Reef fishes of praia do Tofo and praia da Barra, Inhambane, Mozambique

Alexander J. Fordyce[1]

[1]Marine Megafauna Association, Praia do Tofo, Inhambane, Mozambique.

Corresponding Author

Alexander John Fordyce.

Email address: af1721@my.bristol.ac.uk

Abstract

The coral reefs around Praia do Tofo and Praia da Barra, southern Mozambique, are known for their aggregations of marine megafauna but few studies have examined their reef fish biodiversity. This study assesses for the first time the ichthyofaunal diversity of the seas around Praia do Tofo and Praia da Barra. Methods involved underwater observations during recreational dives between February and September 2016, and the use of photographic records from 2015. A total of 353 species, representing 79 families, were recorded from 16 patch reefs in the region. The area shows comparable species diversity to others in the southwestern Indian Ocean, suggesting these reefs are in good condition. But high primary productivity driven by coastal upwelling may make fish diversity and trophic structure unreliable measures of the health of these reefs. Future studies investigating the sustainability of this ecosystem would benefit from utilising a wide range of reef health measures.

Introduction

The ecotourism industry of the Inhambane province in southern Mozambique accounts for approximately 7% of the province's annual income (Mutimucuio & Meyer, 2011). The primary tourism hotspots are the Bazaruto Archipelago National Park (BANP) and the southern area around the Inhambane peninsula. In the latter, the seas around Praia do Tofo & Praia da Barra (hereafter referred to as PTPB) are particularly important due to their resident populations of manta rays and whale sharks (Pierce *et al.* 2010; Tibirica *et al.* 2011). Venables *et al*. (2016) estimate that manta ray tourism alone contributes $34 million USD per annum to the province's economy. Scientific research in the PTPB area has thus predominantly focused on these charismatic species (e.g. Rohner *et al.* 2013; 2014); so far, very little research has been conducted on the biodiversity of resident fish populations. This aspect of the PTPB's marine ecosystem is expected to gain value in the future, as has occurred in the BANP (Schleyer & Celliers, 2005), due to the continued decline of local megafauna populations (Rohner *et al.* 2013). As of 2014, the United Nations & World Heritage Convention (2014) recommend that the protected area currently represented by the BANP be extended south to include the seas around PTPB. Knowledge of the fish biodiversity of this area will help support this recommendation.

Species richness information is currently missing from the PTPB seas but this data is vital for future ecosystem management. Biodiversity data is necessary to identify key biological components (as per Pereira, 2000), provide a baseline from which ecosystem stability and function can be assessed (as per Cleland, 2011), and to predict the effects of biodiversity loss on ecosystem provision (as per Bellwood & Hughes, 2001; Gillibrand, Harries & Mara, 2007; Maggs *et al.*, 2010). The PTPB area is bordered by the tropical and sub-tropical latitudes of the southwestern Indian Ocean and are home to a number of different reef habitats likely to

support diverse reef fish assemblages. The most common habitats are deepwater, offshore patch reefs which are characteristic of southern Mozambique and typically have low levels of coral cover (e.g. Pereira, 2000; Motta *et al.*, 2002; Schleyer & Celliers, 2005). Other marine ecosystems in the region include mangrove swamps, estuarine reefs and shallow inshore fringing reefs. This range of reef and coastal environments provide substantial habitat and nursery grounds for fish species in the area. The PTPB area has a relatively large associated human population of over 250,000 people (Instituto Nacional de Estatística, 2007), based primarily in the cities of Maxixe & Inhambane (Fig. 1). But there is little to no management in place to safeguard the marine ecosystems and the services they provide. This study constitutes a baseline assessment of fish diversity of the reefs surrounding Praia do Tofo & Praia da Barra, and highlights the need for further investigations into the state of these ecosystems.

Materials & Methods

Study Site

Praia do Tofo (23° 51.205' S 35° 32.882' E) and Praia da Barra (23° 47.541' S 35° 31.142' E) harbour a number of shallow fringing coral reefs. However, many of the sites frequented by the local dive industry are in deeper waters to the north and south. In this study, diversity was recorded on reefs spanning approximately 40 km along the coast of the Inhambane province (Fig. 1). A total of 16 reef sites between 1 and 32 m (Table 1) were surveyed between February and September 2016.

Sampling

The primary method was underwater observations during a random swim. Species were identified *in situ* if possible and recorded on an underwater PVC slate. If required, a photograph was taken for subsequent species identification. Deep sites (> 8 m) were surveyed on SCUBA, as part of a recreational dive charter operated by Peri-Peri Divers. Shallow sites were assessed by snorkelling. Fifty-four individual surveys, totalling 2218 minutes of observation time were undertaken (total surveying times for each site are shown in Table 1). The species richness recorded from underwater observations was supplemented through the inclusion of species that had been sighted in the year preceding the survey period, and for which there was photographic evidence available from local ecotourism and dive operators (e.g. *Mola mola*). The inclusion of solicited data outside the study period was conducted to represent rare or seasonally restricted species. Data



Figure 1. Map of the study area and its location along the coast of Mozambique (inset). Sampled reefs are indicated by (•); their broad characteristics are described in Table 1.

122 collection was approved by the Maritime Administration of the City of Inhambane and the

Table 1. Names and descriptions of sampled reefs, including the underwater survey method used and the amount of time spent surveying each location

Site Name (Number)	Site Description	Sampling Method	Sampling Time (mins)
Amazon (1)	Offshore, horseshoe reef with an abundance of azooxanthellate soft corals; 23 – 28 metres.	SCUBA	87
Hospital (2)	Offshore, southward sloping reef with occasional short pinnacles; 24 – 26 metres.	SCUBA	80
The Office (3)	Topographically complex offshore reef with an abundance of overhangs and valleys with many encrusting soft corals; 22 – 26 metres.	SCUBA	177
Reggie's (4)	Tall, offshore reef rising between 4 – 8 metres from the seafloor; reef crests are dominated by large colonies of *Tubastrea micranthus*; 22 – 30 metres.	SCUBA	231
Buddies (5)	Shallow, inshore reef subject to persistent swell and fishing pressure; 8 – 10 metres.	SCUBA	97
The Wall (6)	Shallow estuarine reef with daily exposure to strong tidal currents; a combination of seagrass, rocky reef and sand patch microhabitats; 0-4 metres.	Snorkel	70
Mike's Cupboard (7)	Submerged sand dune reef, with many potholes and gullies surrounded by sandy reef flats; 12 – 16 metres.	SCUBA	108
Salon (8)	Shallow inshore reef composed of multiple large pinnacles surrounded by sandy bottom; subject to high turbidity from wave action; 10-14 metres.	SCUBA	175
Sherwood Forest (9)	Offshore reef just outside of Tofo bay, made of one large and one smaller pinnacle both supporting large populations of *Tubastrea micranthus*; 22 – 26 metres	SCUBA	58
Giants Castle (10)	Straight north-south reef with an extensive reef flat and deep reef wall; known within the local dive industry as having the best sighting rate for marine megafauna; 27 – 32 metres.	SCUBA	214
Marble Arch (11)	Inshore reef exposed to minor wave action; large reef flat with a few large potholes and one large rock arch; 14 – 18 metres.	SCUBA	51
Rob's Bottom (12)	Very patchy eastward sloping reef that is often subject to high current with high algal cover; 23 – 27 metres.	SCUBA	158
Manta Reef (13)	A large offshore reef, with a large central reef flat; peripheries are characterised by short, steep reef slopes with a number of tall pinnacles; 18 – 24 metres	SCUBA	365
Outback (14)	Similar reef shape as Giant's Castle, yet with more small inlets that house a number of deep overhangs and archways; 25 – 30 metres.	SCUBA	76
Coconut Bay (15)	Shallow inshore rocky reef with small patches of encrusting soft coral and larger swathes of seagrass; 4 – 8 metres.	Snorkel	53
Paindane Coral Gardens (16)	Small, shallow reef protected from offshore waves by a barrier rock extending from shore; the most abundant coral community in this area, dominated by *Sinularia* spp. soft coral and corymbose acroporids; 1 – 6 metres.	Snorkel	182

123 Ministry of Justice.

124 *Estimated richness and regional comparisons*

125 To determine the number of conspicuous species missed during the visual census, the Coral
126 Fish Diversity Index (CFDI) developed by Allen & Werner (2002) was calculated and
127 compared to the recorded species richness (SR_{obs}). The CFDI examines the diversity of six
128 common and easily observable families as representatives of reef fish species richness. These
129 families are Acanthuridae, Chaetodontidae, Labridae, Pomacanthidae, Pomacentridae &
130 Scaridae. In areas < 2000 km^2, a theoretical species richness (SR_{theor}) is then generated using
131 the equation SR_{theor} = 3.39(CFDI) – 20.595 (Allen & Werner, 2002). SR_{theor} was calculated
132 for other reef systems in the southwestern Indian Ocean, using published literature, to draw
133 loose comparisons between the richness of these areas and that observed in the current study
134 (as per Wickel *et al.* 2014).

135

136 Results

137 A total of 353 species, representing 79 families, were recorded in the current study from 328
138 visual observations and 25 past photographic records (Table 2). Of the total number of
139 species recorded, 27 were cartilaginous fish and 326 were bony fish. The CFDI-generated

Table 2. Reef fish species checklist from the PTPB area of Mozambique, sighted through surveys (S) and photographic records (P). Where a species' trophic category has been assumed from a congener species, it is labelled with a '*'.

140 SR_{theor} was 329, lower than the observed species richness (Table 3).

FAMILIES - Species - Authors	**Sighting Record**	**Trophic Category**
ACANTHURIDAE		
Acanthurus dussumieri Cuvier & Valenciennes, 1835	S	H
Acanthurus leucosternon Bennett, 1833	S	H
Acanthurus lineatus Linnaeus, 1758	S	H

FAMILIES - Species - Authors	**Sighting Record**	**Trophic Category**
Acanthurus nigrofuscus Forsskål, 1775	S	H
Acanthurus tennentii Günther, 1861	S	H
Acanthurus triostegus Linnaeus, 1758	S	H
Acanthurus xanthopterus Valenciennes, 1835	S	H
Ctenochaetus binotatus Randall, 1955	S	H
Ctenochaetus striatus Quoy & Gaimard, 1825	S	H
Ctenochaetus truncates Randall & Clements, 2001	S	H
Naso brachycentron Valenciennes, 1835	S	H
Naso brevirostris Cuvier, 1829	S	H
Naso elegans Rüppell, 1829	S	H
Paracanthurus hepatus Linné, 1766	S	DPL
Zebrasoma desjardinii Bennett, 1836	S	H
Zebrasoma gemmatum Valenciennes, 1835	S	H
Zebrasoma scopas Cuvier, 1829	S	H
AMBASSIDAE		
Ambassis natalensis Gilchrist & Thompson, 1908	S	DC
ANTENNARIIDAE		
Antennarius coccineus Lesson, 1831	S	Pi
Antennarius commerson Lacepède, 1798	S	Pi
Antennarius nummifer Cuvier, 1817	P	Pi
APOGONIDAE		
Cheilodipterus quinquelineatus Cuvier, 1828	S	NC
Ostorhinchus angustatus Smith & Radcliffe, 1911	S	BSI
Ostorhinchus flagelliferus Smith, 1961	S	BSI
Ostorhinchus fleurieu Lacepède, 1802	S	BSI*
Pristiapogon kallopterus Bleeker, 1856	S	NC
Taeniamia mozambiquensis Smith, 1961	S	NA
ATHERINIDAE		
Atherinomorus lacunosus Forster, 1801	S	NPL
AULOSTOMIDAE		
Aulostomus chinensis Linnaeus, 1766	S	Pi
BALISTIDAE		
Balistapus undulatus Park, 1797	S	DC
Balistoides conspicillum Bloch & Schneider, 1801	S	DC
Balistoides viridescens Bloch & Schneider, 1801	S	DC

FAMILIES - Species - Authors	**Sighting Record**	**Trophic Category**
Odonus niger Rüppell, 1836	S	DC
Pseudobalistes flavimarginatus Rüppell, 1829	P	DC
Pseudobalistes fuscus Bloch & Schneider, 1801	S	DC
Rhinecanthus aculeatus Linnaeus, 1758	S	DC
Rhinecanthus rectangulus Bloch & Schneider, 1801	S	O
Sufflamen bursa Bloch & Schneider, 1801	S	DC
Sufflamen fraenatum Latreille, 1804	S	DC
Xanthichthys lineopunctatus Hollard, 1854	S	DC*
BLENNIIDAE		
Aspidontus dussumieri Valenciennes, 1836	S	H
Aspidontus taeniatus Quoy & Gaimard, 1834	S	DC
Aspidontus tractus Fowler, 1903	S	DC
Cirripectes stigmaticus Strasburg & Schultz, 1953	S	H
Ecsenius midas Starck, 1969	S	H
Istiblennius edentulous Forster & Schneider, 1801	S	H
Plagiotremus rhinorhynchos Bleeker, 1852	S	NPL
Plagiotremus tapeinosoma Bleeker, 1857	S	O
BOTHIDAE		
Bothus mancus Broussonet, 1782	S	DC
Bothus pantherinus Rüppell, 1830	S	NC
CAESIONIDAE		
Caesio varilineata Carpenter, 1987	S	DPL
Caesio xanthalytos Holleman, Connell & Carpenter, 2013	S	DPL*
Caesio xanthonata Bleeker, 1853	S	DPL
Pterocaesio marri Schultz, Herald, Lachner, Welander & Woods, 1953	S	DPL
Pterocaesio tile Cuvier & Valenciennes, 1830	S	DPL
CALLIONMYIDAE		
Neosynchiropus stellatus Smith, 1963	S	DC
CARANGIDAE		
Alectis ciliaris Bloch, 1787	P	DC
Alectis indica Rüppell, 1830	P	DC
Caranx bucculentus Alleyne & Macleay, 1877	S	DC
Caranx heberi Bennett, 1830	S	DC
Caranx ignobilis Forsskål, 1775	S	DC
Caranx melampygus Cuvier, 1833	S	DC

FAMILIES - Species - Authors	**Sighting Record**	**Trophic Category**
Caranx sexfasciatus Quoy & Gaimard, 1825	S	Pi
Elagatis bipinnulata Quoy & Gaimard, 1825	S	DC
Gnathanodon speciosus Forsskål, 1775	S	DC
Seriola lalandi Valenciennes, 1833	S	DC
CARCHARHINIDAE		
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos Bleeker, 1856	S	Pi
Carcharhinus leucas Müller & Henle, 1839	P	DC
Carcharhinus limbatus Müller & Henle, 1839	S	Pi
Carcharhinus melanopterus Quoy & Gaimard, 1824	S	Pi
Carcharhinus obscurus Lesueur, 1818	S	DC
Triaenodon obesus Rüppell, 1837	S	DC
CENTRISCIDAE		
Aeoliscus strigatus Günther, 1861	P	DC
CHAETODONTIDAE		
Chaetodon auriga Forsskål, 1775	S	BSI
Chaetodon blackburnii Desjardins, 1836	S	BSI
Chaetodon dolosus Ahl, 1923	S	BSI
Chaetodon guttatissimus Bennett, 1833	S	BSI
Chaetodon interruptus Ahl, 1923	S	BSI
Chaetodon kleinii Bloch, 1790	S	BSI
Chaetodon lineolatus Cuvier, 1831	S	BSI
Chaetodon lunula Lacepède, 1802	S	BSI
Chaetodon madagaskariensis Ahl, 1923	S	BSI
Chaetodon melannotus Bloch & Schneider, 1801	S	BSI
Chaetodon meyeri Bloch & Schneider, 1801	S	BSI
Chaetodon trifascialis Quoy & Gaimard, 1825	S	BSI
Chaetodon xanthurus Bleeker, 1857	S	BSI
Forcipiger flavissimus Jordan & McGregor, 1898	S	BSI
Hemitaurichthys zoster Bennett, 1831	S	DPL
Heniochus acuminatus Linnaeus, 1758	S	BSI
Heniochus diphreutes Jordan, 1903	S	DPL
Heniochus monoceros Cuvier, 1831	S	BSI
CIRRHITIDAE		
Cirrhitichthys oxycephalus Bleeker, 1855	S	DC
Cyprinocirrhites polyactis Bleeker, 1874	S	DPL

FAMILIES - Species - Authors	**Sighting Record**	**Trophic Category**
Oxycirrhites typus Bleeker, 1857	P	DPL
Paracirrhites arcatus Cuvier, 1829	S	DC
Paracirrhites forsteri Schneider, 1801	S	DC
CLINIDAE		
Clinus venustris Gilchrist & Thompson, 1908	S	NA
Pavoclinus laurentii Gilchrist & Thompson, 1908	S	NA
CLUPEIDAE		
Gilchristella aestuaria Gilchrist, 1913	S	DPL
CONGRIDAE		
Heteroconger hassi Klausewitz & Eibl-Eibesfeldt, 1959	S	NC
DACTYLOPTERIDAE		
Dactyloptena orientalis Cuvier, 1829	S	NC
DASYATIDAE		
Dasyatis microps Annandale, 1908	S	NC*
Himantura jenkinsii Annandale, 1909	S	NC
Himantura uarnak Gmelin, 1789	S	NC
Neotrygon kuhlii Müller & Henle, 1841	S	NC
Taeniura lymma Forsskål, 1775	P	NC
Taeniura meyeni Müller & Henle, 1841	S	NC
DIODONTIDAE		
Diodon holocanthus Linnaeus, 1758	S	NC
Diodon hystrix Linnaeus, 1758	S	NC
Diodon liturosus Shaw, 1804	S	NC
ECHENEIDAE		
Echeneis naucrates Linnaeus, 1758	S	NC
ENGRAULIDAE		
Thryssa vitrirostris Gilchrist & Thompson, 1908	S	DPL
EPHIPPIDAE		
Platax teira Forsskål, 1775	S	O
FISTULARIIDAE		
Fistularia commersonii Rüppell, 1838	S	Pi
GERREIDAE		
Gerres longirostris Lacepède, 1801	S	DC
GINGLYMOSTOMATIDAE		
Nebrius ferrugineus Lesson, 1831	P	NC

FAMILIES - Species - Authors	**Sighting Record**	**Trophic Category**
GOBIIDAE		
Amblyeleotris steinitzi Klausewitz, 1974	S	DC
Amblyeleotris wheeleri Polunin & Lubbock, 1977	S	DC*
Caffrogobius saldanha Barnard, 1927	S	NA
Valenciennea strigata Broussonet, 1782	S	DC
HAEMULIDAE		
Diagramma pictum Thunberg, 1792	S	DC
Plectorhinchus flavomaculatus Cuvier, 1830	S	NC
Plectorhinchus gaterinus Forsskål, 1775	S	NC
Plectorhinchus playfairi Pellegrin, 1914	S	DC
Plectorhinchus vittatus Linnaeus, 1758	S	NC
HEMIRAMPHIDAE		
Hyporhamphus affinis Günther, 1866	S	O
HOLOCENTRIDAE		
Myripristis adusta Bleeker, 1853	S	NPL
Myripristis berndti Jordan & Evermann, 1903	S	NC
Myripristis botche Cuvier, 1829	S	NC
Myripristis murdjan Forsskål, 1775	S	NPL
Myripristis vittata Valenciennes, 1831	S	NPL
Neoniphon samara Forsskål, 1775	S	NC
Pagellus natalensis Steindachner, 1903	S	O
Sargocentron caudimaculatum Rüppell, 1838	S	NC
Sargocentron diadema Lacepède, 1802	S	NC
Sargocentron spiniferum Forsskål, 1775	S	NC
ISTIOPHORIDAE		
Istiompax indica Cuvier, 1832	S	Pi
Istiophorus platypterus Shaw, 1792	P	Pi
Makaira nigricans Lacepède, 1802	P	Pi
KYPHOSIDAE		
Kyphosus vaigiensis Quoy & Gaimard, 1825	S	H
LABRIDAE		
Anampses meleagrides Valenciennes, 1840	S	DC
Bodianus anthioides Bennett, 1832	S	DC
Bodianus axillaris Bennett, 1832	S	DC
Bodianus diana Lacepède, 1801	S	DC

FAMILIES - Species - Authors	**Sighting Record**	**Trophic Category**
Bodianus trilineatus Fowler, 1934	S	DC*
Anampses twistii Bleeker, 1856	S	DC
Cheilinus trilobatus Lacepède, 1801	S	DC
Cheilinus undulates Rüppell, 1835	S	DC
Cheilio inermis Forsskål, 1775	S	DC
Coris aygula Lacepède, 1801	S	DC
Coris caudimacula Quoy & Gaimard, 1834	S	DC
Coris cuvieri Bennett, 1831	S	DC
Coris formosa Bennett, 1830	S	DC
Gomphosus caeruleus Lacepède, 1801	S	DC
Gomphosus varius Lacepède, 1801	S	DC
Halichoeres cosmetus Randall & Smith, 1982	S	DC
Halichoeres hortulanus Lacepède, 1801	S	DC
Halichoeres iridis Randall & Smith, 1982	S	DC
Halichoeres lapillus Smith, 1947	S	DC
Halichoeres nebulosus Valenciennes, 1839	S	DC
Halichoeres scapularis Bennett, 1832	S	DC
Halichoeres zeylonicus Bennett, 1833	S	DC
Halichoeres zulu Randall & King, 2010	S	DC
Labroides bicolor Fowler & Bean, 1928	S	DC
Labroides dimidiatus Valenciennes, 1839	S	DC
Macropharyngodon bipartitus Smith, 1957	S	DC
Macropharyngodon cyanoguttatus Randall, 1978	S	DC*
Novaculichthys taeniourus Lacepède, 1801	S	DC
Pseudocoris heteroptera Bleeker, 1857	S	DC
Thalassoma amblycephalum Bleeker, 1856	S	DC
Thalassoma hebraicum Lacepède, 1801	S	DC
Thalassoma lunare Linnaeus, 1758	S	DC
LUTJANIDAE		
Aprion virescens Valenciennes, 1830	S	Pi
Lutjanus ehrenbergii Peters, 1869	S	NC
Lutjanus fulviflamma Forsskål, 1775	S	NC
Lutjanus gibbus Forsskål, 1775	S	NC
Lutjanus kasmira Forsskål, 1775	S	NC
Lutjanus lutjanus Bloch, 1790	S	NC

FAMILIES - Species - Authors	**Sighting Record**	**Trophic Category**
Lutjanus monostigma Cuvier, 1828	S	NC
Lutjanus notatus Cuvier, 1828	S	NC
Lutjanus rivulatus Cuvier, 1828	S	NC
Lutjanus sebae Cuvier, 1816	S	NC
Macolor niger Forsskål, 1775	S	NC
Paracaesio sordida Abe & Shinohara, 1962	S	DPL
MALACANTHIDAE		
Malacanthus brevirostris Guichenot, 1848	S	DC
MICRODESMIDAE		
Nemateleotris magnifica Fowler, 1938	S	NPL
Ptereleotris evides Jordan & Hubbs, 1925	S	NPL
Ptereleotris heteroptera Bleeker, 1855	S	DPL
MOLIDAE		
Mola mola Linnaeus, 1758	P	DC
MONACANTHIDAE		
Aluterus scriptus Osbeck, 1765	S	O
Acreichthys tomentosus Linnaeus, 1758	S	DC
Cantherhines fronticinctus Günther, 1867	S	BSI
Cantherhines pardalis Rüppell, 1837	S	BSI
Pervagor janthinosoma Bleeker, 1854	S	NA
Stephanolepis auratus Castelnau, 1861	S	NA
MONOCENTRIDAE		
Cleidopus gloriamaris De Vis, 1882	P	NA
MONODACTYLIDAE		
Monodactylus argenteus Linnaeus, 1758	S	DPL
MULLIDAE		
Mulloidichthys ayliffe Uiblein, 2011	S	NC
Mulloidichthys flavolineatus Lacepède, 1801	S	NC
Mulloidichthys vanicolensis Valenciennes, 1831	S	NC
Parupeneus barberinus Lacepède, 1801	S	DC
Parupeneus indicus Shaw, 1803	S	DC
Parupeneus macronemus Lacepède, 1801	S	DC
Parupeneus trifasciatus Lacepède, 1801	S	DC
MURAENIDAE		
Echidna nebulosa Ahl, 1789	S	NC

FAMILIES - Species - Authors	**Sighting Record**	**Trophic Category**
Enchelycore pardalis Temminck & Schlegel, 1846	S	Pi
Gymnomuraena zebra Shaw, 1797	S	NC
Gymnothorax breedeni McCosker & Randall, 1977	S	NC
Gymnothorax eurostus Abbott, 1860	S	NC
Gymnothorax favagineus Bloch & Schneider, 1801	S	NC
Gymnothorax flavimarginatus Rüppell, 1830	S	Pi
Gymnothorax griseus Lacepède, 1803	S	NC*
Gymnothorax javanicus Bleeker, 1859	S	NC
Gymnothorax meleagris Shaw, 1795	S	DC
Gymnothorax miliaris Kaup, 1856	S	DC
Gymnothorax nudivomer Günther, 1867	S	NC*
Gymnothorax undulates Lacepède, 1803	S	NC
Rhinomuraena quaesita Garman, 1888	P	Pi
MYLIOBATIDAE		
Aetobatus narinari Euphrasen, 1790	P	DC
Manta alfredi Krefft, 1868	S	DPL
Manta birostris Walbaum, 1792	S	DPL
Mobula japonica Müller & Henle, 1841	S	DPL
ODONTASIPSIDAE		
Carcharias taurus Rafinesque, 1810	S	DC
OPHICHTHIDAE		
Myrichthys colubrinus Boddaert, 1781	S	NC
Myrichthys maculosus Cuvier, 1816	S	NC
Pisodonophis cancrivorus Richardson, 1848	P	NC
OPLEGNATHIDAE		
Oplegnathus robinsoni Regan, 1916	S	O
OSTRACIIDAE		
Lactoria fornasini Bianconi, 1846	S	BSI*
Lactoria cornuta Linnaeus, 1758	S	BSI
Ostracion cubicus Linnaeus, 1758	S	BSI
Ostracion meleagris Shaw, 1796	S	BSI
PEGASIDAE		
Eurypegasus draconis Linnaeus, 1766	S	BSI
PEMPHERIDAE		
Parapriacanthus ransonneti Steindachner, 1870	S	NPL

FAMILIES - Species - Authors	**Sighting Record**	**Trophic Category**
Pempheris schwenkii Bleeker, 1855	S	NPL
PINGUIPEDIDAE		
Parapercis schauinslandii Steindachner, 1900	S	DC
PLATYCEPHALIDAE		
Papilloculiceps longiceps Cuvier, 1829	S	DC
PLOTOSIDAE		
Plotosus lineatus Thunberg, 1787	S	NC
POMACANTHIDAE		
Apolemichthys trimaculatus Cuvier, 1831	S	O
Centropyge acanthops Norman, 1922	S	O
Centropyge bispinosa Günther, 1860	S	O
Centropyge multispinis Playfair, 1867	S	O
Pomacanthus chrysurus Cuvier, 1831	S	O
Pomacanthus imperator Bloch, 1787	S	O
Pomacanthus rhomboides Gilchrist & Thompson, 1908	S	O*
Pomacanthus semicirculatus Cuvier, 1831	S	BSI
Pygoplites diacanthus Boddaert, 1772	S	BSI
POMACENTRIDAE		
Abudefduf natalensis Hensley & Randall, 1983	S	O
Abudefduf sexfasciatus Lacepède, 1801	S	O
Abudefduf vaigiensis Quoy & Gaimard, 1825	S	O
Amphiprion allardi Klausewitz, 1970	S	O
Amphiprion perideraion Bleeker, 1855	S	O*
Chromis fieldi Randall & DiBattista, 2013	S	DPL
Chromis nigrura Smith, 1960	S	DPL
Chromis opercularis Günther, 1867	S	DPL
Chromis viridis Cuvier, 1830	S	O
Chromis weberi Fowler & Bean, 1928	S	DPL
Chrysiptera brownriggii Bennett, 1828	S	O
Chrysiptera unimaculata Cuvier, 1830	S	O
Dascyllus aruanus Linnaeus, 1758	S	DPL
Dascyllus carneus Fischer, 1885	S	O
Dascyllus trimaculatus Rüppell, 1829	S	DPL
Neopomacentrus cyanomos Bleeker, 1856	S	NA
Plectroglyphidodon dickii Liénard, 1839	S	O

FAMILIES - Species - Authors	**Sighting Record**	**Trophic Category**
Pomacentrus caeruleus Quoy & Gaimard, 1825	S	O
Pomacentrus pavo Bloch, 1787	S	O
Stegastes fasciolatus Ogilby, 1889	S	H
Stegastes pelicieri Allen & Emery, 1985	S	H
PRIACANTHIDAE		
Priacanthus hamrur Forsskål, 1775	S	NC
PSEUDOCHROMIDAE		
Pseudochromis dutoiti Smith, 1955	S	DC
RACHYCENTRIDAE		
Rachycentron canadum Linnaeus, 1766	S	DC
RHINCODONTIDAE		
Rhincodon typus Smith, 1828	S	DPL
RHINIDAE		
Rhina ancylostoma Bloch & Schneider, 1801	P	NC
RHINOBATIDAE		
Rhinobatus annulatus Müller & Henle, 1841	P	NC
Rhinobatus leucospilus Norman, 1926	S	NC
Rhynchobatus djiddensis Forsskål, 1775	S	NC
SCARIDAE		
Chlorurus cyanescens Valenciennes, 1840	S	H
Chlorurus sordidus Forsskål, 1775	S	H
Scarus ghobban Forsskål, 1775	S	H
Scarus rubroviolaceus Bleeker, 1847	S	H
Scarus scaber Valenciennes, 1840	S	H
Scarus tricolor Bleeker, 1847	S	H
SCOMBRIDAE		
Euthynnus affinis Cantor, 1849	S	DC
Gymnosarda unicolor Rüppell, 1836	S	Pi
Katsuwonus pelamis Linnaeus, 1758	S	DC
Scomberomorus commerson Lacepède, 1801	S	Pi
Scomberomorus plurilineatus Fourmanoir, 1966	P	Pi
Thunnus albacares Bonnaterre, 1788	S	DC
SCORPAENIDAE		
Caracanthus maculatus Gray, 1831	S	NA
Dendrochirus brachypterus Cuvier, 1829	S	NC

FAMILIES - Species - Authors	**Sighting Record**	**Trophic Category**
Dendrochirus zebra Cuvier, 1829	S	NC
Parascorpaena mossambica Peters, 1855	S	NA
Pterois antennata Bloch, 1787	S	DC
Pterois miles Bennett, 1828	S	Pi
Rhinopias eschmeyeri Condé, 1977	P	Pi*
Rhinopias frondosa Günther, 1892	P	Pi
Scorpaenopsis diabolus Cuvier, 1829	S	Pi
Scorpaenopsis oxycephala Bleeker, 1849	S	Pi
Scorpaenopsis venosa Cuvier, 1829	S	DC
Sebastapistes cyanostigma Bleeker, 1856	S	NA
Taenianotus triacanthus Lacepède, 1802	S	DC
SERRANIDAE		
Cephalopholis argus Schneider, 1801	S	Pi
Cephalopholis miniata Forsskål, 1775	S	NC
Cephalopholis sonnerati Valenciennces, 1828	S	NC
Epinephelus chlorostigma Valenciennes, 1828	S	NC
Epinephelus fasciatus Forsskål, 1775	S	NC
Epinephelus flavocaeruleus Lacepède, 1802	P	Pi
Epinephelus lanceolatus Bloch, 1790	P	NC
Epinephelus macrospilos Bleeker, 1855	S	DC
Epinephelus malabaricus Bloch & Schneider, 1801	S	NC
Epinephelus merra Bloch, 1793	S	Pi
Epinephelus rivulatus Valenciennes, 1830	S	Pi
Epinephelus tauvina Forsskål, 1775	S	Pi
Epinephelus tukula Morgans, 1959	S	NC
Grammistes sexlineatus Thunberg, 1792	S	NC
Nemanthias carberryi Smith, 1954	S	DPL
Plectropomus punctatus Quoy & Gaimard, 1824	S	Pi
Pogonoperca punctata Valenciennes, 1830	S	NC*
Pseudanthias evansi Smith, 1954	S	DPL
Pseudanthias squamipinnus Peters, 1855	S	DPL
SIGANIDAE		
Siganus luridus Rüppell, 1829	S	H
Siganus sutor Valenciennes, 1835	S	H
SOLEIDAE		

FAMILIES - Species - Authors	**Sighting Record**	**Trophic Category**
Solea turbynei Gilchrist, 1904	S	NA
SPARIDAE		
Chrysoblephus puniceus Gilchrist & Thompson, 1908	S	DC
Diplodus hottentotus Smith, 1844	S	DC
SPHRYNIDAE		
Sphyrna lewini Griffith & Smith, 1834	S	DC
SPHYRAENIDAE		
Sphyraena putnamae Jordan & Seale, 1905	S	NC
STEGOSTOMATIDAE		
Stegostoma fasciatum Hermann, 1783	S	NC
SYNANCEIIDAE		
Synanceia verrucosa Bloch & Schneider, 1801	S	Pi
SYNGNATHIDAE		
Corythoichthys intestinalis Ramsay, 1881	P	DC
Doryrhamphus dactyliophorus Bleeker, 1853	S	DPL
Hippocampus borboniensis Duméril, 1870	S	DPL*
Hippocampus camelopardalis Bianconi, 1854	P	DPL*
Hippocampus histrix Kaup, 1856	S	DPL
Hippocampus kuda Bleeker, 1852	S	DPL
Solenostomus cyanopterus Bleeker, 1854	S	DC
Trachyrhamphus bicoarctatus Bleeker, 1857	S	NA
SYNODONTIDAE		
Synodus dermatogenys Fowler, 1912	S	Pi
Synodus jaculum Russell & Cressey, 1979	S	Pi
TETRAODONTIDAE		
Arothron hispidus Linnaeus, 1758	S	NC
Arothron meleagris Anonymous, 1798	S	NC
Arothron nigropunctatus Bloch & Schneider, 1801	S	NC
Arothron stellatus Anonymous, 1798	S	NC
Canthigaster amboinensis Bleeker, 1864	S	H
Canthigaster bennetti Bleeker, 1854	S	O
Canthigaster janthinoptera Bleeker, 1855	S	O
Canthigaster smithae Allen & Randall, 1977	S	O*
Canthigaster solandri Richardson, 1845	S	O
Canthigaster valentine Bleeker, 1853	S	O

FAMILIES - Species - Authors	Sighting Record	Trophic Category
TETRAROGIDAE		
Ablabys binotatus Peters, 1855	S	NA
Ablabys macracanthus Bleeker, 1852	S	NA
TORPEDINIDAE		
Torpedo marmorata Risso, 1810	S	Pi
Torpedo spp.	S	Pi
ZANCLIDAE		
Zanclus cornutus Linnaeus, 1758	S	DC

Trophic Categories: Herbivore (H); Omnivore (O); Browser of Sessile Invertebrates (BSI); Diurnal Carnivore (DC); Nocturnal Carnivore (NC); Piscivore (Pi); Diurnal Planktivore (DPL); Nocturnal Planktivore (NPL); Unknown (NA)

Twelve families represented over half of the total recorded diversity, these included Acanthuridae (17), Balistidae (11), Carangidae (10), Chaetodontidae (18), Holocentridae (10), Labridae (32), Lutjanidae (12), Muraenidae (14), Pomacentridae (21), Scorpaenidae (13), Serranidae (19), and Tetraodontidae (10). Nearly half the recorded families (48%) were represented by one species only. Five of these families are monospecific including, Rachycentridae, Rhincodontidae, Rhinidae, Stegostomatidae, and Zanclidae. The most species-rich genera were *Chaetodon* (12), *Epinephelus* (10) and *Gymnothorax* (10).

Discussion

This is the first assessment of ichthyofaunal diversity of the seas around Praia do Tofo and Praia da Barra in southern Mozambique. Through the use of underwater observations supplemented by past records, 353 species were recorded from the coral reefs spanning 40 km of the southern coastline of the Inhambane province. These results provide a higher estimation of fish species richness than is predicted by the Coral Fish Diversity Index. The diversity of the PTPB area is similar to that recorded in other areas of the southwestern Indian Ocean where visual observations have been the primary data collection method (Table 3)

158 (Maggs *et al.*, 2010; Chabanet & Durville, 2005; Gillibrand, Harries & Mara, 2007; Durville,

Table 3. The diversity of reef fish species and families from other areas in the southwestern Indian Ocean. SRobs = recorded species richness; SRtheor = theoretical species richness predicted by the Coral Fish Diversity Index (Allen & Werner, 2002).

Location	Geographical Coordinates	SR_{obs}	SR_{theor}	No. of families	SR_{obs} to no. of families ratio (2 d. p.)	Source
Praia do Tofo & Praia da Barra	23°51'S, 33°54'E	353	329	79	4.47:1	Present study
Bazaruto Archipelago National Park	21°43'S, 35°27'E	249	359	40	6.23:1	Maggs *et al.* 2010
Maputo Bay	26°S, 32°54'E	327	349	58	5.64:1	Schleyer & Pereira, 2014
Juan de Nova	17°03'S, 42°43'E	299	423	55	5.44:1	Chabanet & Durville, 2005
Andavadoaka	22°05'S, 43°12'E	334	430	58	5.76:1	Gillibrand, Harries & Mara, 2007
Glorieuses Islands	11°33'S, 47°20'E	332	451	57	5.82:1	Durville, Chabanet & Quod, 2003
St. Lucia Marine Reserve	27°44'S, 32°40'E	258	349	48	5.38:1	Floros *et al.* 2012
Mafia Island	7°52'S, 39°45'E	394	515	56	7.04:1	Garpe & Ohman, 2003
Europa Island	22°21'S, 40°21'E	389	468	62	6.27:1	Fricke *et al.* 2013
Ponta do Ouro Partial Marine Reserve	26°27'S, 32°56'E	376	318	90	4.18:1	Pereira, Videira & Abrantes, 2004

159 Chabanet & Quod, 2003). In particular, SR_{theor} shows high similarity to areas in southern
160 Mozambique and South Africa that are fully or partially protected (e.g. Floros *et al.* 2012;
161 Maggs *et al.* 2010; Pereira, Videira & Abrantes, 2004).

162 The sub-tropical reefs of the PTPB area have levels of coral cover (Motta *et al.* 2002), which
163 may be assumed to result in a low diversity of fish communities (Komyakova, Munday &
164 Jones, 2013). However, the current study finds a relatively high ichthyofaunal species
165 richness which is comparable to areas with higher coral cover (e.g. Gillibrand, Harries &
166 Mara, 2007; Table 3). This may be partly explained by the extensive visual sampling design
167 used. The high sampling time employed in this study (over 36 hours of underwater
168 observations) allowed for the observation of some cryptic species that would be missed by

169 shorter visual surveying. For example, four species of gobies and eight species of blennies
170 were recorded on reefs of PTPB (Table 2). Therefore while visual censuses generally do not
171 accurately capture the diversity of cryptobenthic species (Ackerman & Bellwood, 2000) this
172 limitation can be reduced. A high number of families were also recorded in comparison to
173 other areas in the region (Table 3), suggesting a high proportion of uncommon species were
174 observed. The impact of greater sampling effort on species records is evident in the results of
175 Gillibrand, Harries & Mara (2007). These authors examined a smaller area than the current
176 study and recorded 334 species by conducting visual observations across a twelve month
177 period. In contrast, Chabanet and Durville (2005) recorded more than 50 fewer species
178 around Juan de Nova island through 30 hours of visual surveying. This highlights that
179 sampling effort does not solely account for the high fish diversity recorded in the PTPB area.
180
181 The present study necessarily examined a large depth range (1-32 m) in order to capture the
182 range of habitats present in the area. As such a higher number of specialist species are
183 expected to have been identified due to the wider variety of physical habitats and biological
184 conditions (Bridge *et al.* 2016; Jankowski, Graham & Jones, 2015), Significant changes in
185 fish assemblages with depth have been observed in previous studies (e.g. Friedlander &
186 Parrish, 1998) and this is likely to be the same in the current study. This may also explain the
187 high number of families observed (Table 3).
188
189 Coastal upwelling in these seas drives high levels of primary productivity and in turn
190 supports abundant populations of large charismatic species (Rohner *et al.* 2014). It is also
191 likely to influence the reef fish diversity of the area, potentially boosting species richness in
192 two ways. Firstly, cooler waters allow the area to support species more common in temperate
193 waters (e.g. *Seriola lalandi*, *Oplegnathus robinsoni*). Anderson *et al.* (2015) proposed the

194 appearance of species characteristic of higher latitudes in their sub-tropical study site to
195 regions of cool water upwelling. In the current study water temperatures were recorded
196 between 18-29°C and the influx of cool water may also influence diversity in the sub-tropical
197 PTPB area. Secondly, upwelling supports high plankton abundance which can reduce
198 competitive exclusion in planktivorous species (Abrams, 1995). This would allow the co-
199 existence of more species on lower trophic levels, an effect which may then propagate up the
200 food chain to produce a higher diversity of secondary and tertiary consumers. The
201 relationship between primary productivity and diversity has been previously acknowledged
202 (Waide *et al*. 1999).
203
204 This study demonstrates the PTPB area's biological value beyond its resident megafauna
205 populations, and the future for a broader value of ecotourism to the region. Whilst the
206 relatively large sampling extent precludes comprehensive comparisons with other studies in
207 the southwestern Indian Ocean, the results show that the coral reef ecosystem of PTPB hosts
208 a reef fish community comparable to more isolated or protected areas. As such the current
209 study suggests that the reefs of PTPB are in good condition, despite the large associated
210 human population. Targeted research is needed to examine the current health status of these
211 reefs and to provide a baseline for monitoring impacts of future expansion of tourism and
212 fishing activities in the region.
213
214 Acknowledgements
215 I would like to thank Peri Peri dive centre and the Underwater Africa volunteer program for
216 their support in undertaking both SCUBA and snorkel surveys. Sincere thanks to Dr Tracy
217 Ainsworth, Dr William Leggat, Dr Hudson Pinheiro and an anonymous reviewer for

comments on and improvements to the manuscript. Finally, thank you to all those friends and strangers who provided photographic evidence of rare species.

References

Abrams PA. 1995. Monotonic or unimodal diversity-productivity gradients: what does competition theory predict? *Ecology*, 76: 2019-2027. DOI: 10.2307/1941677

Ackerman JL & Bellwood DR. 2000. Reef fish assemblages: a re-evaluation using enclosed rotenone stations. *Marine Ecology Progression Series*, 206: 227-237.

Allen GR & Werner TB. 2002. Coral reef fish assessment in the 'coral triangle' of southeastern Asia. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 65: 209-214. DOI: 10.1023/A:1020093012502

Anderson AB, Carvalho-Filho A, Morais RA, Nunes LT, Quimbayo JP & Floeter SR. 2015. Brazilian tropical fishes in their southern limit of distribution: checklist of Santa Catarina's rocky reef ichthyofauna, remarks and new records. *Check List*, 11: art1688. DOI: 10.15560/11.4.1688

Bellwood DR & Hughes, TP. 2001. Regional-scale assembly rules and biodiversity of coral reefs. *Science*, 292: 1532 – 1534.

Bridge TCL, Luiz OJ, Coleman RR, Kane CN & Kosaki RK. 2016. Ecological and morphological traits predict depth-generalist fishes on coral reefs. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 283: 20152332. DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2015.2332

Chabanet P & Durville P. 2005. Reef fish inventory of Juan de Nova's natural park (Western Indian Ocean). *Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science*, 4: 145-162. DOI: 10.4314/wiojms.v4i2.28484

Chabanet P, Tessier E, Durville P, Mulochau T & René F. 2002. Fish communities of the Geyser and Zélée coral banks (Western Indian Ocean). *Cybium*, 26: 11-26.

243 Cleland EE. 2011. Biodiversity and ecosystem stability. *Nature Education Knowledge*, 3: pp.
244 14.
245 Durville P, Chabanet P & Quod JP. 2003. Visual census of the reef fishes in the natural
246 reserve of the Glorieuses Islands (Western Indian Ocean). *Western Indian Ocean Journal of*
247 *Marine Science*, 2: 95-104.
248 Floros C, Schleyer M, Maggs JQ & Celliers, L. 2012. Baseline assessment of high-latitude
249 coral reef fish communities in southern Africa. *African Journal of Marine Science*, 34: 55-69.
250 DOI: 10.2989/1814232X.2012.673284
251 Friedlander AM & Parrish JD. 1998. Habitat characteristics affecting fish assemblages on a
252 Hawaiian coral reef. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 224: 1 – 30. DOI:
253 10.1016/S0022-0981(97)00164-0
254 Garpe KC & Öhman MC. 2003. Coral and fish distribution patterns in Mafia Island Marine
255 Park, Tanzania: fish-habitat interactions. *Hydrobiologia*, 498: 191-211. DOI:
256 10.1023/A:1026217201408
257 Gillibrand CJ, Harries AR & Mara E. 2007. Inventory and Spatial Assemblage Study of Reef
258 Fish in the Area of Andavadoaka, South-West Madagascar (Western Indian Ocean). *Western*
259 *Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science*, 6: 183-197. DOI: 10.14314/wiojms.v612.48239
260 Harmelin-Vivien ML. 1979. Ichtyofaune des récifs coralliens en France Outre-Mer. *ICRI*.
261 Doc. Secrétariat d'Etat à l'Outre-Mer et Ministère de l'Aménagement du Territoire et de
262 l'Environment. pp 136.
263 Hiatt WR & Strasberg DW. 1960. Ecological relationship of the fish fauna on coral reefs of
264 the Marshall Islands. *Ecological Monograph*, 30: 65-127
265 Hobson ES. 1974. Feeding relationships of teleostean fish on coral reefs in Kona, Hawaii.
266 *Fish Bulletin*, 72: 915-1031

267 Instituto Nacional de Estatística. 2007. Recenseamento Geral da População e Habitação,
268 Indicadores Socio-Demográficos: Província da Inhambane. *3º Censo Geral da População e*
269 *Habitação*: pp. 5.
270 Jankowski MW, Graham NAJ & Jones GP. 2015. Depth gradients in diversity, distribution
271 and habitat specialisation in coral reef fishes: implications for the depth-refuge hypothesis.
272 *Marine Ecology Progression Series*, 540: 203-215. DOI: 10.3354/meps11523
273 Komyakova V, Munday PL & Jones GP. 2013. Relative Importance of Coral Cover, Habitat
274 Complexity and Diversity in Determining the Structure of Reef Fish Communities. *PLoS*
275 *One*, 8: e83178. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0083178
276 Kulbicki M. 1988. Patterns in the trophic structure of fish populations across the SW lagoon
277 of New Caledonia. *Proceedings of the 6th International Coral Reef Symposium*, Townsville,
278 Australia (August 8-12), 2: 305-312.
279 Maggs JQ, Floros C, Pereira MAM. & Schleyer MH. 2010. Rapid Visual Assessment of Fish
280 Communities on Selected Reefs in the Bazaruto Archipelago. *Western Indian Ocean Journal*
281 *of Marine Science*, 9; 115-134.
282 Motta H, Pereira MAM, Gonçalves M, Ridgway T & Schleyer MH. 2002. Coral reef
283 monitoring in Mozambique (2000). *MICOA/CORDIO/ORI/WWF*. Maputo, Mozambique
284 Coral Reef Management Programme.
285 Mutimucuio M & Meyer D. 2011. Pro-poor employment and procurement: a tourism value
286 chain analysis of Inhambane peninsula, Mozambique. In: van der Duim R, Meyer D,
287 Saarinen J & Zellmer K (eds.). *New alliances for tourism, conservation and development in*
288 *Eastern and Southern Africa*. Eburon, Delft.
289 Myers RF. 1999. *Micronesian reef fishes*. Guam: Coral Graphics. 298pp.
290 Pereira MAM. 2000. Preliminary checklist of reef-associated fishes of Mozambique. *MICOA*,
291 Maputo, pp. 21.

292 Pierce SJ, Méndez-Jiménez A, Collins K, Rosero-Caicedo M & Monadjem A. 2010.
293 Developing a Code of Conduct for whale shark interactions in Mozambique. *Aquatic*
294 *Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, 20: 782-788. DOI: 10.1002/aqc.1149
295 Rohner CA, Pierce SJ, Marshall AD, Weeks SJ, Bennett MB & Richardson AJ. 2013. Trends
296 in sightings and environmental influences on a coastal aggregation of manta rays and whale
297 sharks. *Marine Ecology Progression Series*, 482: 153-168. DOI: 10.3354/meps10290
298 Rohner CA, Weeks SJ, Richardson AJ, Pierce SJ, Magno-Canto MM, Feldman GC, Cliff G
299 & Roberts MJ. 2014. Oceanographic influences on a global whale shark hotspot in southern
300 Mozambique. *PeerJ PrePrints*, 2:e661v1. DOI: 10.7287/peerj.preprints.661v1
301 Schleyer MH & Celliers L. 2005. The coral reefs of Bazaruto Island, Mozambique, with
302 recommendations for their management. *Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science*,
303 4: 227-236. DOI: 10.4314/wiojms.v4i2.28492
304 Tibiriçá Y, Birtles A, Valentine P & Miller DK. 2011. Diving Tourism in Mozambique: An
305 Opportunity at Risk? *Marine Environments*, 7: 141-151. DOI:
306 10.3727/154427311X13195453162732
307 United Nations & World Heritage Convention. 2014. Assessing marine world heritage from
308 an ecosystem perspective. *The Western Indian Ocean*, UN: 71-92 pp
309 Van der Elst RP & Everett BI. 2015. *Offshore fisheries of the Southwest Indian Ocean: their*
310 *status and the impact on vulnerable species*. Oceanographic Research Institute, Special
311 Publication, 10: 448pp.
312 Venables S, Winstanley G, Bowles L & Marshall AD. 2016. A giant opportunity: the
313 economic impact of manta rays on the Mozambican tourism industry – an incentive for
314 increased management and protection. *Tourism in Marine Environments*¸ 12: 51-68. DOI:
315 10.3727/154427316X693225

316 Waide RB, Willig MR, Steiner CF, Mittelbach G, Gough L, Dodson SI, Juday GP &
317 Parmenter R. 1999. The relationship between productivity and species richness. *Annual*
318 *Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 30: 257-300.
319 Watson M, Righton D, Austin T & Ormond R.1996. The effects of fishing on coral reef
320 abundance and diversity. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United*
321 *Kingdom*, 76: 29-233. DOI: 10.1017/S0025315400029179
322 Wickel J, Jamon A, Pinault M, Durville P & Chabanet P. 2014. Species composition and
323 structure of marine fish communities of Mayotte Island (south-western Indian Ocean).
324 *Cybium*, 38: 179-203. DOI: 10.1016/j.biocon.2013.12.029 0006-3207