing grains of wheat across ploidy levels. Field Crops Research 173: 30-40. Ohto M-a, Fischer RL, Goldberg RB, Nakamura K, Harada JJ. 2005. Control of seed mass by APETALA2. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 102(8): 3123-3128. Pearce S, Huttly AK, Prosser IM, Li Y-d, Vaughan SP, Gallova B, Patil A, Coghill JA, Dubcovsky J, Hedden P, et al. 2015a. Heterologous expression and transcript analysis of gibberellin biosynthetic genes of grasses reveals novel functionality in the GA3ox family. BMC Plant Biology 15(1): 130. Pearce S, Vazquez-Gross H, Herin SY, Hane D, Wang Y, Gu YQ, Dubcovsky J. 2015b. WheatExp: an RNAseq expression database for polyploid wheat. BMC Plant Biology 15. Pestsova E, Ganal MW, Roder MS. 2000. Isolation and mapping of microsatellite markers specific for the D genome of bread wheat. Genome 43(4): 689-697. Radchuk V, Weier D, Radchuk R, Weschke W, Weber H. 2011. Development of maternal seed tissue in barley is mediated by regulated cell expansion and cell disintegration and coordinated with endosperm growth. Journal of Experimental Botany 62(3): 1217-1227. Ray DK, Mueller ND, West PC, Foley Ja. 2013. Yield Trends Are Insufficient to Double Global Crop Production by 2050. PloS one 8(6): e66428-e66428. Riefler M, Novak O, Strnad M, Schmülling T. 2006. Arabidopsis cytokinin receptor mutants reveal functions in shoot growth, leaf senescence, seed size, germination, root development, and cytokinin metabolism. The Plant cell 18(1): 40-54. Roder MS, Korzun V, Wendehake K, Plaschke J, Tixier MH, Leroy P, Ganal MW. 1998. A microsatellite map of wheat. Genetics 149(4): 2007-2023. Rosegrant MW, Tokgoz S, Bhandary P, Msangi S 2013. Looking ahead: Scenarios for the future of food. 2012 Global Food Policy Report. Washington, D.C.: International Food Policy Research Institute, 88-101. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, et al. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nature Methods 9(7): 676-682. Schruff MC, Spielman M, Tiwari S, Adams S, Fenby N, Scott RJ. 2006. The AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR 2 gene of Arabidopsis links auxin signalling, cell division, and the size of seeds and other organs. Development 133(2): 251-261.

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636 637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

Simmonds J, Scott P, Brinton J, Mestre TC, Bush M, Blanco A, Dubcovsky J, Uauy C. 2016. A splice acceptor site mutation in TaGW2-A1 increases thousand grain weight in tetraploid and hexaploid wheat through wider and longer grains. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 129(6): 1099-1112. Simmonds J, Scott P, Leverington-Waite M, Turner AS, Brinton J, Korzun V, Snape J, Uauy C. 2014. Identification and independent validation of a stable yield and thousand grain weight QTL on chromosome 6A of hexaploid wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). BMC Plant Biology 14(1): 191-191. Somers DJ, Isaac P, Edwards K. 2004. A high-density microsatellite consensus map for bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Theoretical and Applied Genetics 109(6): 1105-1114. Song QJ, Shi JR, Singh S, Fickus EW, Costa JM, Lewis J, Gill BS, Ward R, Cregan PB. 2005. Development and mapping of microsatellite (SSR) markers in wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 110(3): 550-560. Song X-J, Huang W, Shi M, Zhu M-Z, Lin H-X. 2007. A QTL for rice grain width and weight encodes a previously unknown RING-type E3 ubiquitin ligase. Nature genetics 39(5): 623-630. Stephenson P, Bryan G, Kirby J, Collins A, Devos K, Busso C, Gale M. 1998. Fifty new microsatellite loci for the wheat genetic map. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 97(5-6): 946-949. Tilman D, Balzer C, Hill J, Befort BL. 2011. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108(50): 20260-20264. **Uauy C. 2017.** Wheat genomics comes of age. *Current opinion in plant biology*: in press. Uauy C, Distelfeld A, Fahima T, Blechl A, Dubcovsky J. 2006. A NAC gene regulating senescence improves grain protein, zinc, and iron content in wheat. Science 314(5803): 1298-1301. United Nations DoEaSA, Population Division 2015. World Population Prospects: The 2015 Revision. Wang S, Wong D, Forrest K, Allen A, Chao S, Huang BE, Maccaferri M, Salvi S, Milner SG, Cattivelli L, et al. 2014. Characterization of polyploid wheat genomic diversity using a high-density 90 000 single nucleotide polymorphism array. Plant biotechnology journal: 787-796. Wang S, Wu K, Yuan Q, Liu X, Liu Z, Lin X, Zeng R, Zhu H, Dong G, Qian Q, et al. 2012. Control of grain size, shape and quality by OsSPL16 in rice. Nature genetics 44(8): 950-954. Weng J, Gu S, Wan X, Gao H, Guo T, Su N, Lei C, Zhang X, Cheng Z, Guo X, et al. 2008. Isolation and initial characterization of GW5, a major QTL associated with rice grain width and weight. Cell Research 18(12): 1199-1209.

Winfield MO, Allen AM, Burridge AJ, Barker GLA, Benbow HR, Wilkinson PA, Coghill J, Waterfall C, Davassi A, Scopes G, et al. 2016. High-density SNP genotyping array for hexaploid wheat and its secondary and tertiary gene pool. *Plant biotechnology journal* 14(5): 1195-1206.
Wu TD, Watanabe CK. 2005. GMAP: a genomic mapping and alignment program for mRNA and EST sequences. *Bioinformatics* 21(9): 1859-1875.
Xia T, Li N, Dumenil J, Li J, Kamenski A, Bevan MW, Gao F, Li YH. 2013. The Ubiquitin Receptor DA1 Interacts with the E3 Ubiquitin Ligase DA2 to Regulate Seed and Organ Size in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 25(9): 3347-3359.
Xing Y, Zhang Q. 2010. Genetic and molecular bases of rice yield. *Annual review of plant biology* 61: 421-442.

## 658 Table

659

#### Table 1: Mean Thousand Grain Weight (TGW), yield and grain morphometric parameters of 5A NILs

Year	Genotype	TGW (g)	Yield (kg/plot)	Grain area (mm²)	Grain length (mm)	Grain width (mm)
2012	5A-	38.027	4.408	18.755	6.625	3.475
	5A+	41.554	4.437	19.930	6.900	3.557
		9.28%***	0.66% <sup>NS</sup>	6.26%***	4.15%***	2.35%**
2013	5A-	40.772	6.157	19.969	6.705	3.674
	5A+	43.544	6.159	20.979	6.963	3.727
		6.80%***	0.02% <sup>NS</sup>	5.06%***	3.86%***	1.44%***
2014	5A-	47.368	6.495	21.493	6.798	3.930
	5A+	50.729	6.636	22.579	7.063	3.979
		7.09%***	2.17%*	5.05%***	3.90%***	1.25%**
2015	5A-	42.734	7.582	18.044	6.426	3.479
	5A+	46.201	7.712	19.293	6.730	3.554
		8.11%***	1.72% <sup>NS</sup>	6.93%***	4.72%***	2.16%***
2016	5A-	49.292	5.974	19.829	6.580	3.735
	5A+	51.266	6.064	20.610	6.816	3.745
		4.00%*	1.50% <sup>NS</sup>	3.94%**	3.58%***	0.27% <sup>NS</sup>
Overall	5A-	43.639	6.123	19.618	6.627	3.659
	5A+	46.659	6.201	20.678	6.894	3.712
		6.92%***	1.28% <sup>NS</sup>	5.41%***	4.04%***	1.45%***1

660

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  %s indicate amount gained in 5A+ NILs compared with 5A- NILs. Superscripts indicate significance determined by ANOVA for either each year, or across all years (final row). ie. NS = Non-significant, \* = P < 0.05, \*\* = P < 0.01, \*\*\* = P < 0.001. 2012-13 = BC<sub>2</sub>-NILs, 2014-16 = BC<sub>4</sub>-NILs.

# Figure legends

#### Figure 1: QTL analysis and NIL development

Circos diagram showing the whole genome quantitative trait loci (QTL) scan and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) variation. Outer track is the mean log-of-odds (LOD) score for thousand grain weight (TGW) across all environments measured. The red line shows a LOD threshold of 2.5. Wheat chromosome groups are represented in different colours beneath the QTL scans. The most significant and stable QTL identified was on chromosome 5A (boxed segment). Inner tracks correspond to heatmaps representing the number of iSelect SNPs in 30 Mb windows showing variation between Charger and Badger, parents of the doubled haploid population (outer); or a representative pair of 5A-/5A+ NILs (innermost). Physical positions of all markers (including those used in the QTL scan and iSelect markers) were determined using the IWGSC RefSeq v1.0 sequence.

#### Figure 2: Chromosome 5A genetic/physical map and TGW MTME analysis

(a) Genetic and physical map of chromosome 5A. The left-hand side represents the genetic map, comprised of two linkage groups with calculated distances between markers in cM (Linkage group 1: 0 -74.4 cM, Linkage group 2: 0 - 30.2 cM). The right-hand side represents the physical map according to the Chinese Spring IWGSC RefSeq v1.0 sequence. Markers highlighted in orange indicate those used for NIL development. (b) Multi-trait multi-environment (MTME) QTL analysis of the 5A QTL for thousand grain weight (TGW) across Linkage group 1. The red line indicates a log-of-odds (LOD) threshold of 2.5. (c) Markers with significant additive effects are shown for each environment for those markers above the LOD threshold in (b). The intensity of the colour (yellow to brown) indicates the level of the significance as indicated by the legend. E-N = England-Norwich, E-S = England, Sandringham, F = France, G = Germany, Sc = Scotland.

#### Figure 3: Distribution of grain length of NILs from whole plot samples

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

Violin plots showing the distribution of individual seed measurements of grain length across the five field experiments of BC<sub>2</sub> (2012-2013) and BC<sub>4</sub> (2014-2016) near isogenic lines (NILs). Purple = 5A+ NILs, grey plots = 5A- NILs. All within year comparisons between NILs were significant (P < 0.001). Figure 4: Grain development time course of 5A- and 5A+ NILs Grain length (a), grain width (b) and grain dry weight (c) of 5A- (grey, dashed line) and 5A+ (purple, solid line) BC<sub>4</sub> near isogenic lines (NILs) during grain development with samples taken at anthesis (0 days post anthesis (dpa)), 4, 7, 12, 19 and 26 dpa in 2015 field trials. \* = P < 0.05, \*\* = P < 0.01, \*\*\* = P < 0.001. Error bars show standard error of the mean. Figure 5: Comparisons of cell length between 5A- and 5A+ NILs Density plots of cell length measurements from 27 grains per genotype group; dashed line represents the mean. "Grain length" insets show the average grain length of each group of grains used for measurements. The increase in cell length of 5A+ near isogenic lines (NILs) relative to cell length of 5A- grains is shown as a percentage along with the P values calculated using ANOVA to compare means of the two groups displayed. (a) Grains of average length from 5A- and 5A+ NILs, (b) average 5A+ grains and equivalent 5A- grains, (c) average 5A- grains and equivalent 5A+ grains, (d) long 5A- grains (length equivalent to average 5A+ grains) and short 5A+ grains (grain length equivalent to average 5A- grains). Figure 6: Grain length maps to a 4.3 cM interval on chromosome 5A (a) Graphical genotypes of recombinant inbred lines (RIL) groups with the number of lines in each group shown in parentheses. RILs were grouped based on their genotypes defined by having either the Charger-like (grey) or Badger-like (purple) allele at each marker shown across the interval. Markers highlighted in orange indicate markers used for NIL development. (b) ANOVA adjusted mean grain length of RIL groups across all experiments. Bars are coloured

based on a Charger- or Badger-like phenotype, determined by Dunnett's test. Purple = Badger-like, grey = Charger - like. Error bars represent standard error of the mean.

Supporting information
Table S1: Developmental traits of 5A NILs
Figure S1: Example of SEM image for cell size measurements
Table S2: Significant QTL identified for TGW in the Charger x Badger doubled haploid population
Table S3: Significant QTL identified for yield in the Charger x Badger doubled haploid population
Figure S2: Yield MTME graph
Table S4: Spike yield components 10 representative single ear samples of 5A NILs
Figure S3: Distribution of grain width of NILs from whole plot samples
Figure S4: Grain development time course of 5A NILs (2014 field trials)
Figure S5: Grain development time course of 5A NILs (2016 field trials)
Figure S6: Comparisons of cell length within genotypes between different sized groups of grains
Figure S7: Comparisons of cell length between 5A+ and 5A- NILs in 2016
Figure S8: Comparisons of cell number in 2015 and 2016

File S1: TGACv1 genes in the fine mapped interval and associated expression data











