Three-dimensional spatio-angular fluorescence microscopy with a polarized dual-view inverted selective-plane illumination microscope (pol-diSPIM)

1

2

3

4

5	Talon Chandler ^{1,2*†} , Min Guo ^{3,4*†} , Yijun Su ^{4,5,6} , Jiji Chen ⁵ ,
6	Yicong Wu ⁴ , Junyu Liu ³ , Atharva Agashe ⁷ , Robert S. Fischer ⁸ ,
7	Shalin B. Mehta ^{1,2,9} , Abhishek Kumar ⁹ , Tobias I. Baskin ^{10,11} ,
8	Valentin Jamouillé ^{8,12} , Huafeng Liu ³ , Vinay Swaminathan ^{13,14} ,
9	Amrinder Nain ^{7,15} , Rudolf Oldenbourg ⁹ , Patrick La Rivière ^{2,11} ,
10	Hari Shroff ^{$4,5,6,11$}
	¹ CZ Biohub SF, San Francisco, 04158, California, USA
11	² Department of Badiology University of Chicago, Chicago, 60637
12	Illinois USA
13	³ State Key Laboratory of Extreme Photonics and Instrumentation
14	College of Optical Science and Engineering. Zhajiang University
15	Hangzhou 310027 Zhajiang China
16	⁴ I aboratory of High Resolution Optical Imaging, National Institute of
17	Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering National Institute of
18	Bothesda 20892 Maryland USA
19	⁵ Advanced Imaging and Microscopy Resource, National Institutes of
20	Health Bethesda 20892 Maryland USA
21	⁶ Janelia Research Campus Howard Hughes Medical Institute Ashburn
22	20147 Virginia USA
24	⁷ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg,
25	24061, Virginia, USA.
26	⁸ Cell Biology and Physiology Center, National Heart, Lung, and Blood
27	Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, 20892, Maryland,
28	USA.
29	⁹ Bell Center, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, 02543,
30	Massachusetts, USA.

31	¹⁰ Biology Department, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, 01003,
32	Marvland, USA.
33	¹¹ Whitman Center, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, 02543,
34	Massachusetts, USA.
35	¹² Department of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, Simon Fraser
36	University, Burnaby, V5A 1S6, British Columbia, Canada.
37	¹³ Department of Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Lund, SE-221 00,
38	Scania, Sweden.
39	¹⁴ Wallenberg Centre for Molecular Medicine, Lund University, Lund,
40	SE-221 00, Scania, Sweden.
41	¹⁵ Department of Biomedical Engineering and Mechanics, Virginia Tech
42	Blacksburg, 24061, Virginia, USA.
43	*Corresponding author(s), E-mail(s); talon.chandler@czbiohub.org;
44	guom@ziu.edu.cn:
45	[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.
46	Abstract
47	Polarized fluorescence microscopy is a valuable tool for measuring molecular
48	orientations, but techniques for recovering three-dimensional orientations and
49	positions of nuorescent ensembles are limited, we report a polarized dual- view light sheet system for determining the three dimensional existations and
50	diffraction-limited positions of ensembles of fluorescent dipoles that label biolog
52	ical structures, and we share a set of visualization, histogram, and profiling tools

Keywords: light-sheet fluorescence microscopy, polarization, molecular orientation,
 inverse problems

2

for interpreting these positions and orientations. We model our samples, their

excitation, and their detection using coarse-grained representations we call orien-

tation distribution functions (ODFs). We apply ODFs to create physics-informed

models of image formation with spatio-angular point-spread and transfer func-

tions. We use theory and experiment to conclude that light-sheet tilting is a

necessary part of our design for recovering all three-dimensional orientations.

We use our system to extend known two-dimensional results to three dimen-

sions in FM1-43-labelled giant unilamellar vesicles, fast-scarlet-labelled cellulose

in xylem cells, and phalloidin-labelled actin in U2OS cells. Additionally, we

observe phalloidin-labelled actin in mouse fibroblasts grown on grids of labelled

nanowires and identify correlations between local actin alignment and global

cell-scale orientation, indicating cellular coordination across length scales.

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

67 1 Introduction

Measuring the orientation of fluorescent molecules can provide valuable insights into 68 architecture, order, and dynamics in biology and material science [1]. By tagging a 69 biological structure with a fluorescent reporter that rotates along with the structure of 70 interest, biologists can deduce biophysical dynamics by measuring the orientation of 71 the fluorescent molecule. A large fraction of fluorescent reporters absorb and emit light 72 via an electronic dipole moment, i.e. in a polarized anisotropic pattern, so biologists 73 can use optical microscopy to examine a fluorophore's excitation and emission patterns 74 to draw conclusions about the fluorescent reporter's orientation. 75

Many techniques make *ensemble measurements* of diffraction-limited regions. By 76 making multiple measurements of the same region under variably polarized illumina-77 tion and/or detection, then calculating each region's fluorescence anisotropy [2, 3], 78 researchers can draw conclusions about membrane labelling [4, 5], septin dynamics 79 [6-8], nuclear pore proteins [9], force orientations [10, 11], and liquid crystals [12, 13]. 80 Recent engineering efforts have improved the spatial resolution [14], signal-to-noise 81 ratio (SNR) [15], and out-of-plane resolution [16] of these ensemble measurements. 82 While some of these studies make assumptions about their samples to estimate three-83 dimensional orientations, to our knowledge none simultaneously measure orientation 84 and position in three dimensions. 85

More recently, a collection of single-molecule measurement techniques has enabled 86 researchers to measure more parameters, including three-dimensional position, orien-87 tation, and rotational dynamics, from a sparse set of emitters. These techniques have 88 been used to distinguish ordered and unordered biomolecular condensates [17], follow 89 DNA conformation changes under tension [18], and capture dynamics of amyloid fib-90 rils [19], myosin [20], membrane [21], and actin [22, 23]. Despite considerable success, 91 these single-molecule efforts face challenges beyond those faced by all fluorescence 92 polarization techniques, with tighter constraints on throughput, SNR, and choice of 93 emitters, constraining their wider adoption. 94

After surveying the field, we identified an unmet need for measurements that can be used to recover the three-dimensional orientation and position of fluorescent ensembles. We reasoned that a dual-view light-sheet system [24] should provide a excellent platform for measuring the orientation of fluorescent ensembles because of its two excitation and detection arms, enabling diverse illumination and detection polarizations alongside improved axial spatial resolution.

In our initial iteration, we added liquid crystal polarizers to both excitation arms of 101 an existing dual-view light sheet system and attempted to recover the predominant ori-102 entation of fluorophores from within each diffraction-limited volume [25]. We found it 103 challenging to merge a single-molecule description of the image formation process with 104 the pixel-wise fluorescence anisotropy methods that are common in ensemble measure-105 ments, and we were unable to resolve orientational ambiguities. Inspired by the success 106 of diffusion-tensor magnetic resonance imaging (dMRI) [26] and its high-angular res-107 olution extensions [27], we developed a coarse-grained formalism for spatio-angular 108 fluorescence microscopy [28-30] that we applied to our instrument. The formalism led 109 us to a set of critical engineering insights: 110

- unlike dMRI, fluorescence microscopes are *spatio-angularly coupled*, i.e. the orientation of molecules affects the spatial point-response function,
- in addition to the widely known spatial diffraction limit, fluorescence microscopes face angular diffraction limits set by the physics of dipolar excitation, dipolar emission, and the microscope's geometry, and
- our initial design had a *hole in its spatio-angular transfer function*, a null function, which caused the observed orientational ambiguities.

In this article we describe the key element of our formalism, the orientation dis-118 tribution function (ODF), and how we have used it to model our three-dimensional 119 spatio-angular fluorescence microscope. We use ODFs to formulate a forward model 120 that lets us identify spatio-angular holes in our designs. We introduce a solution, *light*-121 sheet tilting, and we demonstrate that it resolves the ambiguity with the same number 122 of measurements. Subsequently, we describe our observations of membranes, cell walls, 123 and actin in cells grown on a coverslip and on grids of nanowires. We close by inspect-124 ing spatio-angular correlations across length scales, and we discuss future directions 125 for this field. 126

127 2 Results

¹²⁸ 2.1 Orientation distribution functions (ODFs) are

coarse-grained models of fluorescent dipoles that label biological structures

Figure 1(a) depicts the class of fluorescent objects that we are trying to recover-131 extended objects containing ensembles of molecules that move and rotate in three 132 dimensions and whose spatial and orientational properties we wish to characterize 133 within diffraction-limited regions. Assuming that each molecule's excitation and emis-134 sion dipole moments are aligned—a reasonable approximation for many fluorophores 135 [31]—we can summarize each fluorophore with a single axis. We can further summarize 136 all of the molecules within a diffraction-limited region with an *object ODF*, a spher-137 ical function that we depict as a surface with a radius proportional to the number 138 of dipoles oriented along each direction. Fluorescent dipoles that label structures are 139 caught in angular potentials where they rotate during a measurement, contributing 140 to the width and angular-diffusive smoothness of the corresponding ODF. Note that 141 fluorescent dipoles are excited and emit symmetrically about their dipole axes which 142 means that (1) we can depict their dipole moments as axes instead of vectors, and (2) 143 their corresponding ODFs are always antipodally symmetric. 144

Object ODFs can describe a wide range of realistic 3D dipole distributions (Figure 146 1(b)). For example, dipoles that rotate freely in solution have an isotropic (spherical) 147 ODF, dipoles that lie flat in the plane of a membrane have a pancake-shaped ODF 148 with more tightly constrained dipoles having correspondingly flatter ODFs, dipoles 149 that are oriented normal to a membrane have a dumbbell-shaped ODF with more 150 tightly constrained dipoles having correspondingly sharper ODFs, and dipoles that lie 151 parallel to multiple axes within a diffraction-limited region have a multi-lobed ODF.

We have developed a *quasi-static* model of fluorescent ensembles, where angular diffusion during a measurement is included within each object ODF, and object ODFs do not change during a measurement. While this model is reasonably accurate for many polarized fluorescence experiments, it ignores effects from fluorescence lifetime, saturation, and spatial diffusion among others. For a complete account of the assumptions leading to our quasi-static model of fluorescent ensembles, we direct readers to **Supplement 5** and [30].

Our primary strategy for generating contrast between different object ODFs is 159 orientation-selective excitation (Figure 1(c)). Orientation-selective excitation uses 160 polarized light to excite a subset of an object ODF's molecules, creating a distribution 161 of excited molecules that we call an excited ODF. Linearly polarized illumination 162 excites an ensemble of dipole absorbers with an efficiency that is proportional to $\cos^2 \theta$, 163 where θ is the angle between the illumination polarization axis and the excitation 164 dipole moment of individual fluorophores in the distribution. Hence, the excited ODF 165 is the product of the object ODF and the excitation's $\cos^2\theta$ efficiency. When more 166 molecules are excited, more fluorescence can be emitted and collected, so the largest 167 signals will come from object ODFs that are parallel to the illumination polarization. 168 Light is polarized perpendicular to its direction of propagation, so we preferentially 169 excite emitters whose dipole moments are perpendicular to the optical axis of the 170 excitation objective. 171

Once we have an excited ODF, we can use orientation-selective detection to gen-172 erate more contrast (Figure 1(d)). Ensembles of excited fluorophores emit polarized 173 anisotropic patterns that report their underlying excited ODF. Many instruments use 174 polarization filters/splitters to probe these polarization patterns, but here we rely only 175 on the intensity anisotropy of the emission pattern—a $\sin^2 \phi$ intensity distribution 176 where ϕ is the angle between the emission dipole moment and the emission direction. 177 By detecting light with an objective that does not collect light from the entire half 178 space (numerical aperture < index of refraction), we preferentially detect emitters 179 whose dipole moments are perpendicular to the optical axis of the detection objective. 180 Therefore, we will measure the largest signals from excited ODFs that lie entirely in 181 the plane perpendicular to the detection objective's optical axis. 182

A single ODF can be modelled mathematically as a function on a sphere, where the 183 value of the function along a specific direction corresponds to the number of dipoles 184 along that direction. This means that we can write arbitrary ODFs as $f(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{\alpha})$, where 185 $\mathbf{\hat{s}}_{o}$ is a coordinate on a two-dimensional sphere \mathbb{S}^{2} . For example, dipoles in solution 186 (Figure 1(c)(ii)) can be represented by a constant-valued function $f^{(\text{solution})}(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_o) = C$, 187 where C is constant, and the corresponding excited ODF (Figure 1(c)(iii)) can 188 be represented by $f^{\text{(excited)}}(\mathbf{\hat{s}}_{a}) = C|\mathbf{\hat{s}}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{\hat{p}}|^{2} = C\cos^{2}\theta$, where $\mathbf{\hat{p}}$ is the illumination 189 polarization and θ is the angle between $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_o$ and $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$. 190

¹⁹¹ 2.2 Polarized dual-view light-sheet microscopy enables

selective excitation, selective detection, and reconstruction of fluorescent ensembles

¹⁹⁴ In this section we use ODFs as a tool to describe our imaging system, its con-¹⁹⁵ trast generation mechanisms, its limits, our reconstruction algorithms, and our ¹⁹⁶ visualizations.

Figure 2(a) summarizes our dual-view excitation and detection strategy. Our core instrumentation (Supplement 1.1) consists of an asymmetric diSPIM frame equipped with a pair of water immersion objectives, each capable of excitation and detection [32].

We use an excitation-path MEMS mirror (**Supplement 1.2**) to illuminate the sample with a light sheet from the 0.67 numerical aperture (NA) objective, detect the emitted light with the 1.1 NA objective, then scan the sample through the stationary light sheet to acquire an imaging volume. We repeat the acquisition with the objectives' roles swapped, illuminating with the 1.1 NA objective and detecting with the 0.67 NA objective.

We added a liquid crystal module to both excitation arms (**Supplement 1.2**), enabling our choice of arbitrary transverse polarization illumination. Instead of exploring all possible illumination polarizations, we restricted our possible choices to six linear polarization states, maximizing contrast while still enabling two-fold oversampling of the underlying signals (**Supplement 2.1**).

Our complete acquisition consists of a calibration procedure (Supplement 3.2) and the following data acquisition loops from fastest to slowest (Supplement 3.3): (xy) camera frame, (z) stage scan positions, (v) views, (p) illumination polarization, (c) colors, and (T) time points. Our fastest single-time point, single-color acquisition consists of six volumes (three illumination polarizations per view) acquired within 3.6 seconds.

After deskewing (Supplement 4.1) and registering (Supplement 4.2) the raw 218 data, we collect our irradiance measurements into a single function $g_{py}(\mathbf{r}_d)$, where p 219 is a polarization index, \mathbf{v} is a view index, and $\mathbf{r}_d \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is a three-dimensional detector 220 coordinate (Supplement 5.1). Next, we model the object that we are trying to 221 estimate, a spatial distribution of ODFs, as a function $f(\mathbf{r}_o, \mathbf{\hat{s}}_o)$, where $\mathbf{r}_o \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is a 222 three-dimensional object-space coordinate and $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_o \in \mathbb{S}^2$ is an orientation coordinate. 223 Finally, we model the relationship between our data and our object as a shift-invariant 224 integral transform 225

$$g_{p\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{r}_d) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{r}_o \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\mathbf{\hat{s}}_o \, h_{p\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{r}_d - \mathbf{r}_o, \mathbf{\hat{s}}_o) f(\mathbf{r}_o, \mathbf{\hat{s}}_o), \tag{1}$$

where $h_{pv}(\mathbf{r}_d - \mathbf{r}_o, \mathbf{\hat{s}}_o)$ is a spatio-angular point-response function (compare with **Supplement 5.8**, see **Supplement 5.1** for indices p and j). The key features of **Equation 1** are (1) linearity: doubling the number of fluorophore doubles the detected irradiance; (2) 3D spatial shift-invariance: a spatial shift of a fluorophore results in a spatial shift of its irradiance response; and (3) spatio-angular coupling: the spatial point-response function depends on the dipole orientation. In other words, $h_{pv}(\mathbf{r}_d - \mathbf{r}_o, \mathbf{\hat{s}}_o)$ cannot

be factored into a spatial part and an angular part. We assume that the thickness of the light sheet is approximately uniform over the field of view and that the detectionside point-response function is axially Gaussian over the width of the excitation light sheet, assumptions that we find to be true of our light sheets (further assumptions and details are provided in **Supplements 5.2–7**).

Our goal is to estimate the spatial distribution of ODFs, $f(\mathbf{r}_o, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_o)$, from the measured data, $g_{pv}(\mathbf{r}_d)$, but computing and inverting **Equation 1** is extremely computationally expensive. We reformulate **Equation 1** using *spatio-angular transfer functions* to simplify our computations and inversions with the additional benefit of improving our intuition about the imaging system's limits (**Supplement 6**). We apply *spatial and spherical Fourier transforms* to exploit the symmetries and bandlimits of **Equation 1** to rewrite it as

$$G_{p\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{\ell=0,2,4} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \mathsf{H}_{p\mathbf{v},\ell m}(\mathbf{v}) \mathsf{F}_{\ell m}(\mathbf{v}) \quad \text{for} \quad |\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\perp}| < 2\mathrm{NA}_{\mathbf{v}}/\lambda,$$
(2)

where $G_{pv}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^3}\{g_{pv}(\mathbf{r})\}$ is the *irradiance spectrum*, the 3D spatial Fourier 244 transform of the measured irradiance; $\mathsf{H}_{p\mathbf{v},\ell m}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2}\{h_{p\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{\hat{s}}_o)\}$ is the dipole 245 spatio-angular transfer function, the 3D spatial and spherical Fourier transform of 246 the spatio-angular point response function; $\mathsf{F}_{\ell m}(\mathfrak{v}) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \{ f(\mathfrak{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_o) \}$ is the sample's *dipole spatio-angular spectrum*; $\mathfrak{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is a three-dimensional spatial-frequency 247 248 coordinate; $\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\perp} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a two-dimensional transverse spatial-frequency coordinate for 249 each view; ℓ is the spherical harmonic band index, interpretable as the sharpness of an 250 angular component; m is the spherical harmonic intra-band index, interpretable as the 251 index over all orientation components at a specific angular sharpness ℓ ; NA_A = 1.1 252 and $NA_B = 0.67$; and λ is the detection wavelength. The key features of Equation 253 **2** are (1) spatial band limits: transverse spatial frequencies beyond $2NA/\lambda$ are not 254 detected; (2) angular discreteness: instead of the continuous integral over the angular 255 coordinate in Equation 1 the transfer function formulation uses a discrete sum over 256 spherical harmonic coefficients; and (3) angular band limits: angular frequencies from 257 the $\ell = 0, 2$, and 4 bands are the only terms transmitted. Figure 2(b) demonstrates 258 that an arbitrary ODF can be decomposed into a weighted sum of spherical harmon-259 ics, that a bandlimited version of an ODF is a smoother version of the original, and 260 that missing intra-band components can distort an ODF. 261

With an efficient forward model (**Equation 2**) in hand, we used simulations 262 (Figure 2(c)(i, ii)) to develop a Tikhonov-regularized least-squares reconstruction 263 algorithm (Figure 2(c)(iii, iv), Supplements 7.1 and 7.2). The spatio-angular 264 coupling of the point-response function implies that we need to solve a small inverse 265 problem for each spatial frequency—we cannot solve a small angular problem then 266 solve a separate spatial problem. Therefore, our core algorithm consists of (1) applying 267 a 3D spatial Fourier transform to the deskewed, registered, and calibrated volumes, 268 (2) collecting the Fourier coefficients from each polarization and view into a 6×1 269 vector, one vector for each spatial frequency, then (3) multiplying each vector by a 270 precomputed spatial-frequency-specific 15×6 matrix before (4) applying an inverse 271

²⁷² 3D Fourier transform and storing the result: a set of 15 spherical harmonic coefficients ²⁷³ for each spatial point (**Supplement 7.3 and Supplement Table 4**).

We found that directly visualizing the complete reconstruction, a 3D spatial distri-274 bution of ODFs (Figure 2(c)(iv)) provided the most information about the sample 275 but was visually overwhelming for most applications. We developed several tools for 276 reducing the visual complexity of the reconstructions (Supplements 7.4 and 7.5) 277 including peak-cylinder visualizations where the color and orientation of each cylinder 278 encodes the axis along which most dipoles are oriented (Figure 2(c)(v)), histogram 279 visualizations showing peak orientations in larger regions (Figure 2(c)(vii)), and 280 scalar metrics including density, proportional to the number of dipoles in each region 281 (Figures 2(c)(vi, viii)) and generalized fractional anisotropy (GFA) [33]. 282

283 2.3 Light-sheet tilting enables recovery of all 284 three-dimensional orientations

The angular band limit of our transfer function (Equation 2) deserves additional 285 interpretation. Selective excitation with linearly polarized light will generate excited 286 ODFs of the form $\cos^2\theta$ multiplied by the object ODF, which means that angu-287 lar components of degree two, the $\ell = 2$ spherical harmonics, from the object ODF 288 can be encoded into detected irradiances. Similarly, selective detection will generate 289 irradiance patterns of the form $C_1 + C_2 \sin^2 \phi$ multiplied by the excited ODF (the 290 constants C_1 and C_2 are due to the finite detection numerical aperture), meaning 291 that selective excitation can encode the angular components of degree zero and two, 292 the $\ell = 0$ and $\ell = 2$ spherical harmonics. When we combine selective excitation and 293 detection, the imaging system can encode the $\ell = 0, 2$ and 4 components of the object 294 ODF into the measured irradiance patterns. Similar to spatial structured illumination 295 microscopy (SIM), angularly structured (polarized) illumination aliases high angular 296 frequency components into the detection pass band. Additionally, only even ℓ terms 297 are transmitted—antipodally symmetric ODFs mean that ODFs consist of only even- ℓ 298 terms. 299

This argument led us to expect that we could recover all orientations from our sample by exploiting selective excitation and detection, using oversampled illumination polarizations if necessary. We found our intuition to be incorrect, finding that no number of illumination polarizations was enough to recover all orientations from our imaging system. A close inspection of our angular transfer function revealed that we did not properly consider *intra-band angular holes*.

Figure 3(a) shows all fifteen $\ell = 0, 2$ and 4 spherical harmonics along with the 306 four intra-band angular holes in our transfer function. Mathematically, the spherical 307 harmonic functions are grouped into $(2\ell+1)$ -dimensional bands that form rotationally 308 invariant subspaces of the spherical functions, so if a single member of a band is 309 missing we cannot expect rotationally invariant angular resolution. The $\ell = 2$ and 310 m = 1 spherical harmonic, shown in Figure 3(b), is a particularly consequential 311 angular hole because it is the single missing member of the lowest non-zero-order $\ell = 2$ 312 band, implying that there are some orientations that we cannot recover. We can use 313 an abstract argument to predict this null function by inspection: all multiples of this 314 spherical harmonic are invisible to our imaging system because excitation/detection 315

of positive-valued lobes is always cancelled by excitation/detection of negative-valued
 lobes.

We asked what a minimally modified version of our imaging system without an $\ell = 2$ angular hole would look like, and we used the abstract argument above to propose that *light-sheet tilting* (Figure 3(c), Supplement 2.2, Supplemental Figures S2 and S3), enabled by a MEMS mirror placed conjugate to the illumination pupil, would allow us to fill the angular hole in the transfer function while illuminating the same spatial region.

We need to make at least 6 tilting polarization-diverse measurements to recover components from all members of the $\ell = 0$ and 2 bands. We simulated transfer functions and optimized the condition number of our sampling schemes, searching through $\sim 2 \times 10^6$ possible sampling schemes to settle on the schemes depicted in Figures **3(d, e)(i). Supplements 2 and 8** provide more detail about our sampling choices.

Although we measure some components from the $\ell = 4$ band, we do not recover all of its components. Our imaging system can access all orientations by recovering components from all members of a non-zero band. Recovering the $\ell = 4$ band would enable better angular resolution, but it would not enable access to more orientations than the $\ell = 2$ band.

Figures 3(d, e) compare a peak-cylinder reconstruction of a GUV with and with-334 out light-sheet tilting. The GUV is labelled with FM1-43, a membrane-crossing dye 335 with a dipole transition moment oriented normal to the membrane [34], so we expect 336 a pin-cushion-like reconstruction. Figures 3(d)(ii, iv) show incorrect peak cylinder 337 orientations in areas marked with red arrows—peak cylinders lie flat on the surface of 338 the GUV when they should point radially outward. Figures 3(e)(ii, iv) show that 339 light-sheet tilting corrects the problem, with continuously radial peak cylinders across 340 the surface of the GUV, highlighted in regions with green arrows. All subsequent data 341 and reconstructions are performed with light-sheet tilting. 342

³⁴³ 2.4 pol-diSPIM measurements of fixed samples validate and extend our knowledge of oriented biological structures

Having demonstrated that light-sheet tilting enables recovery of all orientations in 345 GUVs, we proceeded to validate and apply our method to other three-dimensional 346 samples (Figure 4, Supplemental Movies M1-6) including GUV, xylem, and 347 actin samples. Figure 4(a) shows ODF, peaks, density, and radial profile views of the 348 FM1-43-labelled GUV from Figure 3. While the peak-cylinder visualization (Figure 349 4(a)(ii)) is the easiest-to-interpret reconstruction, the ODF reconstruction can reveal 350 the subtlest changes that our system can measure, e.g. two different ODFs can have 351 identical peak cylinders. The density reconstruction (Figure 4(a)(iii), Supplemen-352 tal Movies M1-2 gives a view that is familiar to fluorescence microscopists, with 353 brightness encoding the density. Finally, we measure radial profiles (Figure 4(a)(iv)) 354 of the density (Figure $4(\mathbf{b})(\mathbf{v})$) indicating that the fluorophores are most dense near 355 the GUV's surface with two-fold variation in intensity due to the non-uniform spatial 356 transfer function of our imaging system—although the transfer function is non-zero for 357 the second-order harmonics, it remains non-uniform. We also measure radial profiles 358 of the generalized fractional anisotropy (GFA) (Figure 4(a)(vi)) a scalar measure 359

> with GFA = 1 indicating a strongly anisotropic structure and GFA = 0 indicating 360 an isotropic structure. The GFA profiles show similar behavior for all orientations, 361 starting at ~ 0.6 near the GUV's center, dipping to ~ 0.25 , then reaching peaks of 362 ~ 0.75 at the GUV's surface before dropping again. The behavior near the peak can 363 be interpreted as the increase in oriented structures compared to the random orienta-364 tions nearby, but the high GFA value in the center of the GUV indicates the effects of 365 noise in low density regions. We consistently observed experiment-to-experiment vari-366 ation in the value of GFA and orientations in background regions, leading us to only 367 draw conclusions from GFA and peaks in regions with a density above a background 368 threshold. 369

> Figure 4(b) shows fast-scarlet labelled cellulose in a xylem cell (Supplemental 370 Movies M3-4), showing dipole orientations parallel to the long axis of the cellulose 371 fibers as expected from 2D studies [35]. We observe 3D orientations tracking the 372 cellulose fibers as they curve in space, spatially-disorganized orientation regions on 373 the basal surface near the cover slip, and the ability to distinguish cellulose fibers 374 that were indistinguishable on the basis of their merged density, but exhibited distinct 375 orientations (Figure 4(b)(v)). The disorganization on the basal side is consistent 376 with damage caused by air drying during sample preparation. The thinness of the 377 helices likely indicate a cell in the early stages of differentiation, which would make 378 these cells particularly susceptible to damage via air drying. 379

> Figure 4(c) shows Alexa Fluor 488 phalloidin-labelled actin in a U2OS cell (Supplemental Movies M5–6), showing dipole orientations parallel to the long axis of the actin filaments as expected [22]. We observe distinct actin filaments, some lying flat in the plane of the coverslip while others reach off the coverslip oriented nearly normal to its surface.

2.5 pol-diSPIM measurements of cells grown on nanowires show local-global alignment correlations

Having validated our system's ability to measure 3D orientations in actin, we used
our system to study actin orientations with respect to fixed landmarks by imaging phalloidin-labelled 3T3 mouse fibroblasts grown on nanowire arrays (Figures
5 and 6), a model system for studying cell migration.

Cells' immediate environment, the extracellular matrix (ECM), is fibrous, consist-391 ing of individual fibrils and bundled fibers ranging in diameter from a few hundred 392 nanometers to several micrometers [36-38], organized in a diverse range of fiber den-393 sities, pore sizes, and network architectures, including aligned [39] and crossed-fiber 394 arrangements [40–43]. The complexity of studying cell migration in 3D motivated stud-395 ies with simpler 1D substrates, which were found to replicate many of the features 396 of 3D matrices while differing from observations on conventional 2D culture systems 397 [44]. Further, in fibrous environments with large pore sizes, cells contact only a few 398 fibers, signifying that cells in vivo can be suspended [45-48] and that imaging cells 399 suspended on 1D and 2D wire arrays can provide biological insight. 400

Previously, we showed that cellular shapes can be tuned to the underlying fiber network, with actin stress fibers aligning along ECM-fibers in cells attached to single and multiple parallel fibers, and intersecting actin networks in cells attached to

a crosshatch network of fibers [49, 50]. In a subsequent study, the underlying actin
networks were found to regulate mitotic outcomes through actin retraction fibers connecting the rounded mitotic cell body to interphase adhesion sites [51]. In both studies,
while the patterning of actin networks matched the arrangement of underlying ECM
networks, it was unclear how far the effect of adhesions on fibers was extended to
shape the actin networks.

Here we used pol-diSPIM imaging to investigate long-range adhesion effects in 3D. We deposited suspended fibers ~ 200 nm in diameter, imaged phalloidin-labelled 3T3 mouse fibroblasts grown on these nanowire arrays, and measured the local orientation of actin networks. We imaged in two channels, a 488 nm channel for wire annotation, and a 561 nm channel for orientation measurements (**Supplement 4.3**).

Figure 5(a) shows a density reconstruction of a single cell with ROIs highlighted 415 for closer inspection. The peak cylinders (**Figure 5(b)**) indicate that actin filaments 416 and the dipoles that label them coalign with their nearest nanowires, and the his-417 tograms (Figure 5(c)) show distinct in-plane and out-of-plane filament populations 418 from within each ROI, including out-of-plane populations that would be invisible 419 to traditional anisotropy measurements. Additionally, we observe more disorder and 420 larger out-of-plane populations for ROIs near the center of the cell (Figure 5(b-c)(ii, 421 iv)) than regions near nanowires (Figure 5(b-c)(i), (iii), (v)). 422

To evaluate dipole orientations of F-actin with respect to their nearest wires, we developed a pair of scalar metrics, parallelism and radiality (**Supplement 7.6**), and computed maps of these metrics in regions with a total number of counts across polarizations and views greater than 5000, a threshold that rejects background regions.

We used these metrics to further investigate nine FOVs, with three FOVs for 427 each of three different nanowire arrangements: single, paired, and crossed nanowires 428 (Figure 6(a)). Across each FOV we calculated parallelism and radiality for voxels 429 $< 5 \ \mu m$ from their nearest wire, and we compared these metrics between FOVs and 430 wire arrangements (Figure 6(b)). We found the FOVs with the same wire arrange-431 ment clustered at distinct parallelism and radiality values and showed no significant 432 differences (colors in **Figure 6(c)**), while FOVs with different wire arrangement 433 showed significantly different values for parallelism and radiality. The crossed wire 434 arrangement showed significantly lower parallelism (0.70 \pm 0.24, mean \pm standard 435 deviation across voxels) than single (0.88 ± 0.11) and paired (0.89 ± 0.11) arrange-436 ments, indicating local-scale disorder of actin filaments created by the presence of 437 wires in multiple orientations. Consistent with this observation, cells on crossed wires 438 showed significantly increased radiality (0.51 ± 0.28) over cells on single wires (0.17)439 \pm 0.13). Figure 5(v) shows an ROI consistent with these broader conclusions, where 440 peaks show increased disorder near a wire crossing. 441

Further, we calculated each FOV's aspect ratio, a global measure of the effect of nanowire topology on cell polarization, and found that the aspect ratio was positively and negatively correlated with parallelism (r = 0.66) and radiality (r = -0.78), respectively. Both of these correlations are interpretable as evidence for local-global coordination in the architecture of these cells as they grow on their extra-cellular matrix substrates.

Taken together, our measurements demonstrate, for the first time to our knowledge, that the effect of parallel ECM networks in orienting actin is felt over cell-scale distances, and topographical intersections diminish the orientation. Our findings are consistent with previous studies of 2D actin filament orientation and cell shape [52], and we are excited by our system's ability to extend these findings to 3D.

453 **3** Discussion

This work develops a theoretical and experimental bridge between 2D anisotropy 454 measurements and 3D single-molecule orientation measurements. ODFs and the 455 spatio-angular transfer function formalism helped us identify the limits of our imaging 456 system, and we used theory to improve our design with light-sheet tilting. A similar 457 light-sheet tilting scheme was used to reduce absorptive-streaking artefacts [53], but 458 here we use tilting to increase the angular diversity of polarized illumination, allow-459 ing us to recover all orientations to extend and draw new conclusions about oriented 460 fluorescent samples in 3D. 461

Spatio-angular transfer functions point us towards further improvements. Designs that use polarization splitting to make simultaneous selective-detection measurements will give access to the $\ell = 4$ band without trading off speed, and designs that have a more uniform angular response than our system will improve on our ability to draw conclusions from samples in all orientations. Polarized two-photon excitation and emission [54] provide $\cos^4 \theta$ -behavior, sharper than the single-photon $\cos^2 \theta$ -behavior considered here, leading to potential for accessing the $\ell = 6$ and 8 bands.

Imaging speed limits our ability to draw conclusions from living cells. We measure 469 orientation signals via serial polarized illumination measurements taken over a few 470 seconds, so translational motion on this timescale is indistinguishable from an oriented 471 sample. Although we made many measurements of living cells with our system, we 472 decided to withhold these data from publication as the possibility of spatial motion 473 repeatedly called our conclusions into question. Replacing our polarized illumination 474 strategy with a detection-side polarization splitting strategy provides one path for 475 speed improvement. 476

We were constrained by a limited palette of fluorescent reporters that rigidly attach to biological structures. Although we are encouraged by recent developments of genetically encoded actin orientation probes [55], we see room for development of bright oriented probes across the spectrum in more biological structures. We see probes as the major limitation in this field, not instrumentation.

Here we made steady-state measurements, considering only quasi-static fluorescent reporters. Time-resolved orientation measurements provide a large set of possibilities for this field [56, 57], and combining time-resolved measurements with reversibly switchable proteins allows measurement of a wide range of reorientation timescales [58], giving access to reorientation timescales of large protein complexes. We are excited for future developments that probe long-timescale reorientation of fluorophores trapped in 3D angular potentials.

Fluorescence anisotropy can be used for homoFRET measurements of molecular binding [59], and an early non-tilting variant of the system described here was used to make such measurements [60]. We hope that future efforts will encode additional
physical parameters, such as force and voltage, into the orientation and rotational
mobility of fluorescent probes with readouts enabled by systems like the one described
here.

495 4 Online Methods

This section describes all sample-preparation protocols. All imaging and analysis details can be found in the supplementary materials.

498 4.1 Bead samples

⁴⁹⁹ Glass coverslips (24 mm × 60 mm, #1.5, Electron Microscopy Sciences, 63793-01) ⁵⁰⁰ were cleaned with clean water and coated with 0.1% poly(l-lysine) (Sigma-Aldrich, ⁵⁰¹ P8920) for 10 minutes. 100 nm diameter yellow-green beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific, ⁵⁰² F8803) were diluted ~ 10⁵-fold, and 20 μ L added to the coverslip. After 10 minutes, ⁵⁰³ the coverslip was washed three times with clean water before imaging. Beads were ⁵⁰⁴ used to obtain measured estimates of the system PSF, which in turn were used to ⁵⁰⁵ guide the generation of theoretical PSFs.

506 4.2 Fluorescence slides

For system calibration, a fluorescent plastic slide (Chroma, 92001) was carefully cut into small pieces ($\sim 4 \times 5 \text{ mm}^2$)) and glued to a glass coverslip (24 mm \times 60 mm, #1.5, Electron Microscopy Sciences, 63793-01). Then the coverslip was mounted to a chamber (Applied Scientific Instrumentation, I-3078-2460) and imaged with diSPIM objectives to measure fluorescence changes as we varied the excitation modulation.

512 4.3 Giant unilamellar vesicles samples

We prepared giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) via electroformation [61, 62]. We coated 513 a coverslip with 20 μ L cBSA, waited for ~ 15 minutes at room temperature for it to 514 dry into a thin layer, then washed three times with distilled water. We mixed 2 μ L 515 of FM1-43 (ThermoFisher, a membrane-crossing dye with a dipole transition moment 516 oriented normal to the membrane [63]) and 40 μ L of GUV solution in a 1.5 mL tube, 517 transferred the solution to the cBSA coated coverslip, and waited for ~ 20 minutes 518 for GUVs to settle. Finally, we placed the coverslip in the imaging chamber, filled 519 it with sucrose solution, and waited ~ 12 hours, covered with a thin film to reduce 520 evaporation, before imaging. 521

522 4.4 Fixed plant xylem samples

⁵²³ Xylem cells were prepared by inducing tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) BY-2 cells to ⁵²⁴ differentiate into tracheary elements, as described by Yamaguchi et al. [64]. Briefly, ⁵²⁵ cells were cultured with standard methods for BY-2 [65]. A stable cell line was gen-⁵²⁶ erated in which a transcription factor (VND7), driven by an inducible promoter ⁵²⁷ (dexamethasone), had been integrated into the genome. Four days after adding 1 μ M $_{528}$ dexame thasone to the culture, cells were collected, stained for 30 minutes with 0.02%

529 fast scarlet in growth medium, rinsed in growth medium, adhered to poly-L-lysine

coated coverslips, and air dried. Fast scarlet binds cellulose in an oriented manner [66].

531 4.5 Fixed U2OS cells with labelled actin

⁵³² U2OS cells (American Type Culture Collection, HTB-96) were cultured in DMEM ⁵³³ media (Lonza, 12-604F) supplemented with 10% FBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, ⁵³⁴ A4766801) at 37°C and 5% CO₂ on coverslips. Cells were fixed by 2% paraformalde-⁵³⁵ hyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 15711) in 1× PBS at room temperature for 15 ⁵³⁶ minutes and rinsed three times with 1× PBS. Cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor ⁵³⁷ 488 phalloidin (Invitrogen, A12379, 1:50 dilution in 1× PBS) for 1 hour at room ⁵³⁸ temperature and rinsed three times with 1× PBS before imaging.

539 4.6 Fiber network manufacturing

Polystyrene fibers were manufactured using the non-electrospinning spinneret-based 540 tunable engineered parameters (STEP) platform as previously reported [67, 68]. 541 Polystyrene of two different molecular weights (Agilent, $M_w = 15 \times 10^6$ g/mol and 542 Polystyrene Standard, $M_w = 2.5 \times 10^6$ g/mol) was dissolved in xylene (Carolina Chem-543 icals) to form polymeric solutions at 5% (w/w). Additionally, 20 μ L of 1 mg/mL of 544 BDP FL Maleimide dye (Lumiprobe) was added to the polymer solutions to get flu-545 orescent fibers. Fibers were spun on hollow 5×5 mm metal scaffolds. The first layer 546 of fibers deposited were large diameter fibers ~ 2 μ m ($M_w = 15 \times 10^6$ g/mol) fol-547 lowed by an orthogonal layer of 200 nm ($M_w = 2.5 \times 10^6$ g/mol) fibers with spacing 548 varying from 7 to 20 μ m to achieve a variety of cell shapes (elongated on single fibers 549 and parallel-shaped cells on two or more fibers) [51, 69, 70]. Additionally, crosshatch 550 networks of 200 nm fiber diameters were also prepared with spacing varying from 7 551 to 20 μ m [50, 71] to achieve polygonal and kite-shaped cells on multiple fibers. The 552 fiber networks were fused at junctions using a custom-built chemical fusing chamber. 553

554 4.7 Cell culture and seeding on fiber networks

3T3 mouse fibroblasts (ATCC) were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium 555 (Corning) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Corning) in T25 flasks (Thermo 556 Scientific). The cells were grown in an incubator kept at 37° C and 5% CO₂. The 557 nanofiber network scaffolds were tacked on a cover glass (VWR, $24 \times 60 \text{ mm No. } 1.5$) 558 with the help of high-vacuum grease (Dow Corning). Next, the scaffolds were steril-559 ized with 70% ethanol for 10 minutes followed by Phosphate Buffer Solution (PBS) 560 washes (two times). Next, the scaffold was coated with 4 μ g/mL bovine fibronectin 561 (Sigma Aldrich) in PBS for at least one hour to promote cell adhesion. Cells were 562 then seeded onto the scaffolds with a seeding density of 300,000 cells/mL and were 563 allowed to spread onto the fibers for a few hours followed by the addition of 3 mL of 564 media. Cells were allowed to further spread for an additional 24 hours before fixation. 565 566

567 4.8 Immunostaining cells on fiber networks

Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS (Santa Cruz Chemicals) for 15 min-568 utes. The cells were then washed with PBS two times and then permeabilized with 569 0.1% Triton X-100 solution. Following two PBS washes the cells were blocked with 5%570 goat serum (Fisher Scientific) for 30 minutes. Next, conjugated antibody Alexa Fluor 571 568 Phalloidin (1:100, Thermo Fisher) diluted in antibody dilution buffer was added 572 to the cells. After one hour, the cells were washed with PBS $(3\times, 5 \text{ minutes each})$. 573 The sample was then covered in 2 mL of Live Cell Imaging Media (Thermo Fisher) 574 for imaging. 575

Acknowledgements. We thank Applied Scientific Instrumentation (ASI) for sharing drawings and assisting with hardware.

578 Supplementary information. This manuscript is accompanied by a supplemen-579 tary document with 9 text supplements, 4 tables, 13 figures, and 6 movies.

This research was supported by the intramural research programs of the Funding. 580 National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, National Institutes of 581 Health. We thank the Marine Biological Laboratories (MBL), for providing a meet-582 ing and brainstorming platform. T. C. was supported by a University of Chicago 583 Biological Sciences Division Graduate Fellowship and an O'Brien-Hasten Research 584 Collaboration Award. H. S. and P. L. R. acknowledge the Whitman Fellows program 585 at MBL for providing funding and space for discussions valuable to this work. P. L. 586 R. acknowledges funding from the NIH under grant no. R01EB026300. This research 587 is funded in part by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation. This work was sup-588 ported by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (HHMI) and the Janelia Visiting 589 Scientists Program. This article is subject to HHMI's Open Access to Publications 590 policy. HHMI lab heads have previously granted a nonexclusive CC BY 4.0 license to 591 the public and a sublicensable license to HHMI in their research articles. Pursuant to 592 those licenses, the author-accepted manuscript of this article can be made freely avail-593 able under a CC BY 4.0 license immediately upon publication. A. K. would like to 594 acknowledge funding from the CZI through Imaging Scientist Program and the Arnold 595 and Mabel Beckman Foundation for the lightsheet award. Work in the Baskin lab-596 oratory on cellulose is supported by the Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, 597 and Biosciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences of the U.S. Department of Energy 598 under grant no. DE-FG-03ER15421. R. O. gratefully acknowledges funding from the 599 NIH/NIGMS under grant no. R35GM131843 and from the Marine Biological Labora-600 tory through the Inoué Endowment Fund. This material is based upon work supported 601 by the National Science Foundation under grant no. 2119949 and grant no. 2107332. 602

603 Conflict of interest/Competing interests. H. S., A. K., S. M., P. L. R., R. O.,

 604 Y. W., and T. C. hold US Patent # 11428632.

605 Ethics approval and consent to participate. Not applicable.

606 **Consent for publication.** Not applicable.

⁶⁰⁷ **Data availability.** Registered data, analysis scripts, reconstructions, and visual-⁶⁰⁸ izations of all samples described here are available on the BioImage Archive at ⁶⁰⁹ https://www.ebi.ac.uk/biostudies/bioimages/studies/S-BIAD1055.

610 Materials availability. Not applicable.

Code availability. Pre-processing analysis software is available at https:
//github.com/eguomin/microImageLib. Reconstruction and visualization software is
available at https://github.com/talonchandler/polaris. Several visuals were enabled
by Dipy [72].

615

Author contributions. T. C. developed theory, analysis, and visualization 616 tools; suggested theory-motivated experimental improvements; performed all post-617 registration analysis; and drafted the paper, figures, and supplements. M. G. built 618 the microscope, aligned and calibrated its optical system, modified its hardware and 619 software to support light-sheet tilting, acquired all data, and performed all data pre-620 processing. Y. S., J. C., and V. J. prepared cellular samples. J. L. and H. L. contributed 621 to analysis pipelines. Y. W. contributed to preprocessing software and an early pro-622 totype system. A. A. prepared and interpreted nanowire samples. S. B. M. and A. K. 623 contributed to an early prototype of the microscope. T. B. prepared and interpreted 624 xylem samples. R. F., A. N., and V. S. contributed biological context, guided our 625 nanowire investigations, and interpreted nanowire and live-cell samples. R. O. prepared 626 GUV samples and provided experimental, theoretical, and interpretation guidance. 627 P. L. R. and H. S. oversaw the work, contributing to theory, experiment, analysis, 628 visualization, interpretation, and writing. All coauthors contributed to revisions. 629

630 References

- [1] Brasselet, S., Alonso, M.A.: Polarization microscopy: from ensemble structural
 imaging to single-molecule 3D orientation and localization microscopy. Optica
 10(11), 1486–1510 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1364/OPTICA.502119
- [2] Lakowicz, J.R.: Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy, 3rd edn. Springer, New
 York (2006)
- [3] Jameson, D.M., Ross, J.A.: Fluorescence polarization/anisotropy in diagnostics
 and imaging. Chemical Reviews 110(5), 2685–2708 (2010) https://doi.org/10.
 1021/cr900267p
- [4] Axelrod, D.: Carbocyanine dye orientation in red cell membrane studied by
 microscopic fluorescence polarization. Biophysical Journal 26(3), 557–573 (1979)
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(79)85271-6
- [5] Ferrand, P., Gasecka, P., Kress, A., Wang, X., Bioud, F.-Z., Duboisset, J.,
 Brasselet, S.: Ultimate use of two-photon fluorescence microscopy to map orientational behavior of fluorophores. Biophysical Journal 106(11), 2330–2339 (2014)
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2014.04.011

- [6] Vrabioiu, A.M., Mitchison, T.J.: Structural insights into yeast septin organization
 from polarized fluorescence microscopy. Nature 443(7110), 466–469 (2006) https:
 //doi.org/10.1038/nature05109
- [7] DeMay, B.S., Noda, N., Gladfelter, A.S., Oldenbourg, R.: Rapid and quantitative
 imaging of excitation polarized fluorescence reveals ordered septin dynamics in
 live yeast. Biophysical Journal 101(4), 985–994 (2011) https://doi.org/10.1016/
 j.bpj.2011.07.008
- [8] McQuilken, M., Jentzsch, M.S., Verma, A., Mehta, S.B., Oldenbourg, R., Glad felter, A.S.: Analysis of septin reorganization at cytokinesis using polarized
 fluorescence microscopy. Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology 5(42)
 (2017) https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2017.00042
- [9] Kampmann, M., Atkinson, C.E., Mattheyses, A.L., Simon, S.M.: Mapping the
 orientation of nuclear pore proteins in living cells with polarized fluorescence
 microscopy. Nature Structural and Molecular Biology 18(6), 643–649 (2011)
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2056
- [10] Brockman, J.M., Blanchard, A.T., Pui-Yan Ma, V., Derricotte, W.D., Zhang, Y.,
 Fay, M.E., Lam, W.A., Evangelista, F.A., Mattheyses, A.L., Salaita, K.: Mapping
 the 3D orientation of piconewton integrin traction forces. Nature Methods 15,
 115–118 (2017) https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4536
- [11] Blanchard, A.T., Brockman, J., Salaita, K., Mattheyses, A.L.: Variable incidence
 angle linear dichroism (VALiD): A technique for unique 3D orientation mea surement of fluorescent ensembles. Optics Express 28(7), 10039–10061 (2020)
 https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.381676
- [12] Smalyukh, I.I., Shiyanovskii, S.V., Lavrentovich, O.D.: Three-dimensional imag ing of orientational order by fluorescence confocal polarizing microscopy. Chemical
 Physics Letters 336(1), 88–96 (2001) https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(00)
 01471-8
- ⁶⁷³ [13] Ohzono, T., Katoh, K., Fukuda, J.: Fluorescence microscopy reveals molecular ⁶⁷⁴ localisation at line defects in nematic liquid crystals. Scientific Reports 6(36477), ⁶⁷⁵ 1–9 (2016) https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36477
- [14] Zhanghao, K., Chen, X., Liu, W., Li, M., Liu, Y., Wang, Y., Luo, S., Wang,
 X., Shan, C., Xie, H., Gao, J., Chen, X., Jin, D., Li, X., Zhang, Y., Dai, Q.,
 Xi, P.: Super-resolution imaging of fluorescent dipoles via polarized structured
 illumination microscopy. Nature Communications 10(4694), 1–10 (2019) https:
 //doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12681-w
- [15] Guan, M., Wang, M., Zhanghao, K., Zhang, X., Li, M., Liu, W., Niu, J., Yang,
 X., Chen, L., Jing, Z., Zhang, M.Q., Jin, D., Xi, P., Gao, J.: Polarization modulation with optical lock-in detection reveals universal fluorescence anisotropy
 - 17

- of subcellular structures in live cells. Light: Science & Applications 11(4), 1–13
 (2022) https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-021-00689-1
- [16] Zhong, S., Qiao, L., Ge, X., Xu, X., Fu, Y., Gao, S., Zhanghao, K., Hao, H.,
 Wang, W., Li, M., Xi, P.: Three-dimensional dipole orientation mapping with
 high temporal-spatial resolution using polarization modulation. bioRxiv (2023)
 https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.12.571225
- [17] Wu, T., King, M.R., Farag, M., Pappu, R.V., Lew, M.D.: Mapping inhomogeneous network structures within biomolecular condensate using single-molecule imaging and tracking of fluorogenic probes. Biophysical Journal 122(3), 296 (2023) https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2022.11.1673
- [18] Backer, A.S., Biebricher, A.S., King, G.A., Wuite, G.J.L., Heller, I., Peterman,
 E.J.G.: Single-molecule polarization microscopy of DNA intercalators sheds light
 on the structure of S-DNA. Science Advances 5(3) (2019) https://doi.org/10.
 1126/sciadv.aav1083
- [19] Ding, T., Wu, T., Mazidi, H., Mazidi, H., Zhang, O., Lew, M.D.: Single molecule orientation localization microscopy for resolving structural hetero geneities between amyloid fibrils. Optica 7(6), 602–607 (2020) https://doi.org/
 10.1364/OPTICA.388157
- [20] Beausang, J.F., Shroder, D.Y., Nelson, P.C., Goldman, Y.E.: Tilting and wobble
 of myosin V by high-speed single-molecule polarized fluorescence microscopy. Bio physical Journal 104(6), 1263–1273 (2013) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2013.
 01.057
- [21] Zhang, O., Guo, Z., He, Y., Wu, T., Vahey, M.D., Lew, M.D.: Six-dimensional single-molecule imaging with isotropic resolution using a multi-view reflector microscope. Nature Photonics 17(2), 179–186 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41566-022-01116-6
- [22] Mehta, S.B., McQuilken, M., La Rivière, P.J., Occhipinti, P., Verma, A., Oldenbourg, R., Gladfelter, A.S., Tani, T.: Dissection of molecular assembly dynamics by tracking orientation and position of single molecules in live cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 113(42), 6352–6361 (2016) https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1607674113
- [23] Swaminathan, V., Kalappurakkal, J.M., Mehta, S.B., Nordenfelt, P., Moore, T.I., Koga, N., Baker, D.A., Oldenbourg, R., Tani, T., Mayor, S., Springer, T.A., Waterman, C.M.: Actin retrograde flow actively aligns and orients ligand-engaged integrins in focal adhesions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 114(40), 10648–10653 (2017) https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.1701136114
- ⁷²¹ [24] Wu, Y., Wawrzusin, P., Senseney, J., Fischer, R.S., Christensen, R., Santella,

722	A., York, A.G., Winter, P.W., Waterman, C.M., Bao, Z., Colón-Ramos, D.A.,
723	McAuliffe, M., Shroff, H.: Spatially isotropic four-dimensional imaging with dual-
724	view plane illumination microscopy. Nature Biotechnology 31(11), 1032–1038
725	(2013) https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2713

- [25] Chandler, T., Mehta, S., Shroff, H., Oldenbourg, R., La Rivière, P.J.: Single-fluorophore orientation determination with multiview polarized illumination:
 Modeling and microscope design. Optics Express 25(25), 31309–31325 (2017)
 https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.25.031309
- [26] Basser, P.J., Mattiello, J., Le Bihan, D.: MR diffusion tensor spectroscopy and imaging. Biophysical Journal 66(1), 259–267 (1994) https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0006-3495(94)80775-1
- [27] Tuch, D.S.: Q-ball imaging. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 52(6), 1358–1372
 (2004) https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.20279
- [28] Chandler, T., Shroff, H., Oldenbourg, R., La Rivière, P.J.: Spatio-angular fluorescence microscopy I. Basic theory. Journal of the Optical Society of America A **36**(8), 1334–1345 (2019) https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSAA.36.001334
- [29] Chandler, T., Shroff, H., Oldenbourg, R., La Rivière, P.J.: Spatio-angular fluo rescence microscopy II. Paraxial 4f imaging. Journal of the Optical Society of
 America A 36(8), 1346–1360 (2019) https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSAA.36.001346
- [30] Chandler, T., Shroff, H., Oldenbourg, R., La Rivière, P.J.: Spatio-angular fluorescence microscopy III. Constrained angular diffusion, polarized excitation, and high-NA imaging. Journal of the Optical Society of America A 37(9), 1465–1479 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSAA.389217
- [31] Myšková, J., Rybakova, O., Brynda, J., Khoroshyy, P., Bondar, A., Lazar, J.:
 Directionality of light absorption and emission in representative fluorescent proteins. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 117(51), 32395–32401 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2017379117
- ⁷⁴⁹ [32] Wu, Y., Kumar, A., Smith, C., Ardiel, E., Chandris, P., Christensen, R., Rey⁷⁵⁰ Suarez, I., Guo, M., Vishwasrao, H.D., Chen, J., Tang, J., Upadhyaya, A.,
 ⁷⁵¹ La Rivière, P.J., Shroff, H.: Reflective imaging improves spatiotemporal resolu⁷⁵² tion and collection efficiency in light sheet microscopy. Nature Communications
 ⁷⁵³ 8(1), 1452 (2017) https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01250-8
- [33] Cheng, J., Basser, P.J.: Director field analysis (DFA): Exploring local white matter geometric structure in diffusion MRI. Medical Image Analysis 43, 112–128 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2017.10.003
- ⁷⁵⁷ [34] Abrahamsson, S., McQuilken, M., Mehta, S.B., Verma, A., Larsch, J., Ilic, R.,
 ⁷⁵⁸ Heintzmann, R., Bargmann, C.I., Gladfelter, A.S., Oldenbourg, R.: Multifocus
 - 19

polarization microscope (MF-Polscope) for 3D polarization imaging of up to 25
 focal planes simultaneously. Optics Express 23(6), 7734–7754 (2015) https://doi.

- ⁷⁶¹ org/10.1364/OE.23.007734
- [35] Thomas, J., Idris, N.A., Collings, D.A.: Pontamine fast scarlet 4B bifluorescence and measurements of cellulose microfibril angles. Journal of Microscopy 268(1), 13–27 (2017) https://doi.org/10.1111/jmi.12582
- [36] Ushiki, T.: Collagen fibers, reticular fibers and elastic fibers. A comprehen sive understanding from a morphological viewpoint. Archives of Histology and
 Cytology 65(2), 109–126 (2002) https://doi.org/10.1679/aohc.65.109
- [37] Fernández, M., Keyriläinen, J., Serimaa, R., Torkkeli, M., Karjalainen-Lindsberg,
 M.-L., Tenhunen, M., Thomlinson, W., Urban, V., Suortti, P.: Small-angle x ray scattering studies of human breast tissue samples. Physics in Medicine and
 Biology. 47(4), 577 (2002) https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/47/4/303
- [38] Doyle, A.D., Carvajal, N., Jin, A., Matsumoto, K., Yamada, K.M.: Local
 3D matrix microenvironment regulates cell migration through spatiotemporal
 dynamics of contractility-dependent adhesions. Nature Communications 6(8720),
 1–15 (2015) https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9720
- [39] Conklin, M.W., Eickhoff, J.C., Riching, K.M., Pehlke, C.A., Eliceiri, K.W.,
 Provenzano, P.P., Friedl, A., Keely, P.J.: Aligned collagen is a prognostic signature for survival in human breast carcinoma. The American Journal of Pathology. **178**(3), 1221–1232 (2011) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2010.11.076
- [40] Weigelin, B., Bakker, G.-J., Friedl, P.: Intravital third harmonic generation microscopy of collective melanoma cell invasion: Principles of interface guidance and microvesicle dynamics. Intravital 1(1), 32–43 (2012) https://doi.org/10.4161/
 intv.21223
- [41] Clark, A.G., Vignjevic, D.M.: Modes of cancer cell invasion and the role of the
 microenvironment. Current Opinion in Cell Biology 36, 13–22 (2015) https://
 doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2015.06.004
- [42] Glentis, A., Oertle, P., Mariani, P., Chikina, A., El Marjou, F., Attieh, Y.,
 Zaccarini, F., Lae, M., Loew, D., Dingli, F., Sirven, P., Schoumacher, M.,
 Gurchenkov, B.G., Plodinec, M., Vignjevic, D.M.: Cancer-associated fibroblasts
 induce metalloprotease-independent cancer cell invasion of the basement membrane. Nature Communications 8(924), 1–13 (2017) https://doi.org/10.1038/
 s41467-017-00985-8
- [43] Taylor, E.N., Hoffman, M.P., Aninwene 2nd, G.E., Gilbert, R.J.: Patterns of intersecting fiber arrays revealed in whole muscle with generalized Q-space imaging. Biophysical Journal 108(11), 2740–2749 (2015) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.
 bpj.2015.03.061

- [44] Doyle, A.D., Wang, F.W., Matsumoto, K., Yamada, K.M.: One-dimensional topography underlies three-dimensional fibrillar cell migration. Journal of Cell Biology 184(4), 481–490 (2009) https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200810041
- [45] Carey, S.P., Kraning-Rush, C.M., Williams, R.M., Reinhart-King, C.A.: Biophys ical control of invasive tumor cell behavior by extracellular matrix microarchi tecture. Biomaterials 33(16), 4157 (2012) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.
 2012.02.029
- [46] Harley, B.A.C., Kim, H.-D., Zaman, M.H., Yannas, I.V., Lauffenburger, D.A.,
 Gibson, L.J.: Microarchitecture of three-dimensional scaffolds influences cell
 migration behavior via junction interactions. Biophysical Journal 95(8), 4013–
 4024 (2008) https://doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.107.122598
- [47] Durgam, S., Singh, B., Cole, S.L., Brokken, M.T., Stewart, M.: Quantitative assessment of tendon hierarchical structure by combined second harmonic generation and immunofluorescence microscopy. Tissue Engineering Part C: Methods 26(5), 253–262 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.TEC.2020.0032
- [48] Friedl, P., Wolf, K.: Plasticity of cell migration: a multiscale tuning model. Journal
 of Cell Biology 188(1), 11–19 (2010) https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200909003
- [49] Sheets, K., Wunsch, S., Ng, C., Nain, A.S.: Shape-dependent cell migration and focal adhesion organization on suspended and aligned nanofiber scaffolds. Acta Biomaterials 9(7), 7169–7177 (2013) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2013.
 03.042
- [50] Jana, A., Nookaew, I., Singh, J., Behkam, B., Franco, A.T., Nain, A.S.: Crosshatch nanofiber networks of tunable interfiber spacing induce plasticity in cell migration and cytoskeletal response. The FASEB Journal 33(10), 10618–10632 (2019) https: //doi.org/10.1096/fj.201900131R
- Jana, A., Sarkar, A., Zhang, H., Agashe, A., Wang, J., Paul, R., Gov, N.S.,
 DeLuca, J.G., Nain, A.S.: Mitotic outcomes and errors in fibrous environments.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
 120(10), 2120536120 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2120536120
- [52] Schakenraad, K., Ernst, J., Pomp, W., Danen, E.H.J., Merks, R.M.H., Schmidt,
 T., Giomi, L.: Mechanical interplay between cell shape and actin cytoskeleton organization. Soft Matter 16(27), 6328–6343 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1039/ D0SM00492H
- [53] Huisken, J., Stainier, D.Y.R.: Even fluorescence excitation by multidirectional
 selective plane illumination microscopy (mspin). Optics Letters 32(17), 2608–
 2610 (2007) https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.32.002608
- 833 [54] Lazar, J., Bondar, A., Timr, S., Firestein, S.J.: Two-photon polarization
 - 21

- microscopy reveals protein structure and function. Nature Methods 8(8), 684–690 (2011) https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1643
- ⁸³⁶ [55] Nakai, N., Sato, K., Tani, T., Saito, K., Sato, F., Terada, S.: Genetically
 ⁸³⁷ encoded orientation probes for F-actin for fluorescence polarization microscopy.
 ⁸³⁸ Microscopy 68(5) (2019) https://doi.org/10.1093/jmicro/dfz022
- ⁸³⁹ [56] Burghardt, T.P.: Time-resolved fluorescence polarization from ordered biological
 ⁸⁴⁰ assemblies. Biophysical Journal 48(4), 623–631 (1985) https://doi.org/10.1016/
 ⁸⁴¹ S0006-3495(85)83818-2
- ⁸⁴² [57] Suhling, K., Siegel, J., Lanigan, P.M.P., Lévêque-Fort, S., Webb, S.E.D., Phillips,
 ⁸⁴³ D., Davis, D.M., French, P.M.W.: Time-resolved fluorescence anisotropy imaging
 ⁸⁴⁴ applied to live cells. Optics Letters 29(6), 584–586 (2004) https://doi.org/10.
 ⁸⁴⁵ 1364/OL.29.000584
- ⁸⁴⁶ [58] Volpato, A., Ollech, D., Alvelid, J., Damenti, M., Müller, B., York, A.G.,
 ⁸⁴⁷ Ingaramo, M., Testa, I.: Extending fluorescence anisotropy to large complexes
 ⁸⁴⁸ using reversibly switchable proteins. Nature Biotechnology, 1–8 (2022) https:
 ⁸⁴⁹ //doi.org/10.1038/s41587-022-01489-7
- [59] Chan, F.T.S., Kaminski, C.F., Schierle, G.S.K.: HomoFRET fluorescence anisotropy imaging as a tool to study molecular self-assembly in live cells. ChemPhysChem 12(3), 500–509 (2011) https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.201000833
- ⁸⁵³ [60] Markwardt, M.L., Snell, N.E., Guo, M., Wu, Y., Christensen, R., Liu, H., Shroff,
 ⁸⁵⁴ H., Rizzo, M.A.: A genetically encoded biosensor strategy for quantifying non⁸⁵⁵ muscle myosin II phosphorylation dynamics in living cells and organisms. Cell
 ⁸⁵⁶ Reports 24(4), 1060–10704 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.06.088
- ⁸⁵⁷ [61] Bhatia, T., Husen, P., Brewer, J., Bagatolli, L.A., Hansen, P.L., Ipsen, J.H.,
 ⁸⁵⁸ Mouritsen, O.G.: Preparing giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) of complex lipid
 ⁸⁵⁹ mixtures on demand: Mixing small unilamellar vesicles of compositionally het⁸⁶⁰ erogeneous mixtures. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1848(12), 3175–3180 (2015)
 ⁸⁶¹ https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2015.09.020
- [62] Schmid, E.M., Richmond, D.L., Fletcher, D.A.: Reconstitution of proteins on electroformed giant unilamellar vesicles. In: Ross, J., Marshall, W.F. (eds.) Building
 a Cell from Its Component Parts. Methods in Cell Biology, vol. 128, pp. 319–338.
 Academic Press, Cambridge, MA, USA (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.mcb.
 2015.02.004
- ⁸⁶⁷ [63] Abrahamsson, S., Chen, J., Hajj, B., Stallinga, S., Katsov, A.Y., Wisniewski,
 J., Mizuguchi, G., Soule, P., Mueller, F., Darzacq, C.D., Darzacq, X., Wu, C.,
 ⁸⁶⁹ Bargmann, C.I., Agard, D.A., Dahan, M., Gustafsson, M.G.L.: Fast multicolor
 ⁸⁷⁰ 3D imaging using aberration-corrected multifocus microscopy. Nature Methods
 ⁸⁷¹ 10, 60–63 (2012) https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2277

Yamaguchi, M., Goué, N., Igarashi, H., Ohtani, M., Nakano, Y., Mortimer, J.C.,
Nishikubo, N., Kubo, M., Katayama, Y., Kakegawa, K., Dupree, P., Demura, T.:
VASCULAR-RELATED NAC-DOMAIN6 and VASCULAR-RELATED NACDOMAIN7 effectively induce transdifferentiation into xylem vessel elements under
control of an induction system. Plant Physiology 153(3), 906–914 (2010) https:
//doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.154013

- ⁸⁷⁸ [65] Murata, T., Baskin, T.I.: Imaging the mitotic spindle by spinning disk microscopy
 ⁸⁷⁹ in tobacco suspension cultured cells. In: Mitosis: Methods and Protocols,
 ⁸⁸⁰ pp. 47–55. Springer, New York, NY, USA (2014). https://doi.org/10.1007/
 ⁸⁸¹ 978-1-4939-0329-0_4
- [66] Thomas, J., Ingerfeld, M., Nair, H., Chauhan, S.S., Collings, D.A.: Pontamine
 fast scarlet 4B: a new fluorescent dye for visualising cell wall organisation in
 radiata pine tracheids. Wood Science and Technology 47(1), 59–75 (2013) https:
 //doi.org/10.1007/s00226-012-0483-x
- ⁸⁸⁶ [67] Nain, A.S., Sitti, M., Jacobson, A., Kowalewski, T., Amon, C.: Dry spinning based spinneret based tunable engineered parameters (STEP) technique
 ⁸⁸⁸ for controlled and aligned deposition of polymeric nanofibers. Macromolecular
 ⁸⁸⁹ Rapid Communications **30**(16), 1406–1412 (2009) https://doi.org/10.1002/marc.
 ⁸⁹⁰ 200900204
- [68] Wang, J., Nain, A.S.: Suspended micro/nanofiber hierarchical biological scaffolds
 fabricated using non-electrospinning STEP technique. Langmuir 30(45), 13641–
 13649 (2014) https://doi.org/10.1021/la503011u
- ⁸⁹⁴ [69] Jana, A., Tran, A., Gill, A., Kiepas, A., Kapania, R.K., Konstantopoulos,
 ⁸⁹⁵ K., Nain, A.S.: Sculpting rupture-free nuclear shapes in fibrous environ⁸⁹⁶ ments. Advanced Science 9(25), 2203011 (2022) https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.
 ⁸⁹⁷ 202203011
- [70] Singh, J., Pagulayan, A., Camley, B.A., Nain, A.S.: Rules of contact inhibition
 of locomotion for cells on suspended nanofibers. Proceedings of the National
 Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 118(12), 2011815118 (2021)
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2011815118
- Padhi, A., Singh, K., Franco-Barraza, J., Marston, D.J., Cukierman, E., Hahn,
 K.M., Kapania, R.K., Nain, A.S.: Force-exerting perpendicular lateral protrusions in fibroblastic cell contraction. Communications Biology 3(390), 1–11 (2020)
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-01117-7
- [72] Garyfallidis, E., Brett, M., Amirbekian, B., Rokem, A., Van Der Walt, S.,
 Descoteaux, M., Nimmo-Smith, I.: Dipy, a library for the analysis of diffusion
 mri data. Frontiers in Neuroinformatics 8, 71423 (2014) https://doi.org/10.3389/
 fninf.2014.00008



Fig. 1 Orientation distribution functions (ODFs) can model ensembles of oriented fluorophores that label biological structures, their excitation, and their detection. (a) (i) Fluorescent samples consist of molecules that move and rotate in three dimensions (e.g. green fluorescent protein molecules pictured), and many of the most common fluorescent molecules' excitation and emission behavior can be described by a single 3D dipole axis (double-sided black arrows overlaid on each molecule). Our instrument excites and measures emissions from diffraction-limited regions that contain many fluorescent molecules (dashed circle), so (ii) we simplify our model of individual emitters to a coarse-grained model called an object orientation distribution function (ODF). An ODF is a spherical function that we depict as a surface with a radius proportional to the number of dipoles in the measurement volume that are oriented along each direction. (b) Dipole distributions (top row) can be modelled by object ODFs (bottom row). (i) Fluorescent dipoles in solution typically rotate rapidly during the measurement time of fluorescent microscopes, so the corresponding ODFs are isotropic, depicted as a surface with constant radius. (ii-iv) When fluorescent dipoles (green doublesided arrows) are spatially and rotationally constrained, their corresponding object ODFs report the orientation of labelled biomolecules. (c) We can probe an object ODF by exciting a subset of molecules with polarized light. For example, when (i) linearly polarized light (red arrow) illuminates (blue arrow) an (ii) isotropic object ODF, (iii) the resulting subset of excited molecules, which we call an excited ODF, will have a $\cos^2 \theta$ dependence where θ is the angle between the incident polarization and the excitation dipole moment of the individual fluorophores in the distribution. Selectively exciting molecules creates contrast between different object ODFs. (d) We can create more contrast by selectively detecting an excited ODF's emissions. (i) An excited ODF (red glyph) emits a polarized emission pattern (red arrows, perpendicular to the emission direction) that is anisotropic (solid black line, radius is proportional to the emitted power along each direction) which encodes information about the excited ODF. Selectively detecting emissions with an objective (blue arrow) creates contrast between excited ODFs. (ii) The emission pattern in (i) is the sum (Σ) of the emissions from each dipole (green double-sided arrow) in (\in) the excited ODF. (iii) Similar to (i), each dipole emits a polarized emission pattern that is anisotropic, with each dipole emitting in a $\sin^2\phi$ intensity pattern where ϕ is the angle between the emission dipole moment and the emission direction.



Fig. 2 Polarized dual-view inverted selective-plane illumination microscope (poldiSPIM) data together with a physics-informed reconstruction enables volumetric measurement of three-dimensional orientation distribution functions. (a) (i) We imaged our samples with an asymmetric pair of objectives, each capable of excitation and detection. (ii) Illuminating our sample (green) with a light sheet (blue) from the 0.67 numerical aperture (NA) objective and detecting the emitted light from the 1.1 NA objective allows us to make planar measurements of diffraction-limited regions. Modulating the illumination polarization (red arrows) allows us to selectively excite ODFs within each diffraction-limited region, and orthogonal detection allows selective detection. (iii) Excitation from the 1.1 NA objective and detection from the 0.67 NA objective creates additional selective-excitation and selective-detection contrast and complementary spatial resolution. Scanning the sample through these polarized light sheets allows orientation-resolved volumetric acquisitions with more isotropic spatial resolution than detection from a single objective. (b) We used spherical harmonic decompositions of ODFs to simulate, reconstruct, and interpret our designs. (i) An example ODF is decomposed into the sum of an infinite number of spherical harmonics with the 15 smoothest non-zero terms shown. (ii) Truncating the infinite sum (red box at right) smooths the ODF while preserving its overall shape, demonstrating the angular resolution our instrument can recover. (iii) Removing more terms (five red boxes) distorts the ODF and increases its symmetry, demonstrating the effect of missing components in the spatio-angular transfer function. (c) (i) A simulated phantom of radially oriented ODFs on the surface of a sphere are used to (ii) simulate a dataset. Each volume is simulated with a different illumination objective (rows) and illumination polarization (columns, red arrows indicate polarization, Pol. = Polarization), illustrating how selective excitation and detection (with optical axes indicated by white lines) results in contrast that encodes spatio-angular information. (iii) A physics-informed reconstruction algorithm allows us to recover (iv) ODFs in volumetric regions (inset, a single ODF corresponding to a diffraction-limited volume). We reduce these reconstructions to lower-dimensional visualizations including (v) peak orientations, where the orientation and color of each cylinder indicates the direction along which most dipoles are oriented, and (vi) density, a scalar value indicating the total number of values within each voxel. We further summarize distributions of peak orientations with (vii) angular histograms, where the central dot indicates the viewing axis, and density with (viii) spatial profiles, where the colored profiles correspond to the circumferential profiles in (vi).



Fig. 3 Light-sheet tilting enables experimental recovery of second-order spherical harmonic coefficients and all peak orientations. (a) We found that our spatio-angular transfer function had "angular holes" when expressed in a basis of spherical harmonics aligned with the detection axes. Red boxes indicate null functions, spherical harmonics that are not passed to the detected data. (b) The second-order angular null function is particularly problematic because it prevents the completion of the $\ell=2$ band, causing angular blind spots. Adding any multiple of an angular null function to the object creates identical data, so this angular null function is effectively invisible to our imaging system. (c) We added a MEMS mirrors to each excitation arm, enabling light-sheet illumination in the the typical straight-through configuration (blue rectangle with solid outline) and the new tilted configurations (blue rectangles with dashed outlines). Tilting the light sheet makes new polarization orientations (red arrows) accessible while illuminating the same positions in the sample. (d) (i) A schematic of our Six no tilt acquisition scheme, where the sample (green) is illuminated with light sheets (light blue) propagating parallel to the optical axes of the objectives (dark blue arrows) under three different polarization illuminations per light sheet (red arrows). (ii) Peak cylinder reconstruction from experimental data acquired from a giant-unilamellar vesicle (GUV), where color and orientation encodes the most frequent dipole orientation from within each voxel, spaced by 260 nm. We expect the dipole orientations to be everywhere normal to the GUV, but instead see a red stripe across the top of the reconstructed GUV (see red arrows). (iii-v) Slices through the peak cylinder reconstruction, with incorrect orientations marked with red arrows. (e) (i) A schematic of our Six with tilt acquisition scheme, which uses a view-asymmetric combination of polarization and tilted light sheets to acquire more angular information from six illumination samples. (ii-v) Peak cylinder reconstruction using tilted light sheets shows recovery of all peak orientations (see green arrows in (ii) and (iv)). Each column of (d) and (e) uses a single coordinate system described below the column where $\hat{\mathbf{d}}_A$ and $\hat{\mathbf{d}}_B$ are the detection optical axes.



Fig. 4 Reconstruction of GUV, xylem, and actin samples validate pol-diSPIM's accuracy and extend known 2D orientation results to 3D. (a) $A \sim 6 \mu$ m-diameter GUV labelled with FM1-43 with (i) ODFs and (ii) peak cylinders separated by 650 nm. Radial profiles through the density map (iv) are used to plot density (v) and (vi) generalized fractional anisotropy (GFA) as a function of distance from the center of the GUV. (b) A xylem cell with its cellulose labelled by fast scarlet with (i) ODFs and (ii) peak cylinders separated by 1.56 μ m. Slices (iv, v) show peak cylinders separated by 650 nm and depict the dipole orientations tracking parallel to the helical cellulose structure, with different orientations on the basal and apical surfaces and spatially merging fibers distinguishable by their orientations (green arrows). (c) U2OS cells with actin labelled by phalloidin 488 with (i) ODFs and (ii) peak cylinders separated by 390 nm. Slices (iv, v) show peak cylinders separated by 260 nm and depict out-of-plane (green arrow) and variable in-plane orientations of fixed actin. Each column's camera orientation and orientation-to-color map is displayed in the bottom row. See also, Supplemental Movies M1-6.



Fig. 5 pol-diSPIM measurements of phalloidin-labelled 3T3 mouse fibroblasts grown on nanowires show dipoles oriented parallel to their nearest nanowires and reveal distinct out-of-plane dipole populations across the cell. (a) Reconstructed density maximum intensity projection of a cell grown on crossed nanowires, with hand-annotated wires measured from a wire-specific channel highlighted with red and green lines. ROIs (i-iii) are outlined in color and examined in subsequent panels. (b) Peak cylinders drawn every 780 nm in regions with total counts > 5000, colored by orientation (see inset color hemisphere), with lengths proportional to the maximum diameter of the corresponding ODF. (c) Histogram of all peak cylinders with total counts > 5000 in each ROI. Bins near the edge of the circle indicate in-plane orientations, bins near the center indicate out-of-plane orientations, and dots mark the Cartesian axes on the histogram.



Fig. 6 Measurements of 3T3 mouse fibroblasts grown on different nanowire arrangements show correlations between voxel- and cell-scale orientations. (a) Reconstructed density maximum intensity projections of three cell repeats (columns) grown on varying nanowire arrangements (rows) named "Single", "Paired", and "Crossed" (cartoons at left). Wires are overlaid as red and green lines. (b) We collected reconstructed peak directions in voxels that were $< 5 \ \mu m$ from a wire and had total counts > 5000, calculated their parallelism and radiality with respect to their nearest wire (see inset cartoons where the red dot indicates a wire, and blue arrows indicate the neighboring peak directions for strongly parallel and radial peaks), and plotted their mean (dots) and standard deviation (error bars) for each cell and nanowire arrangement (colors). Additionally, we calculated each cell's "Aspect Ratio", the ratio of the largest and smallest eigenvalues of the cell's moment of inertia tensor (with the reconstructed density as a proxy for mass). (c) We compared population means (horizontal black lines) with a t-test and marked p < 0.05 - significant differences with asterisks. (d) We compared our local voxel-wise parallelism and radiality metrics to the cell's global aspect ratio. We found positive and negative correlations between the aspect ratio and the parallelism and radiality, respectively, indicating local-global correlations in cellular behavior. Colored dots match (c), the red line is a linear fit to all nine data points, and the annotated r values are Pearson correlation coefficients.